

Governor's Recommendation Fiscal Year 2012

David Kerr, Director

573/751-4770

Book 2

DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT FY 2012 BUDGET

TABLE OF CONTENTS BOOK 2

<u>DIVISION</u>	<u>PAGE NUMBER</u>	<u>DIVISION</u>	PAGE NUMBER
Arts and Cultural Developme	nt	Missouri Housing Deve	
MO Arts Council Core.		Mo. Housing Dev	relopment Commission Core97
New DI MO Arts Counc	cil Spending Authority Increase 9		
MO Humanities Counc	l Core15	Office of Public Counse	
Public Radio and Telev	rision Core22	Office of Public C	Counsel Core105
New DI Public Radio S	pending Authority Increase 29		
	fer Core35	Public Service Commiss	
New DI MAC Trust Fur	nd Transfer Increase41	Public Service Co	ommission Core115
MO Humanities Counc	Trust Fund Transfer47		Consumer Services Department128
New DI MO Humanities	Transfer Increase53	Manufactured Ho	ousing Core135
Public Television Trans	sfer Core59	Manufactured Ho	ousing Consumer Transfer142
New DI Public Television	on Transfer Increase65		
		Administrative Services	
Tourism		Administrative Se	ervices Core149
Tourism Core	71	Administrative Se	ervices – Transfer Core159
Tourism Supplemental	Revenue Transfer Core81		
11		Supplemental Decision	Item
Office of the Film Commission	n	MO Downtown R	evitalization Preservation166
	88		

DECISION ITEM SUMMARY

Budget Unit Decision Item Budget Object Summary Fund	FY 2010 ACTUAL DOLLAR	FY 2010 ACTUAL FTE	FY 2011 BUDGET DOLLAR	FY 2011 BUDGET FTE	FY 2012 DEPT REQ DOLLAR	FY 2012 DEPT REQ FTE	FY 2012 GOV REC DOLLAR	FY 2012 GOV REC FTE
MO ARTS COUNCIL								
CORE								
PERSONAL SERVICES								
DED COUNCIL ARTS FEDERAL OTHER	209,841	5.57	293,187	6.51	293,187	6.51	293,187	6.51
MO ARTS COUNCIL TRUST	269,257	6.37	462,100	8.49	462,100	8.49	462,100	8.49
TOTAL - PS	479,098	11.94	755,287	15.00	755,287	15.00	755,287	15.00
EXPENSE & EQUIPMENT DED COUNCIL ARTS FEDERAL OTHER	266	0.00	28,205	0.00	28,205	0.00	28,205	0.00
MO ARTS COUNCIL TRUST	97,959	0.00	294,326	0.00	294,326	0.00	294,326	0.00
TOTAL - EE	98,225	0.00	322,531	0.00	322,531	0.00	322,531	0.00
PROGRAM-SPECIFIC								
DED COUNCIL ARTS FEDERAL OTHER	434,747	0.00	606,809	0.00	606,809	0.00	606,809	0.00
MO ARTS COUNCIL TRUST	7,958,555	0.00	8,264,088	0.00	8,264,088	0.00	8,264,088	0.00
TOTAL - PD	8,393,302	0.00	8,870,897	0.00	8,870,897	0.00	8,870,897	0.00
TOTAL	8,970,625	11.94	9,948,715	15.00	9,948,715	15.00	9,948,715	15.00
Athletes & Entertainers Dist - 1419005								
PROGRAM-SPECIFIC								
MO ARTS COUNCIL TRUST	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	1,200,000	0.00
TOTAL - PD	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	1,200,000	0.00
TOTAL	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	1,200,000	0.00
GRAND TOTAL	\$8,970,625	11.94	\$9,948,715	15.00	\$9,948,715	15.00	\$11,148,715	15.00

im_disummary

CORE DECISION ITEM

Department: Eco	onomic Develop	ment	·		Budget Unit 42	340C			
Division: Missor									
Core: Missouri									
						y			
1. CORE FINANCE	CIAL SUMMARY								
	F'	Y 2012 Budg	et Request			FY 2012	Governor's	Recommen	dation
	GR	Federal	Other	Total		GR	Fed	Other	Total
PS	0	293,187	462,100	755,287	PS	0	293,187	462,100	755,287
EE	0	28,205	294,326	322,531	EE	0	28,205	294,326	322,531
PSD	0	606,809	8,264,088	8,870,897	PSD	0	606,809	8,264,088	8,870,897
TRF	0	0	0	0	TRF	0	0	0	0_
Total	00	928,201	9,020,514	9,948,715	Total	0	928,201	9,020,514	9,948,715
FTE	0.00	6.51	8.49	15.00	FTE	0.00	6.51	8.49	15.00
Est. Fringe	0	163,159	257,159	420,317	Est. Fringe	0	163,159	257,159	420,317
Note: Fringes but	dgeted in House E	Bill 5 except fo	or certain fring	es	Note: Fringes b	udgeted in H	louse Bill 5 e	except for cer	tain fringes
budgeted directly	to MoDOT, Highw	vay Patrol, an	d Conservation	on.	budgeted directi	ly to MoDOT,	Highway Pa	atrol, and Cor	nservation.
Other Funds:	Missouri Arts Co	uncil Trust F	ınd (0262)		Other Funds:				
Notes:	Requires a GR to		•	(0262)	J. Horr Fariable				
0.00DE DE00D	·			(0202)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			

2. CORE DESCRIPTION

This core decision item establishes the spending authority for the Missouri Arts Council (MAC) Trust Fund. MAC provides matching grants to Missouri non-profit, taxexempt organizations. MAC encourages and stimulates the growth, development, and appreciation of the arts in Missouri by funding quality arts programming. Grant categories include: arts education, arts services, community arts, and discipline programs (i.e. dance, theater, music, festivals, etc.). Applicants must demonstrate that their art projects have high artistic quality and that the organization has sound administration and financial management skills. Applicants include but are not limited to: arts organizations; community arts agencies; K-12 schools; colleges and universities; art museums, city agencies and chambers of commerce that present art to their communities. Funding recommendations are made by advisory panels of Missouri citizens. The review criteria is based on artistic quality, community involvement, management ability, and diversity of the audience served. All MAC-supported activities must be open and accessible to the general public. Federal dollars fund 9.3% of MAC's programs and administration. The MAC Trust Fund provides funding for 90.7% of MAC's programs and administration.

3. PROGRAM LISTING (list programs included in this core funding)

Arts Council Programs


CORE DECISION ITEM

Department:	Economic Development	
Division: Mi	ssouri Arts Council	
Core: Misso	uri Arts Council	

Budget Unit 42340C

4. FINANCIAL HISTORY

	FY 2008 Actual	FY 2009 Actual	FY 2010 Actual	FY 2011 Current Yr.
Appropriation (All Funds)	8,683,486	10,000,000	9,750,000	9,948,715
Less Reverted (All Funds)	(15,000)	(500,000)	0	N/A
Budget Authority (All Funds)	8,668,486	9,500,000	9,750,000	N/A.
Actual Expenditures (All Funds)	5,548,346	7,964,276	8,970,625	N/A
Unexpended (All Funds)	3,120,140	1,535,724	779,375	N/A
Unexpended, by Fund:				
General Revenue	0	0	.0	N/A
Federal	464,228	113,545	83,347	N/A
Other	2,655,912	1,422,179	696,028	N/A
	(1)	(2)		



Reverted includes Governor's standard 3 percent reserve (when applicable) and any extraordinary withholdings.

NOTES:

- (1) (2) The unexpended Federal money is due to funds carried over to next fiscal year.
- (1) (2) The unexpended "Other" fund is due to money not being spent by MAC in order to preserve the Missouri Arts Council Trust Fund.

CORE RECONCILIATION DETAIL

DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT MO ARTS COUNCIL

5. CORE RECONCILIATION DETAIL

			Budget							_
			Class	FTE	GR		Federal	Other	Total	E
TAFP AFTER VETO	ES									
			PS	15.00		0	293,187	462,100	755,287	
			EE	0.00		0	28,205	294,326	322,531	
			PD	0.00		0	606,809	8,264,088	8,870,897	_
			Total	15.00		0	928,201	9,020,514	9,948,715	=
DEPARTMENT COR	RE ADJ	USTME	ENTS							
Core Reallocation	786	2943	EE	0.00		0	0	(1,500)	(1,500)	1
Core Reallocation	786	0989	EE	0.00		0	0	1,500	1,500	F
NET DE	PARTI	MENT (CHANGES	0.00		0	0	0	0	
DEPARTMENT COR	RE REC	UEST								
			PS	15.00		0	293,187	462,100	755,287	•
			EE	0.00		0	28,205	294,326	322,531	
			PD	0.00	i i	0	606,809	8,264,088	8,870,897	-
			Total	15.00		0.	928,201	9,020,514	9,948,715	; =
GOVERNOR'S REC	OMME	NDED	CORE		•					
			PS	15.00		0	293,187	462,100	755,287	•
			EE	0.00		0	28,205	294,326	322,531	
			PD	0.00		0	606,809	8,264,088	8,870,897	• -
			Total	15.00		0	928,201	9,020,514	9,948,715	} =

DECISION ITEM DETAIL

Budget Unit	FY 2010	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2012	FY 2012	FY 2012
Decision Item	ACTUAL	ACTUAL	BUDGET	BUDGET	DEPT REQ	DEPT REQ	GOV REC	GOV REC
Budget Object Class	DOLLAR	FTE	DOLLAR	FTE	DOLLAR	FTE	DOLLAR	FTE
MO ARTS COUNCIL								
CORE								
SR OFC SUPPORT ASST (STENO)	28,056	1.00	28,348	1.00	33,936	1.00	33,936	1.00
OFFICE SUPPORT ASST (KEYBRD)	23,064	1.00	25,750	1.00	28,524	1.00	28,524	1.00
ACCOUNT CLERK II	25,380	1.00	30,491	1.00	32,148	1.00	32,148	1.00
ACCOUNTANT II	37,377	0.85	46,424	1.00	49,104	1.00	49,104	1.00
EXECUTIVE I	38,700	1.00	39,142	1.00	40,212	1.00	40,212	1.00
ARTS COUNCIL PRGM SPEC I	34,644	1.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00
ARTS COUNCIL PRGM SPEC II	181,785	4.57	318,899	7.00	330,835	7.00	330,835	7.00
DIVISION DIRECTOR	80,544	1.00	81,647	1.00	91,000	1.00	91,000	1.00
DESIGNATED PRINCIPAL ASST DIV	29,548	0.52	184,586	2.00	149,528	2.00	149,528	2.00
TOTAL - PS	479,098	11.94	755,287	15.00	755,287	15.00	755,287	15.00
TRAVEL, IN-STATE	7,736	0.00	21,150	0.00	32,500	0.00	32,500	0.00
TRAVEL, OUT-OF-STATE	2,676	0.00	10,500	0.00	22,500	0.00	22,500	0.00
SUPPLIES	10,243	0.00	39,168	0.00	21,326	0.00	21,326	0.00
PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT	19,210	0.00	17,000	0.00	48,205	0.00	48,205	0.00
COMMUNICATION SERV & SUPP	8,397	0.00	10,397	0.00	12,000	0.00	12,000	0.00
PROFESSIONAL SERVICES	45,495	0.00	160,333	0.00	133,000	0.00	133,000	0.00
M&R SERVICES	2,326	0.00	9,725	0.00	13,000	0.00	13,000	0.00
OFFICE EQUIPMENT	0	0.00	7,500	0.00	20,000	0.00	20,000	0.00
OTHER EQUIPMENT	0	0.00	900	0.00	2,000	0.00	2,000	0.00
BUILDING LEASE PAYMENTS	0	0.00	400	0.00	0	0.00	. 0	0.00
EQUIPMENT RENTALS & LEASES	64	0.00	758	0.00	1,000	0.00	1,000	0.00
MISCELLANEOUS EXPENSES	2,078	0.00	26,600	0.00	17,000	0.00	17,000	0.00
REBILLABLE EXPENSES	0	0.00	18,100	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00
TOTAL - EE	98,225	0.00	322,531	0.00	322,531	0.00	322,531	0.00
PROGRAM DISTRIBUTIONS	8,393,302	0.00	8,870,897	0.00	8,870,897	0.00	8,870,897	0.00
TOTAL - PD	8,393,302	0.00	8,870,897	0.00	8,870,897	0.00	8,870,897	0.00
GRAND TOTAL	\$8,970,625	11.94	\$9,948,715	15.00	\$9,948,715	15.00	\$9,948,715	15.00
GENERAL REVENUE	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00
FEDERAL FUNDS	\$644,854	5.57	\$928,201	6.51	\$928,201	6.51	\$928,201	6.51
OTHER FUNDS	\$8,325,771	6.37	\$9,020,514	8.49	\$9,020,514	8.49	\$9,020,514	8.49

1/20/11 16:19 lm_didetail Page 41 of 76

Department: Economic Development

Program Name: Arts Council Programs

Program is found in the following core budget(s): Missouri Arts Council

1. What does this program do?

Missouri Arts Council (MAC) Programs fund arts programming for over 600 Missouri tax-exempt, non-profit organizations in over 130 communities. All grants must be matched at a minimum of 1:1. MAC grants serve every Missouri Senate district and 79% of the House districts. Most of our programs and operations are funded from the Missouri Arts Council Trust Fund.

2. What is the authorization for this program, i.e., federal or state statute, etc.? (Include the federal program number, if applicable.)

Sections 185.010 - 185.100, RSMo., and Section 143.183, RSMo.


3. Are there federal matching requirements? If yes, please explain.

No.

4. Is this a federally mandated program? If yes, please explain.

No.

5. Provide actual expenditures for the prior three fiscal years and planned expenditures for the current fiscal year.



6. What are the sources of the "Other " funds?

The original source of funds is from the Non-resident Professional Athletes and Entertainers (A&E) tax as mandated in Section, 143.183, RSMo. The amount provided in "Other" is MAC Trust Spending Authority. There is a General Revenue transfer to Missouri Arts Council Trust Fund (0262).

	artment: Economic Development ram Name: Arts Council Programs									
	ram is found in the following core but	dget(s): Miss	ouri Arts Co	ouncil						
7a.	Provide an effectiveness measure.									
1	% of Applications Funded	2008	2008	2009	2009	2010	2010	2011	2012	2013
		Projected	<u>Actuals</u>	Projected	<u>Actual</u>	Projected	<u>Actual</u>	Projected	<u>Projected</u>	<u>Projected</u>
	Applications Received	480	536	550	641	700	650	650	650	650
	Applications Funded	460	513	530	596	630	629	629	630	630
	% of Applications Funded	96%	96%	96%	93%	90%	97%	97%	97%	97%
	MAC is one of the nation's leaders in to	otal funding % o	f application	s						
2	Number of Legislative Districts Funded									
		2008	2008	2009	2009	2010	2010	2011	2012	2013
ļ		Projected	<u>Actuals</u>	<u>Projected</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Projected</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Projected</u>	<u>Projected</u>	<u>Projected</u>
l	Legislative Districts with Funding	125	121	125	128	130	129	130	130	130
	Legislative Districts	163	163	163	163	163	163	163	163	163
	% of Legislative Districts Funded	77%	74%	77%	79%	80%	79%	80%	80%	80%
7b.	Provide an efficiency measure.									
1	Total Operating Expenses vs Total Exp	oenses (in millio	ns)							
1		2008	2008	2009	2009	2010	2010	2011	2012	2013
}		Projected	<u>Actuals</u>	<u>Projected</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Projected</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Projected</u>	<u>Projected</u>	<u>Projected</u>
	Operating Expenses	1.021	0.897	1.134	0.987	1.134	0.921	1.079	1.079	1.079
1	Program Expenses (1)	7.184	6.443	10.493	8.484	10.663	9.736	7.570	7.570	7.57
ļ	Total Expenses	8.205	7.34	11.627	9.471	11.436	10.657	8.649	8.649	8.649
	% of Operating/Total	12.44%	12.22%	9.75%	10.42%	9.92%	8.64%	12.48%	12.48%	12.48%
	National Average (2)	30%	30%	30%	30%	30%	30%	30%	30%	30%

⁽¹⁾ Program Expenses includes MAC Programs, Capital Incentive Program, and pass-through funds to the Missouri Humanities Council and the Missouri Public Broadcasting Corporation

⁽²⁾ National Average determined by the National Assembly of State Arts Agencies (NASAA), Washington D.C. and covers 50 State Arts Agencies

Department: Economic Development

Program Name: Arts Council Programs

Program is found in the following core budget(s): Missouri Arts Council

7c. Provide the number of clients/individuals served, if applicable.

	2008	2008	2009	2009	2010	2010	2011	2012	2013
	Projected	<u>Actual</u>	Projected	<u>Actual</u>	Projected	<u>Actual</u>	Projected	Projected	<u>Projected</u>
Number of People Benefiting (1)	7.7	8.0	8.1	7.8	7.9	7.1	7.1	7.1	7.1
Communities Served	107	113	120	133	130	130	130	130	130
Events Held	10,050	11,626	11,600	11,900	12,000	11,500	11,500	11,500	11,500

(1) In millions

7d. Provide a customer satisfaction measure, if available.

% of Grantees Request Amounts Funded (1) (2)

·	2008	2008	2009	2009	2010	2010	2011	2012	2013
	Projected	<u>Actual</u>	Projected	<u>Actual</u>	Projected	<u>Actual</u>	Projected	Projected	<u>Projected</u>
Requested Amounts (3)	\$7.167	\$8.800	\$7.748	\$8.500	\$8.725	\$9.871	\$9.900	\$9.900	\$9.900
Funded Amounts (3)	\$2.657	\$5.037	\$4.348	\$7.415	\$6.680	\$7.846	\$5.200	\$5.200	\$5.200
% of Funding to Requests	37.1%	57.2%	56.1%	87.2%	76.6%	79.5%	52.5%	52.5%	52.5%

- (1) MAC programs only; does not include CIP or pass-through funding
- (2) This measure is important because the higher the % of requested amounts funded, the more funding the organizations receive, which leads to greater overall satisfaction
- (3) In millions

NEW DECISION ITEM RANK:

					RANK:	OF					
Department: Econor	nic Develop	ment		<u> </u>		Budget Unit	42340C				
Division: Missouri A				· · · · -							
DI Name: MAC Spen	ding Author	ity Inc	crease)# 1419005						
1. AMOUNT OF REC	QUEST										
		FY	2012 Budget	Request		 	FY 2012	Governor's	Recommen	dation	
	GR		Federal	Other	Total		GR	Federal	Other	Total	
PS -		0	0	0	0	PS	0	0	0	0	
EE		0	0	0	0	EE	0	0	0	0	
PSD		0	0	0	0	PSD	0	0	1,200,000	1,200,000	
TRF		0	0	0	0	TRF	0	0	0	0	
Total		0	0	0	0	Total	0	0	1,200,000	1,200,000	
FTE		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	FTE	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Est. Fringe		0	0	0	0	Est. Fringe	0	0	0	0	
Note: Fringes budget directly to MoDOT, Hi					udgeted	, -	s budgeted in F ectly to MoDOT		•		
Other Funds:						Other Funds:	MAC Trust Fund	d (0262)			
2. THIS REQUEST C	AN BE CATI	EGOR	IZED AS:								
	New Legisla	tion				New Program			Fund Switch		
	Federal Man			_		Program Expansion			Cost to Conti	nue	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	GR Pick-Up			_		Space Request			Equipment R	eplacement	
	Pay Plan				Х	•	hority Increase				
3. WHY IS THIS FUN CONSTITUTIONAL A					ION FOR I	EMS CHECKED IN #2. IN	ICLUDE THE F	EDERAL O	R STATE ST	ATUTORY O	R
CONSTITUTIONAL	UTHURIZA	HON	FUR THIS PR	OGRAWI.							
funding transfer into	this fund of \$ \$1,200,000	1,200 will be	,000 which rep sused to fund	presents a po existing MAC	ortion of the programs;	ust Fund (0262) in the amou Non-Resident Professional a provide grants to un-funded organizations.	Athlete and En	tertainers' T	ax in accorda	nce with Secti	ion

RANK:	OF

Department: Economic Development Budget Unit 42340C

Division: Missouri Arts Council

DI Name: MAC Spending Authority Increase DI# 1419005

4. DESCRIBE THE DETAILED ASSUMPTIONS USED TO DERIVE THE SPECIFIC REQUESTED AMOUNT. (How did you determine that the requested number of FTE were appropriate? From what source or standard did you derive the requested levels of funding? Were alternatives such as outsourcing or automation considered? If based on new legislation, does request tie to TAFP fiscal note? If not, explain why. Detail which portions of the request are one-times and how those amounts were calculated.)

The Governor has recommended an increase of \$1,200,000 in spending authority for MAC programs identified above. The funding source is the Non-Resident Professional Athlete and Entertainers' Tax in accordance with Section 143.183, RSMo.

5. BREAK DOWN THE REQUEST BY BUD	GET OBJECT CLAS	S, JOB CLA	SS, AND FUN	ID SOURCE.	IDENTIFY O	NE-TIME CO	STS.		
	Dept Req	Dept Req	Dept Req	Dept Req	Dept Req	Dept Req	Dept Req	Dept Req	Dept Req
	GR	GR	FED	FED	OTHER	OTHER	TOTAL	TOTAL	One-Time
Budget Object Class/Job Class	DOLLARS	FTE	DOLLARS	FTE	DOLLARS	FTE	DOLLARS	FTE	DOLLARS
							0	0.0	
							0	0.0	
Total PS	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0
							0		
							0		
							0		
Total EE	0				0		0		0
Program Distributions							0		
Total PSD	0		0		0		U		U
Transfers						•			
Total TRF	0		0		U		0		U
Grand Total	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0
								•	

RANK:	OF	

Department: Economic Development				Budget Unit	42340C			•	
Division: Missouri Arts Council DI Name: MAC Spending Authority Increase		DI# 1419005	;						
	Gov Rec GR	Gov Rec GR	Gov Rec FED	Gov Rec FED	Gov Rec OTHER	Gov Rec OTHER	Gov Rec TOTAL	Gov Rec TOTAL	Gov Rec One-Time
Budget Object Class/Job Class	DOLLARS	FTE	DOLLARS	FTE	DOLLARS	FTE	DOLLARS	FTE	DOLLARS
·							0	0.0	
Total PS	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	<u>0</u>	0.0	
							0		
							0		
		•					0		
Total EE	0		0		0		0		0
Program Distributions Total PSD	0				1,200,000 1,200,000		1,200,000 1,200,000		<u>_</u>
Transfers Total TRF	0				0				
Grand Total		0.0	0	0.0	1,200,000	0.0	1,200,000	0.0	0

RANK:	 OF	

Department: Economi		nt			Budget Unit 42340C				
Division: Missouri Art DI Name: MAC Spend		ncrease		DI# 1419005			•		
			item has an		eparately identify projected perfe	ormance wi	th & without	additional fu	unding.)
6a. Provide an effe	ctiveness me	asure.			6b. Provide an efficienc	y measure			
% of Applications Fur	nded 2009 Actuals	2010 Actuals	2011 Projected	2012 Projected	Total Operating Expenses	vs. Total E 2009 Actuals	xpenses (m 2010 Actuals	illions) 2011 Projected	2012 Projected
Apps Received	641	633	650	650	Operating Expenses	0.987	0.921	1.079	1.079
Apps Funded	596	612	629	630	Program Expenses (1)	8.484	9.736	7.861	7.461
% of Apps Funded	93%	96%	97%	97%	Total Expenses	9.471	10.657	8.940	8.540
					% of Operating Total	10.42%	8.64%	12.09%	12.63%
					National Average (2) (2) National average determ Agencies (NASAA), Washing	•			
6c. Provide the num	nber of client	s/individuals	s served, if	applicable.	6d. Provide a customer	satisfactio	n measure	, if available) .
Number of Individuals	s served 2009 Actuals	2010 Actuals	2011 Actuals	2012 Actuals	% of Grantees Request Ar	nounts Fun 2009 Actuals	ded 2010 Actuals	2011 Projected	2012 Projected
People benefiting (1)	7.6	7.8	7.8	7.8	Requested Amounts (3)	8.5	9.871	9.9	9.9
Communities Served	133	130	135	135	Funded Amounts (3)	7.415	7.846	5.2	5.2
Events Held	11,900	13,300	13,000	13,000	% of Funding to Requests	87.20%	79.50%	52.50%	62.80%
(1) in millions					(3) in millions				

NEW DECISION ITEM
RANK: OF _____

Department: Economic Development		Budget Unit 42340C	
Division: Missouri Arts Council			
DI Name: MAC Spending Authority Increase	DI# 1419005		
7. STRATEGIES TO ACHIEVE THE PERFORMANCE I	MEASUREMENT TARGETS		
N/A			

DECISION ITEM DETAIL

Budget Unit Decision Item Budget Object Class	FY 2010 ACTUAL DOLLAR	FY 2010 ACTUAL FTE	FY 2011 BUDGET DOLLAR	FY 2011 BUDGET FTE	FY 2012 DEPT REQ DOLLAR	FY 2012 DEPT REQ FTE	FY 2012 GOV REC DOLLAR	FY 2012 GOV REC FTE	
MO ARTS COUNCIL									
Athletes & Entertainers Dist - 1419005									
PROGRAM DISTRIBUTIONS	(0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	1,200,000	0.00	
TOTAL - PD		0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	1,200,000	0.00	
GRAND TOTAL	\$(0.00	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$1,200,000	0.00	
GENERAL REVENUE	\$(0.00	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	
FEDERAL FUNDS	\$(0.00	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	
OTHER FUNDS	\$(0.00	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$1,200,000	0.00	

DECISION ITEM SUMMARY

Budget Unit Decision Item Budget Object Summary Fund	FY 2010 ACTUAL DOLLAR	FY 2010 ACTUAL FTE	FY 2011 BUDGET DOLLAR	FY 2011 BUDGET FTE	FY 2012 DEPT REQ DOLLAR	FY 2012 DEPT REQ FTE	FY 2012 GOV REC DOLLAR	FY 2012 GOV REC FTE
MO HUMANITIES COUNCIL								
CORE								
PROGRAM-SPECIFIC								
MO HUMANITIES COUNCIL TRUST	250,000	0.00	250,000	0.00	250,000	0.00	250,000	0.00
TOTAL - PD	250,000	0.00	250,000	0.00	250,000	0.00	250,000	0.00
TOTAL	250,000	0.00	250,000	0.00	250,000	0.00	250,000	0.00
GRAND TOTAL	\$250,000	0.00	\$250,000	0.00	\$250,000	0.00	\$250,000	0.00

im_disummary

CORE DECISION ITEM

	FY 20	12 Budge	t Request			FY 2012	Governor's	Recommend	ation
	GR F	ederal	Other	Total		GR	Fed	Other	Total
PS	0	0	0	0	PS	0	0	0	0
EE	0	0	0	0	EE	0	0	0	0
PSD	0	0	250,000	250,000	PSD	0	0	250,000	250,000
TRF	0	0	0	0	TRF	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	250,000	250,000	Total	0	0	250,000	250,000
FTE	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	FTE	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Est. Fringe	0	0	0	0	Est. Fringe	0	0	0	0
Note: Fringes bu	dgeted in House Bill !	except for	r certain fringe	es	Note: Fringe	s budgeted in H	ouse Bill 5 e.	xcept for certa	ain fringes
hudgatad diractly	to MoDOT, Highway	Potrol one	1 Concorratio	n l	hudaeted dire	ectly to MoDOT,	Highway Pa	trol and Con	servation

This core decision item establishes the spending authority for the Missouri Humanities Council (MHC). The authority allows MHC to use the funds accruing from the balance in the Missouri Humanities Council Trust Fund, which would benefit and improve local heritage institutions and organizations. Many local institutions were energized by the Lewis and Clark commemoration a few years ago. They are now engaged and are intent on upgrading their services. They are learning how to better tell their stories more effectively as they prepare for increased interest in museum and historical activities related to the upcoming Civil War sesquicentennial followed by the statehood bicentennial in 2021. MHC plans to request annual appropriations from the Missouri Humanities Council Trust Fund to leverage exemplary improvements in the grassroots museums, historical societies, historic houses and other local organizations.

3. PROGRAM LISTING (list programs included in this core funding)

Missouri Humanities Council Trust Programs


CORE DECISION ITEM

Department: Economic Development
Division: Missouri State Council on the Arts
Core: Missouri Humanities Council

Budget Unit 42360C

4. FINANCIAL HISTORY

FY 2008 Actual	FY 2009 Actual	FY 2010 Actual	FY 2011 Current Yr.
148,000	250,000	250,000	250,000
. 0	0	0	N/A
148,000	250,000	250,000	N/A
148,000	250,000	250,000	N/A
0	0	0	N/A
0	0	0	N/A
0	0	0	N/A
0	0	0	N/A
	148,000 0 148,000	Actual Actual 148,000 250,000 0 0 148,000 250,000 148,000 250,000 0 0	Actual Actual Actual 148,000 250,000 250,000 0 0 0 148,000 250,000 250,000 148,000 250,000 250,000 0 0 0 0 0 0



Reverted includes Governor's standard 3 percent reserve (when applicable) and any extraordinary withholdings.

CORE RECONCILIATION DETAIL

DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENMO HUMANITIES COUNCIL

5. CORE RECONCILIATION DETAIL

·	Budget Class	FTE	GR	Federal	Other	Total	E
TAFP AFTER VETOES							_
7 7 12.	PD	0.00	0	0	250,000	250,000)
	Total	0.00	0	0	250,000	250,000	<u> </u>
DEPARTMENT CORE REQUEST		· · · ·	··				
	PD	0.00	0	. 0	250,000	250,000)
	Total	0.00	0	0	250,000	250,000	<u> </u>
GOVERNOR'S RECOMMENDED	CORE				<u></u>		-
	PD	0.00	0	0	250,000	250,000)
	Total	0.00		0	250,000	250,000)

D	EC	:IS	10	N	ITI	ΞM	DI	ΞΤ	All	L

Budget Unit Decision Item Budget Object Class	FY 2010 ACTUAL DOLLAR	FY 2010 ACTUAL FTE	FY 2011 BUDGET DOLLAR	FY 2011 BUDGET FTE	FY 2012 DEPT REQ DOLLAR	FY 2012 DEPT REQ FTE	FY 2012 GOV REC DOLLAR	FY 2012 GOV REC FTE
MO HUMANITIES COUNCIL	<u></u>							
CORE								
PROGRAM DISTRIBUTIONS	250,000	0.00	250,000	0.00	250,000	0.00	250,000	0.00
TOTAL - PD	250,000	0.00	250,000	0.00	250,000	0.00	250,000	0.00
GRAND TOTAL	\$250,000	0.00	\$250,000	0.00	\$250,000	0.00	\$250,000	0.00
GENERAL REVENUE	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00
FEDERAL FUNDS	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00
OTHER FUNDS	\$250,000	0.00	\$250,000	0.00	\$250,000	0.00	\$250,000	0.00

Page 43 of 76

Department: Economic Development

Program Name: Missouri Humanities Council Trust Programs

Program is found in the following core budget(s): Missouri Humanities Council

1. What does this program do?

This spending authority allows the Missouri Humanities Council (MHC) to use some of the Missouri Humanities Council Trust Fund to benefit and improve local community heritage and cultural institutions and organizations. MHC plans to request annual appropriations from the Missouri Humanities Council Trust Fund to leverage exemplary improvements in the grassroots museums, historical societies, historic houses and other local organizations. MHC will continue to support living history festivals; provide traveling historical exhibitions, such as the Smithsonian exhibition; and provide consulting services and workshops and financial support to museums and historical organizations in Missouri communities as well as assist them in increasing and improving their use of technology in their activities.

2. What is the authorization for this program, i.e., federal or state statute, etc.? (Include the federal program number, if applicable.)

Sections 186.050 - 186.067, RSMo., and Section 143.183, RSMo.


3. Are there federal matching requirements? If yes, please explain.

No

4. Is this a federally mandated program? If yes, please explain.

No

5. Provide actual expenditures for the prior three fiscal years and planned expenditures for the current fiscal year.



6. What are the sources of the "Other " funds?

Missouri Humanities Council Trust Fund (0177)

	artment: Economic Deve					-				
	ram Name: Missouri Hu ram is found in the follo				ties Council	-				
١.	Provide an effectivenes	e maasiira								
	Trovide an encouveries									
		FY08	FY08	FY09	FY09	FY10	FY10	FY11	FY12	FY13
	Spending Authority	Projected	Actual	Projected	Actual	Projected	Actual	Projected	Projected	Projected
	Communities	\$148,000 50	\$148,000 50	\$250,000 100	\$250,000 120	\$250,000 120	\$250,000 242	\$250,000 245	\$250,000 250	\$250,000 255
	Funds per community	\$2,960	\$2,960	\$2,500	\$2,083	\$2,083	\$1,033	\$1,020	\$1,000	\$980
	, and par community	42 ,000	Ψ2,000	Ψ2,000	ΨΣ,000	Ψ2,000	Ψ1,000	Ψ1,020	Ψ1,000	4000
ΙA	- Most funds were used	to develop nev	v program a	ctivities and	curriculums	rather than o	directly supp	oorting comm	nunities.	a.
	Provide an efficiency m	easure.								
		FY08	FY08	FY09	FY09	FY10	FY10	FY11	FY12	FY13
		Projected	Actual	Projected	Actual	Projected	Actual	Projected	Projected	Projected
	Events	150	326	360	463	450	772	775	800	825
•	Provide the number of	clients/individu	ıals served,	if applicable						
		FY08	FY08	FY09	FY09	FY10	FY10	FY11	FY12	FY13
		Projected	Actual	Projected	Actual	Projected	Actual	Projected	Projected	Projected
	Presenters	70	344	350	200	200	143	348	360	370
	Attendance	22000	22853	28000	26227	26200	46040	46500	48000	49500
۱.	Provide a customer sat	isfaction meas	ure, if availa	ıble.						
	N1/A									
	N/A									

DECISION ITEM SUMMARY

Budget Unit Decision Item Budget Object Summary	FY 2010 ACTUAL	FY 2010 ACTUAL	FY 2011 BUDGET	FY 2011 BUDGET	FY 2012 DEPT REQ	FY 2012 DEPT REQ	FY 2012 GOV REC	FY 2012 GOV REC FTE
Fund	DOLLAR	FTE	DOLLAR	FTE	DOLLAR	FTE	DOLLAR	rie -
PUBLIC TELEVISION GRANTS								
CORE								
PROGRAM-SPECIFIC								
GENERAL REVENUE	39,150	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00
MO PUBLIC BRDCASTING CORP SPEC	733,675	0.00	500,000	0.00	500,000	0.00	0	0.00
TOTAL - PD	772,825	0.00	500,000	0.00	500,000	0.00	0	0.00
TOTAL	772,825	0.00	500,000	0.00	500,000	0.00	0	0.00
Athletes & Entertainers Dist - 1419005								
PROGRAM-SPECIFIC								
MO PUBLIC BRDCASTING CORP SPEC	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	200,000	0.00
TOTAL - PD	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	200,000	0.00
TOTAL	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	200,000	0.00
GRAND TOTAL	\$772,825	0.00	\$500,000	0.00	\$500,000	0.00	\$200,000	0.00

im_disummary

CORE DECISION ITEM

Division: Missou	Department: Economic Development Division: Missouri State Council on the Arts Core: Public Radio and Television					2345C			
1. CORE FINANC	CIAL SUMMARY						<u> </u>		
	FY	2012 Budge	t Request	-		FY 2012 Governor's Recommendation			
	GR	Federal	Other	Total		GR	Fed	Other	Total
PS	0	0	0	0	PS -	0	0	0	0
EE	0	0	0	0	EE	0	0	0	0
PSD	0	0	500,000	500,000	PSD	0	0	0	0
TRF	0	0	0	0	TRF	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	500,000	500,000	Total =	0	0	0	0
FTE	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	FTE	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Est. Fringe	0	0	0	0	Est. Fringe	0	ō	0	0
Note: Fringes bu	dgeted in House E	ill 5 except fo	r certain fring	es	Note: Fringes b	udgeted in Ho	use Bill 5 exc	cept for certair	n fringes
budgeted directly	to MoDOT, Highw	ay Patrol, and	l Conservatio	n	budgeted directl	y to MoDOT, F	Highway Pati	ol, and Conse	rvation.
Other Funds: Notes:	MO Public Broad Requires a GR tr		•	al Fund (0887)	Other Funds: Notes:	,			

2. CORE DESCRIPTION

This core decision item establishes the spending authority for the Public Radio and Television core. The Missouri Arts Council (MAC) assumed responsibility for granting state funds to public television beginning FY 2007. As a state program for public broadcasting services, the funds were used for local programming related to the needs and problems of the community served by the broadcast licensee. The 4 public television stations contribute significantly to Missouri's educational and cultural enrichment and reach 2.5 million people annually. The 12 public radio stations reach .926 million people annually. MAC used the grant agreement process to ensure the accountability of public funding to the broadcasting stations. The grants are divided into two categories: an annual basic service grant and an operating grant. The basic service grant is equal to 35% of the total amount appropriated and is divided equally among the four qualifying public television stations. The remainder of the appropriation is distributed as an operating grant in the same proportion as the station's total operating expenses. The qualifying stations must provide a certification of operating and programming expenses for the prior fiscal year to MAC. Based on the operating expenses, the availability of funds, and in accordance with the authorizing legislation, MAC determined the amount of funds that each public television station received. The stations are required to send an annual report on how the state funds were used.

3. PROGRAM LISTING (list programs included in this core funding)

Public Television Grants


CORE DECISION ITEM

Department: Economic Development
Division: Missouri State Council on the Arts
Core: Public Radio and Television

Budget Unit 42345C

4. FINANCIAL HISTORY

	FY 2008 Actual	FY 2009 Actual	FY 2010 Actual	FY 2011 Current Yr.
Appropriation (All Funds)	1,395,000	1,895,000	1.742.500	500,000
Less Reverted (All Funds)	(2,850)	(7,458)	(744,262)	N/A
Budget Authority (All Funds)	1,392,150	1,887,542	998,238	N/A
Actual Expenditures (All Funds)	1,352,331	958,426	772,825	N/A
Unexpended (All Funds)	39,819	929,116	225,413	N/A
Unexpended, by Fund:				
General Revenue	0	0	0	N/A
Federal	0	0	0	N/A
Other	39,819	929,116	225,413	N/A



Reverted includes Governor's standard 3 percent reserve (when applicable) and any extraordinary withholdings.

NOTES:

CORE RECONCILIATION DETAIL

DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT PUBLIC TELEVISION GRANTS

5. CORE RECONCILIATION DETAIL

		Budget Class	FTE	GR	Federal	Other	Total	Explanation
TAFP AFTER VETO	ES							
		PD	0.00	0	0	500,000	500,000	_
		Total	0.00	0	0	500,000	500,000	-) =
DEPARTMENT CO	RE REQUEST							
		PD	0.00	C	. 0	500,000	500,000	<u>)</u>
		Total	0.00	C	0	500,000	500,000	_) =
GOVERNOR'S ADD	DITIONAL COR	RE ADJUST	MENTS					
Core Reduction	2202 1687	PD	0.00	C	0	(500,000)	(500,000)) Remove excess autho
NET G	OVERNOR CH	ANGES	0.00	C	0	(500,000)	(500,000))
GOVERNOR'S REC	OMMENDED	CORE						
		PD	0.00	(0	0	C	<u>)</u>
		Total	0.00	(0	0	_(<u>)</u>

DI	FC	121	n	N	ITE	М	ח	FT	'Δ	H	ı
	_~						_		$\boldsymbol{\Gamma}$	ž I	

Budget Unit Decision Item	FY 2010 ACTUAL	FY 2010 ACTUAL	FY 2011 BUDGET	FY 2011 BUDGET	FY 2012 DEPT REQ	FY 2012 DEPT REQ	FY 2012 GOV REC	FY 2012 GOV REC	
Budget Object Class	DOLLAR	FTE	DOLLAR	FTE	DOLLAR	FTE	DOLLAR	FTE	
PUBLIC TELEVISION GRANTS									
CORE									
PROGRAM DISTRIBUTIONS	772,825	0.00	500,000	0.00	500,000	0.00	0	0.00	
TOTAL - PD	772,825	0.00	500,000	0.00	500,000	0.00	0	0.00	
GRAND TOTAL	\$772,825	0.00	\$500,000	0.00	\$500,000	0.00	\$0	0.00	
GENERAL REVENUE	\$39,150	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00		0.00	
FEDERAL FUNDS	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00		0.00	
OTHER FUNDS	\$733,675	0.00	\$500,000	0.00	\$500,000	0.00		0.00	

1/20/11 16:19 Im_didetail Page 44 of 76

Department: Economic Development

Program Name: Public Television Grants

Program is found in the following core budget(s): Public Radio and Television

1. What does this program do?

The Missouri Arts Council (MAC) assumed responsibility for granting state funds to public television and radio stations beginning FY 2007. As a state program for public broadcasting services, the funds were used for local programming related to the needs and problems of the community served by the broadcast licensee. The 4 public television stations contribute significantly to Missouri's educational and cultural enrichment and reach 2.5 million people annually. The public radio stations reach .926 million people annually. MAC used the grant agreement process to ensure the accountability of the public funding to the broadcasting stations. The grants are divided into two categories, an annual basic service grant and an operating grant. The basic service grant is equal to 35% of the total amount appropriated and is divided equally among the four qualifying public television stations. The remainder of the appropriation was distributed as an operating grant in the same proportion as the station's total operating expenses. The qualifying stations must provide a certification of operating and programming expenses for the prior fiscal year to MAC. Based on the operating expenses, the availability of funds, and in accordance with the authorizing legislation, MAC determined the amount of funds that each public television station and public radio station received. The stations are required to send an annual report on how the state funds were used.

2. What is the authorization for this program, i.e., federal or state statute, etc.? (Include the federal program number, if applicable.)

Sections 185.200 - 185.230, RSMo.


3. Are there federal matching requirements? If yes, please explain.

No

4. Is this a federally mandated program? If yes, please explain.

No

5. Provide actual expenditures for the prior three fiscal years and planned expenditures for the current fiscal year.



6. What are the sources of the "Other " funds?

Transfer from General Revenue to the Public Broadcasting Corporation Special Fund (0887)

Department: Economic Development

Program Name: Public Television Grants

Program is found in the following core budget(s): Public Radio and Television

7a. Provide an effectiveness measure.

The Public Broadcasting television stations must comply with Sections 185.200 - 185.230, RSMo.

7b. Provide an efficiency measure.

The Public Broadcasting television stations must comply with Sections 185.200 - 185.230, RSMo.

7c. Provide the number of clients/individuals served, if applicable.

	Number of Stations	Audience 2008	Audience 2008	Audience 2009	Audience 2009	Audience 2010	Audience 2010	Audience 2011	Audience 2012	Audience 2013
		Projected (in mil)	Actual (in mil)	Projected (in mil)	Actual (in mil)	Projected (in mil)	Actual (in mil)	Projected (in mil)	Projected (in mil)	Projected (in mil)
*Television	4	3.1	2.9	3	2.5	3	5.3	5.5	5.5	5.5
**Radio	12	1.8	1.8	1.9	0.9	1.0	2	2	2	2
Total	16	4.9	4.7	4.9	3.4	4.0	7.3	7.5	7.5	7.5

^{*} Television stations use Neilsen ratings to determine audience

The transition to digital TV broadcasting in 2009 has resulted in unintended changes in audience measurement reliability.

The transition to digital it broadeac	ing in 2005 in	as resulted i	ii uimitemaca	onangee m	addiction inc	aoai oilloile	onabinty.		
	2008	2008	2009	2009	2010	2010	2011	2012	2013
	Estimated	Actual	Estimated	Actual	Estimated	Actual	Estimated	Estimated	Estimated
Individuals benefiting (in millions)	4.9	4.7	4.9	3.4	4.0	7.3	7.5	7.5	7.5
Children benefiting	350,000	281,117	285,000	367,000	290,000	725,249	750,000	750,000	750,000
Artists hired	4,900	5,667	5,800	5,704	5,900	5,733	6,000	6,000	6,000
Jobs (FT + PT)	525	451	460	451	465	475	475	475	475
No. of Volunteers	4,500	2,284	2,300	4,317	4,300	4,171	4,200	4,200	4,200

- (1) First year MAC is responsible for pass-through funding to the Missouri Public Broadcasting Corporation
- (2) According to industry standard ratings: Nielsen (television) and Arbitron (radio); number in millions

The estimates of annual benefiting in 2009 are understated as a result of changes in estimation methodology.

7d. Provide a customer satisfaction measure, if available.

N/A

^{**} Radio stations use Arbitron ratings to determine audience

RANK:

AMOUNT OF		2012 Budget	Request			FY 2012	2 Governor's	Recommend	ation
	GR	Federal	Other	Total		GR	Federal	Other	Total
s	0	0	0	0	PS	0	0	0	0
E	0	0	0	0	EE	0	0	0	0
SD	0	0	0	0	PSD	0	0	200,000	0
RF _	0	0	0	00	TRF _	0	0	0	0
otal =	0	0	0	0	Total	0	0	200,000	0
TE	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	FTE	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
st. Fringe	0	0]	0	0	Est. Fringe	0	0	0	0
		- 1	- 1	- <u>,</u>		- 1	٠,	- I	
lote: Fringes bu	dgeted in House Bill	5 except for	certain fringes	- <u>,</u>	Note: Fringes I	budgeted in I	House Bill 5 ex	cept for certa	in fringes
lote: Fringes bu		5 except for	certain fringes	- <u>,</u>		budgeted in I	House Bill 5 ex	cept for certa	in fringes
lote: Fringes bu lirectly to MoDO	dgeted in House Bill	5 except for	certain fringes	- <u>,</u>	Note: Fringes I	budgeted in I	House Bill 5 ex	cept for certa	in fringes
lote: Fringes bu irectly to MoDO other Funds:	dgeted in House Bill	5 except for nd Conservat	certain fringes tion.	- <u>,</u>	Note: Fringes l budgeted direct	budgeted in I	House Bill 5 ex	cept for certa	in fringes
lote: Fringes bu irectly to MoDO other Funds: . THIS REQUES	dgeted in House Bill T, Highway Patrol, a ST CAN BE CATEG	5 except for nd Conservat	certain fringes tion.	s budgeted	Note: Fringes l budgeted direct	budgeted in I	House Bill 5 ex , Highway Pa	cept for certa	in fringes
lote: Fringes bu irectly to MoDO other Funds: . THIS REQUES	dgeted in House Bill T, Highway Patrol, a	5 except for nd Conservat	certain fringes tion.	s budgeted New I	Note: Fringes lands	budgeted in I	House Bill 5 ex 7, Highway Pat	cept for certa trol, and Cons	in fringes servation.
lote: Fringes bu irectly to MoDO other Funds: . THIS REQUES	dgeted in House Bill T, Highway Patrol, a ST CAN BE CATEG New Legislation Federal Mandate	5 except for nd Conservat	certain fringes tion.	New F	Note: Fringes leading budgeted direction of their Funds: Program	budgeted in I	House Bill 5 ex , Highway Pai	cept for certa trol, and Cons	in fringes servation.
lote: Fringes bu lirectly to MoDO Other Funds: . THIS REQUES	dgeted in House Bill T, Highway Patrol, a ST CAN BE CATEG New Legislation Federal Mandate GR Pick-Up	5 except for nd Conservat	certain fringes tion.	New F	Note: Fringes I budgeted direct Other Funds: Program Expansion e Request	budgeted in I tly to MoDOT	House Bill 5 ex , Highway Pal	ccept for certa trol, and Cons Fund Switch Cost to Contin	in fringes servation.
Note: Fringes bu directly to MoDO Other Funds:	dgeted in House Bill T, Highway Patrol, a ST CAN BE CATEG New Legislation Federal Mandate	5 except for nd Conservat	certain fringes tion.	New Frogr	Note: Fringes I budgeted direct Other Funds: Program Expansion e Request	budgeted in I tly to MoDOT	House Bill 5 ex , Highway Pal	ccept for certa trol, and Cons Fund Switch Cost to Contin	in fringes servation.
Note: Fringes builirectly to MoDO Other Funds:	dgeted in House Bill T, Highway Patrol, a ST CAN BE CATEG New Legislation Federal Mandate GR Pick-Up Pay Plan	5 except for nd Conservation	certain fringes tion. 	New F Progr Space X Other	Note: Fringes I budgeted direct Other Funds: Program Expansion e Request : Spending Author	budgeted in I tly to MoDOT	House Bill 5 ex , Highway Par F	ccept for certa trol, and Cons Fund Switch Cost to Contin Equipment Re	ue placement
Other Funds: 2. THIS REQUES 3. WHY IS THIS	dgeted in House Bill T, Highway Patrol, a ST CAN BE CATEG New Legislation Federal Mandate GR Pick-Up Pay Plan	ORIZED AS:	certain fringes tion.	New F Progr Space X Other	Note: Fringes I budgeted direct Other Funds: Program Expansion e Request	budgeted in I tly to MoDOT	House Bill 5 ex , Highway Par F	ccept for certa trol, and Cons Fund Switch Cost to Contin Equipment Re	ue placement

broadcasting station.

RANK:	OF

Department: Economic Development	Budget Unit 42345C
Division: Missouri Arts Council	
DI Name: Public Broadcasting Spending Authority Increase	DI# 1419005

4. DESCRIBE THE DETAILED ASSUMPTIONS USED TO DERIVE THE SPECIFIC REQUESTED AMOUNT. (How did you determine that the requested number of FTE were appropriate? From what source or standard did you derive the requested levels of funding? Were alternatives such as outsourcing or automation considered? If based on new legislation, does request tie to TAFP fiscal note? If not, explain why. Detail which portions of the request are one-times and how those amounts were calculated.)

This \$200,000 in spending authority is associated with the new general revenue request increase which would be transferred to the Public Broadcasting Corporation (PBC) Special Fund (0887) in FY12.

Funding source is the Non-Resident Professional Athletes and Entertainers Tax in accordance with Section 143.183, RSMo.

5. BREAK DOWN THE REQUEST BY BUDGET OBJECT CLASS, JOB CLASS, AND FUND SOURCE. IDENTIFY ONE-TIME COSTS.									
	Dept Req	Dept Req	Dept Req	Dept Req	Dept Req	Dept Req	Dept Req	Dept Req	Dept Req
	GR	GR	FED	FED	OTHER	OTHER	TOTAL	TOTAL	One-Time
Budget Object Class/Job Class	DOLLARS	FTE	DOLLARS	FTE	DOLLARS	FTE	DOLLARS	FTE	DOLLARS
							0	0.0	
							0	0.0	
Total PS	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0
							0		
							0		
							0		
Total EE	0		0		0	-	0		0
Program Distributions							0		
Total PSD	0	•	0		0		0		0
Transfers									
Total TRF	0	•	0		0	'	0		0
Grand Total		0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0

MEN DEGIGION IN ELL	
RANK:	OF

Department: Economic Development			•	Budget Unit 42345C						
Division: Missouri Arts Council DI Name: Public Broadcasting Spending Authority Increase			DI# 1419005							
Budget Object Class/Job Class	Gov Rec GR DOLLARS	Gov Rec GR FTE	Gov Rec FED DOLLARS	Gov Rec FED FTE	Gov Rec OTHER DOLLARS	Gov Rec OTHER FTE	Gov Rec TOTAL DOLLARS	Gov Rec TOTAL FTE	Gov Rec One-Time DOLLARS	
					 		0	0.0 0.0		
Total PS	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0		0.0		
							0			
							0			
Total EE	0		0		0		0		- COSTON - COSTON	
Program Distributions Total PSD					200,000 200,000		200,000 200,000			
Transfers Total TRF	0				0		0			
Grand Total		0.0	0	0.0	200,000	0.0	200,000	0.0		

NEW DECISION ITEM RANK:

				RANK:		OF		_
Department: Econo		ent				Budget Unit	42345C	
Division: Missouri A DI Name: Public Bro		ending Autho	rity Increase	9	DI# 1419005			
6. PERFORMANCE	MEASURES (I	f new decisio	n item has a	an associate	d core, sepai	rately identify	/ projected	performance with & without additional funding.)
6a. Pro N/A	ovide an effec	tiveness me	easure.				6b.	Provide an efficiency measure. N/A
6c. Pro	ovide the num	nber of clien	ts/individu	als served,	if applicabl	e.	6d.	Provide a customer satisfaction measure, if available.
		2009 Actuals	2010 Actuals	2011 Projected	2012 Projected			N/A
Individuals benefiti	ng: TV (1)	2.5	2.7	3	3			
Individuals benefiti	ng: Radio (1)	0.9	0.96	1	1			
Children benefiting		5,704	5,758	5,800	5,900			
(1) According to indu	ıstry standard ra	itings, Nielsen	(television) a	and Arbitron (radio); numbe	er in millions		

	RANK:	OF			
Department: Economic Development		Budget Unit	42345C	 	
Division: Missouri Arts Council	<u> </u>				
DI Name: Public Broadcasting Spending Authority Increase	DI# 141900	5			
7. STRATEGIES TO ACHIEVE THE PERFORMANCE MEASURE	MENT TARGETS:			 	
N/A					
19/74					

DECISION ITEM DETAIL

Budget Unit Decision Item Budget Object Class	FY 2010 ACTUAL DOLLAR	FY 2010 ACTUAL FTE	FY 2011 BUDGET DOLLAR	FY 2011 BUDGET FTE	FY 2012 DEPT REQ DOLLAR	FY 2012 DEPT REQ FTE	FY 2012 GOV REC DOLLAR	FY 2012 GOV REC FTE
PUBLIC TELEVISION GRANTS								
Athletes & Entertainers Dist - 1419005								
PROGRAM DISTRIBUTIONS	0	0.00	0	0.00	(0.00	200,000	0.00
TOTAL - PD	0	0.00	0	0.00		0.00	200,000	0.00
GRAND TOTAL	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$(0.00	\$200,000	0.00
GENERAL REVENUE	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$(0.00	\$0	0.00
FEDERAL FUNDS	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$(0.00	\$0	0.00
OTHER FUNDS	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$1	0.00	\$200,000	0.00

1/20/11 16:19 Im_didetail Page 45 of 76

DECISION ITEM SUMMARY

GRAND TOTAL	\$4,430,476	0.00	\$	0.00	\$	0.00	\$1,200,000	0.00
TOTAL	0	0.00	!	0.00		0.00	1,200,000	0.00
TOTAL - TRF	0	0.00		0.00		0.00	1,200,000	0.00
Athletes & Entertainers Dist - 1419005 FUND TRANSFERS GENERAL REVENUE	0	0.00		0.00		0.00	1,200,000	0.00
TOTAL	4,430,476	0.00		0.00		0.00	0	0.00
TOTAL - TRF	4,430,476	0.00		0.00		0.00	0	0.00
FUND TRANSFERS GENERAL REVENUE	4,430,476	0.00		0.00		0.00	0	0.00
ARTS COUNCIL-TRANSFER CORE								
Fund	DOLLAR	FTE	DOLLAR	FTE	DOLLAR	FTE	DOLLAR	FTE
Decision Item Budget Object Summary	FY 2010 ACTUAL	FY 2010 ACTUAL	FY 2011 BUDGET	FY 2011 BUDGET	FY 2012 DEPT REQ	FY 2012 DEPT REQ	FY 2012 GOV REC	FY 2012 GOV REC
Budget Unit								

im_disummary

CORE DECISION ITEM

Department: Economic Development

Division: Missouri State Council on the Arts

Core: Missouri Arts Council Trust Fund Transfer

Budget Unit 42350C

1. CORE FINANCIAL SUMMARY

	FY 2	012 Budget	Request	
	GR F	ederal	Other	Total
PS	Ō	0	0	0
EE	0	0	0	0
PSD	0	0	0	0
TRF	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0
FTE	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Est. Fringe	01	0	0	0

	F1 2012 Governor's Recommendation									
	GR	Fed	Other	Total						
PS	0	0	0	0						
EE	0	0	0	0						
PSD	0	0	0	0						
TRF	0	0	0	0						
Total	0	0	0	0						
FTE	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00						

EV 2012 Governor's Passammendation

| Est. Fringe | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Note: Fringes budgeted in House Bill 5 except for certain fringes budgeted directly to MoDOT, Highway Patrol, and Conservation.

Other Funds:

Other Funds:

2. CORE DESCRIPTION

This core decision item is the General Revenue transfer that provides funding to a majority of Missouri Arts Council (MAC) programs and administration. MAC provides matching grants to Missouri non-profit, tax-exempt organizations. MAC encourages and stimulates the growth, development, and appreciation of the arts in Missouri by funding quality arts programming that address MAC's strategic goals: Increasing Participation in the Arts, Growing Missouri's Economy Through the Arts, and Strengthening Missouri Education Using the Arts. From FY 2004-2008, the Missouri Arts Council Trust Fund sustained most of MAC's programs and operations. During FY 2009, MAC was appropriated \$10.8 million trust transfer, but received a \$5.238 million transfer into the MAC Trust Fund. For FY 2009 MAC's programs and administration budget was \$9.5 million. For FY 2010, MAC was appropriated \$10.185 million trust transfer but received \$4,430,476. MAC's FY 2010 budget for programs and administration was \$9.6 million. For FY 2011 MAC was appropriated \$0 funds to be transferred to the MAC Trust Fund from the Non-resident Professional Athletes and Entertainers (A&E) tax, but should have been appropriated 60% of the A&E tax as mandated in Section 143.183, RSMo. The MAC Trust Fund currently funds 91% of MAC's programs and administration.

3. PROGRAM LISTING (list programs included in this core funding)

Missouri Arts Council Trust Fund Transfer


CORE DECISION ITEM

Budget Unit 42350C

Department: Economic Development
Division: Missouri State Council on the Arts
Core: Missouri Arts Council Trust Fund Transfer

4. FINANCIAL HISTORY

	FY 2008 Actual	FY 2009 Actual	FY 2010 Actual	FY 2011 Current Yr.
Appropriation (All Funds)	7,800,000	10,800,000	10,185,000	0
Less Reverted (All Funds)	(234,000)	(5,562,000)	(5,754,524)	N/A
Budget Authority (All Funds)	7,566,000	5,238,000	4,430,476	N/A
Actual Expenditures (All Funds)	7,566,000	5,238,000	4,430,476	N/A
Unexpended (All Funds)	0	Ō	0	N/A
Unexpended, by Fund:				
General Revenue	0	0	0	N/A
Federal	0	0	0	N/A
Other	0	0	0	N/A



Reverted includes Governor's standard 3 percent reserve (when applicable) and any extraordinary withholdings.

NOTES:

DECISION ITEM DETAIL

Budget Unit Decision Item Budget Object Class	FY 2010 ACTUAL DOLLAR	FY 2010 ACTUAL FTE	FY 2011 BUDGET DOLLAR	FY 2011 BUDGET FTE	FY 2012 DEPT REQ DOLLAR	FY 2012 DEPT REQ FTE	FY 2012 GOV REC DOLLAR	FY 2012 GOV REC FTE
ARTS COUNCIL-TRANSFER								
CORE								
TRANSFERS OUT	4,430,476	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00
TOTAL - TRF	4,430,476	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00
GRAND TOTAL	\$4,430,476	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00
GENERAL REVENU	E \$4,430,476	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00		0.00
FEDERAL FUND	S \$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00		0.00
OTHER FUND	S \$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00		0.00

Page 46 of 76

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

Department: Economic Development

Program Name: Missouri Arts Council Trust Fund Transfer

Program is found in the following core budget(s): Missouri Arts Council Trust Fund Transfer

1. What does this program do?

For FY 2010, MAC was scheduled to receive \$10.185 million into this Trust Fund but received \$4.430,476 and received \$0 for FY 2011. This transfer represents a share of the Non-Resident Professional Athletes and Entertainers Tax as mandated in Section 143.183, RSMo. Even though \$0 funded for FY 2011, the Missouri Arts Council Trust Fund continues to support most of MAC's programs and administration (\$7.8 million) as well as the Trust's Capital Incentive Program (CIP) (\$596,375). The CIP provides an annual payout to the 25 arts organizations that raised endowment funds or built and renovated facilities for arts performances or exhibitions only if the trust receives at least \$3m each year. Funding from the Missouri Arts Council Trust Fund leveraged \$26 million in private support for the participating arts organizations for their endowments or building projects.

MAC funds over 600 Missouri tax-exempt organizations in over 130 communities for their art programming. MAC grants serve every Missouri Senate district and 79% of the House districts. Our grantees produced 11,500 arts events attended by approximately 7 million people.


- 2. What is the authorization for this program, i.e., federal or state statute, etc.? (Include the federal program number, if applicable.) Section 185.100 RSMo., Section 143.183 RSMo.
- 3. Are there federal matching requirements? If yes, please explain.

No.

4. Is this a federally mandated program? If yes, please explain.

No.

5. Provide actual expenditures for the prior three fiscal years and planned expenditures for the current fiscal year.



NOTE: FY10 expenditure restrictions taken out of FY2010 Planned Expenditures.

6. What are the sources of the "Other " funds?

Original source of funds is the non-resident professional athletes and entertainers tax . Transfer from GR to MO Arts Council Trust Fund (0262)

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

-	
	artment: Economic Development
Prog	ram Name: Missouri Arts Council Trust Fund Transfer
Prog	ram is found in the following core budget(s): Missouri Arts Council Trust Fund Transfer
7a.	Provide an effectiveness measure.
	This is a GR transfer. Please refer to the Program Description for Arts Council Programs .
7b.	Provide an efficiency measure.
	This is a GR transfer. Please refer to the Program Description for Arts Council Programs .
7c.	Provide the number of clients/individuals served, if applicable.
/ 0.	This is a GR transfer. Please refer to the Program Description for Arts Council Programs .
	The following the first to the program Boost past past to the common to gram and the common
7d.	Provide a customer satisfaction measure, if available.
	This is a GR transfer. Please refer to the Program Description for Arts Council Programs .
•	
ļ	
1	
<u> </u>	

NEW DECISION ITEM RANK:

OF

Department:	Economic De	velopm	ent			· · · · ·	Budget Unit 42350C				***************************************	
Division: Mis	souri State C	ouncil o	n the Arts			•	_					
DI Name: MA	C Trust Fund	Transfe	er Increase)# 1419005							
1. AMOUNT	OF REQUEST											
		FY	2012 Budget	Request				FY 2012	Governor's	Recommen	dation	
	GR		Federal	Other	Total			GR	Federal	Other	Total	
PS		0	0	0	0	•	PS	0	0	0	0	
EE		0	0	0	0		EE	0	0	0	0	
PSD		0	0	0	0		PSD	0	0	0	0	
TRF		0	0	0	0		TRF	1,200,000	0	0_	1,200,000	
Total		0	Ō	0	0	· !	Total	1,200,000	0	0	1,200,000	
FTE		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		FTE	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Est. Fringe		0	ōT	0	0	Ī	Est. Fringe	0	0	0	0	
	s budgeted in F	louse B	ill 5 except for	certain fringe	es		Note: Fring	es budgeted in F		•	- 1	
budgeted dire	ctly to MoDOT	, Highwa	ay Patrol, and	Conservation	n		budgeted d	irectly to MoDOT	, Highway Pat	rol, and Con	servation.	
Other Funds:							Other Fund	s:				
2. THIS REQ	UEST CAN BE	CATE	ORIZED AS:									
	New Legisl	ation				New Progr	am		F	und Switch		
	Federal Ma	andate		_		Program E	xpansion	_	c	ost to Conti	nue	
	GR Pick-U	p				Space Red	quest	_	E	quipment Re	eplacement	
	Pay Plan			_	Х	Other:	General Re	venue Transfer		-		
ì	HIS FUNDING					R ITEMS (CHECKED IN	#2. INCLUDE TI	HE FEDERAL	OR STATE	STATUTOR	Y OR
MAC wants to funded Great	o provide the n ter Missouri Le	ew fund gislative	ling to existing Districts and	grantees to provide capa	bring their fo	unding clos and techni iri's overall	er to previous ical assistance economy by s	(0262). The fund levels. MAC will grants to small a upporting jobs, a	continue to fu and mid-sized ttracting busin	nd programs non-profit ar ess and tour	s in unfunded ts organizatio ism by devel	f and under- ons. The loping arts

activities and events for 8 million Missouri citizens and visitors. With this appropriation, we will continue to spend down the Missouri Arts Council Cultural Trust Funds.

In FY12 we will spend one-half of the remaining balance of the trust funds in addition to this transfer. This represents a 7.5% reduction of MAC's budget.

11211 220101011			
RANK:	OF		

Department: Economic Development		Budget Unit 42350C	
Division: Missouri State Council on the Arts			
DI Name: MAC Trust Fund Transfer Increase	DI# 1419005		

4. DESCRIBE THE DETAILED ASSUMPTIONS USED TO DERIVE THE SPECIFIC REQUESTED AMOUNT. (How did you determine that the requested number of FTE were appropriate? From what source or standard did you derive the requested levels of funding? Were alternatives such as outsourcing or automation considered? If based on new legislation, does request tie to TAFP fiscal note? If not, explain why. Detail which portions of the request are one-times and how those amounts were calculated.)

The \$1,200,000 transfer to the Missouri Arts Council Trust Fund (0262) represents a portion of the revenue collected from Non-Resident Professional Athletes and Entertainers (A&E) Tax in accordance with Section 143.183, RSMo.

5. BREAK DOWN THE REQUEST BY BUDGE			CLASS, AND	FUND SOUR	CE. IDENTIF	Y ONE-TIME	COSTS.		
	Dept Req	Dept Req	Dept Req	Dept Req	Dept Req	Dept Req	Dept Req	Dept Req	Dept Req
	GR	GR	FED	FED	OTHER	OTHER	TOTAL	TOTAL	One-Time
Budget Object Class/Job Class	DOLLARS	FTE	DOLLARS	FTE	DOLLARS	FTE	DOLLARS	FTE	DOLLARS
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					0	0.0	
							0	0.0	
Total PS	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0
							0		
							0		
							0		
							<u> </u>		
Total EE	0		0		0		0		.0
 Program Distributions							0		
Total PSD	<u>_</u>		0		0		0		0
Transfers									
Total TRF	0		0		0		0		0
Grand Total		0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0
									<u>.</u>

RANK:	OF

Department: Economic Development	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		-	Budget Unit	42350C				
Division: Missouri State Council on the Arts DI Name: MAC Trust Fund Transfer Increase		DI# 1419005							
Budget Object Class/Job Class	Gov Rec GR DOLLARS	Gov Rec GR FTE	Gov Rec FED DOLLARS	Gov Rec FED FTE	Gov Rec OTHER DOLLARS	Gov Rec OTHER FTE	Gov Rec TOTAL DOLLARS	Gov Rec TOTAL FTE	Gov Rec One-Time DOLLARS
							0	0.0	
Total PS	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
							0		
Total EE	0		0		0		0 0 0		
Program Distributions Total PSD	0		0		0		0 0		
Transfers Total TRF	1,200,000 1,200,000		0		0		1,200,000 1,200,000		
Grand Total	1,200,000	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1,200,000	0.0	

OF

		RANK:	OF	····	_
Division: Misso	conomic Development ouri State Council on the Arts Trust Fund Transfer Increase	DI# 1419005	Budget Unit	42350C	_
6. PERFORMA	NCE MEASURES (If new decision item ha	s an associated core, s	eparately identi	y projected	performance with & without additional funding.)
6a.	Provide an effectiveness measure. This is a GR transfer. Please refer to the N			6b.	Provide an efficiency measure. This is a GR transfer. Please refer to the New
	Decision Item for the Mac Trust Fund Incre	ase.	·	·	Decision Item for the Mac Trust Fund Increase.
6c.	Provide the number of clients/individ		cable.	6d.	Provide a customer satisfaction measure, if available.
	This is a GR transfer. Please refer to the N				This is a GR transfer. Please refer to the New
	Decision Item for the Mac Trust Fund Incre	ase.			Decision Item for the Mac Trust Fund Increase.

	RANK:	OF	
Department: Economic Development		Budget Unit 42350C	
Division: Missouri State Council on the Arts			
DI Name: MAC Trust Fund Transfer Increase	DI# 1419005		
7. STRATEGIES TO ACHIEVE THE PERFORMANCI	E MEASUREMENT TARGE	ETS:	
This is a GR transfer. Please refer to the New Decision	on Item for the Mac Trust F	und Increase.	
			!
1			

DECISION ITEM DETAIL

Budget Unit Decision Item Budget Object Class	FY 2010 ACTUAL DOLLAR	FY 2010 ACTUAL FTE	FY 2011 BUDGET DOLLAR	FY 2011 BUDGET FTE	FY 2012 DEPT REQ DOLLAR	FY 2012 DEPT REQ FTE	FY 2012 GOV REC DOLLAR	FY 2012 GOV REC FTE
ARTS COUNCIL-TRANSFER								
Athletes & Entertainers Dist - 1419005								
TRANSFERS OUT	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	1,200,000	0.00
TOTAL - TRF	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	1,200,000	0.00
GRAND TOTAL	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$1,200,000	0.00
GENERAL REVENUE	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$1,200,000	0.00
FEDERAL FUNDS	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00
OTHER FUNDS	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00

Page 47 of 76

DECISION ITEM SUMMARY

Budget Unit Decision Item Budget Object Summary Fund	FY 2010 ACTUAL DOLLAR	FY 2010 ACTUAL FTE	FY 2011 BUDGET DOLLAR	FY 2011 BUDGET FTE	FY 2012 DEPT REQ DOLLAR	FY 2012 DEPT REQ FTE	FY 2012 GOV REC DOLLAR	FY 2012 GOV REC FTE
HUMANITIES COUNCIL-TRANSFER								
CORE								
FUND TRANSFERS GENERAL REVENUE	738,413	0.00		0.00	(0.00	0	0.00
TOTAL - TRF	738,413	0.00		0.00	(0.00	0	0.00
TOTAL	738,413	0.00		0.00		0.00	0	0.00
Athletes & Entertainers Dist - 1419005								
FUND TRANSFERS		*						
GENERAL REVENUE	0	0.00		0.00		0.00	200,000	0.00
TOTAL - TRF	0	0.00		0.00	(0.00	200,000	0.00
TOTAL	0	0.00		0.00		0.00	200,000	0.00
GRAND TOTAL	\$738,413	0.00	\$	0.00	\$	0.00	\$200,000	0.00

Im_disummary

CORE DECISION ITEM

Budget Unit 42370C Department: Economic Development Division: Missouri State Council on the Arts Core: Missouri Humanities Council Trust Fund Transfer 1. CORE FINANCIAL SUMMARY FY 2012 Governor's Recommendation FY 2012 Budget Request GR Fed Other Total GR Other **Total** Federal 0 Ō 0 0 PS Ō Ō O PS 0 0 0 0 0 EE EE 0 0 0 0 0 0 **PSD** 0 0 0 **PSD** 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 **TRF TRF** 0 0 Ō ō Ō ō 0 n n Total Total 0.00 FTE 0.00 0.00 0.00 FTE 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00

0

Rest. Fringe 0 0 0 0 Note: Fringes budgeted in House Bill 5 except for certain fringes budgeted directly to MoDOT, Highway Patrol, and Conservation.

Est. Fringe 0 0 0 0 0 Note: Fringes budgeted in House Bill 5 except for certain fringes budgeted directly to MoDOT, Highway Patrol, and Conservation.

Other Funds:

Other Funds:

2. CORE DESCRIPTION

This core decision item is the General Revenue transfer that provides funding for the Missouri Humanities Council Trust Fund. For FY 2010, the MAC Trust Fund was appropriated \$1,697,500, but received \$738,413. For FY 2011 the MAC Trust Fund was appropriated \$0 funding from the Non-resident Professional Athletes and Entertainers (A&E) tax but should have been appropriated 10% of the A&E tax as mandated in Section 143,183, RSMo. Missouri heritage institutions, which include many important cultural tourism assets, are seriously challenged by needs involving a full range of services to the public. These challenges include: insufficient interpretation and conservation of historical objects, documents, and textiles; major changes in the way local institutions adapt to changing demographics; and the influx of new cultural groups and traditions. The Missouri Humanities Council Trust Fund generates a corpus that can provide substantially larger grants to move local cultural institutions toward best practices in the field. This will have a positive impact on quality of life statewide and on tourism as organizations better involve the public and attract visitors. Transfers to the Missouri Humanities Council Trust Fund represent an investment in a cultural endowment which will be used to support substantial infrastructure improvement projects in the cultural sector throughout Missouri.

3. PROGRAM LISTING (list programs included in this core funding)

Missouri Humanities Council Trust Fund Transfer

CORE DECISION ITEM

Department: Economic Development


Division: Missouri State Council on the Arts

Core: Missouri Humanities Council Trust Fund Transfer

Budget Unit 42370C

4. FINANCIAL HISTORY

	FY 2008 Actual	FY 2009 Actual	FY 2010 Actual	FY 2011 Current Yr.
(4) 5 (4) 5 (4)	4 200 000	4 990 000	4 CO7 EO0	0
Appropriation (All Funds)	1,300,000	1,800,000	1,697,500	•
Less Reverted (All Funds)	(39,000)	(927,000)	(959,087)	N/A
Budget Authority (All Funds)	1,261,000	873,000	738,413	N/A
Actual Expenditures (All Funds)	1,261,000	873,000	738,413	N/A
Unexpended (All Funds)	0	0	0	N/A
Unexpended, by Fund: General Revenue Federal Other	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0	N/A N/A N/A
Oute	(1)	(2)	v	



Reverted includes Governor's standard 3 percent reserve (when applicable) and any extraordinary withholdings.

NOTES:

- (1) Transfer of \$1,300,000 from GR to the MO Humanities Council Trust Fund per Section 143.183, RSMo.
- (2) Transfer of \$1,800,000 from GR to the MO Humanities Council Trust Fund per Section 143.183, RSMo.

DECISION ITEM DETAIL

Budget Unit Decision Item Budget Object Class	FY 2010 ACTUAL DOLLAR	FY 2010 ACTUAL FTE	FY 2011 BUDGET DOLLAR	FY 2011 BUDGET FTE	FY 2012 DEPT REQ DOLLAR	FY 2012 DEPT REQ FTE	FY 2012 GOV REC DOLLAR	FY 2012 GOV REC FTE
HUMANITIES COUNCIL-TRANSFER	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				-			
CORE								
TRANSFERS OUT	738,413	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00
TOTAL - TRF	738,413	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00
GRAND TOTAL	\$738,413	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00
GENERAL REVENUE	\$738,413	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00		0.00
FEDERAL FUNDS	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00		0.00
OTHER FUNDS	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00		0.00

Page 48 of 76

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

Department: Economic Development

Program Name: Missouri Humanities Council Trust Fund Transfer

Program is found in the following core budget(s): Missouri Humanities Council Trust Fund Transfer

1. What does this program do?

The Humanities Council requests annual appropriations to the Missouri Humanities Council Trust Fund to leverage exemplary improvements in the grassroots museums, historical societies, historic houses and other local organizations. Transfers to the Missouri Humanities Council Trust Fund represent an investment in a cultural endowment, which will be used to support substantial infrastructure improvement projects in the cultural sector throughout Missouri. The Missouri Humanities Council plans to continue support of living history festivals; provide traveling historical exhibitions, such as our current Smithsonian exhibition; and provide consulting services and workshops and financial support to museums, libraries and other historical organizations in Missouri communities as well as assist them in increasing and improving their use of technology in their activities. This will have a positive impact on quality of life statewide and on tourism as organizations better involve the public and attract visitors.

2. What is the authorization for this program, i.e., federal or state statute, etc.? (Include the federal program number, if applicable.)

Sections 186.050 - 186.067 RSMo; Section 143.183 RSMo.


3. Are there federal matching requirements? If yes, please explain.

No.

4. Is this a federally mandated program? If yes, please explain.

No.

5. Provide actual expenditures for the prior three fiscal years and planned expenditures for the current fiscal year.



NOTE: FY10 expenditure restrictions taken out of FY2010 Planned Expenditures.

6. What are the sources of the "Other" funds?

Original source of funds is the non-resident professional athletes and entertainers tax. Transfer from GR to the Missouri Humanities Council Trust Fund (0177)

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

	Department: Economic Development								
Prog	Program Name: Missouri Humanities Council Trust Fund Transfer								
Proc	rogram is found in the following core budget(s): Missouri Humanities Council Trust Fund Transfer								
7a.	Provide an effectiveness measure.								
	This is a GR transfer. Please refer to the Program Description for the Missouri Humanities Council Trust Programs.								
7 1.									
/D.	Provide an efficiency measure.								
	This is a GR transfer. Please refer to the Program Description for the Missouri Humanities Council Trust Programs.								
7c.	Provide the number of clients/individuals served, if applicable.								
	This is a GR transfer. Please refer to the Program Description for the Missouri Humanities Council Trust Programs.								
7.4	Provide a customer satisfaction measure, if available.								
7 u.	This is a GR transfer. Please refer to the Program Description for the Missouri Humanities Council Trust Programs.								
	This is a Great deficient to any registing a second to a second to any registing a second to any registing a second to a second to any registing a second to a								

NEW DECISION ITEM RANK:

				RANK:		OF					
Department: E	conomic Develop	nent			Budge	et Unit	42370C				
Division: Miss	ouri Arts Council -	Missouri Hun	nanities Cou	ncil							
	Trust Fund Trans			I# 1419005	5						
1. AMOUNT O	F REQUEST			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
		/ 2012 Budget	Request				FY 2012	2 Governor's	Recommend	lation	<u> </u>
	GR	Federal	Other	Total			GR	Federal	Other	Total	
PS	0	0	0	0	PS		0	0	0	0	
EE	0	0	0	0	EE		0	0	0	0	
PSD	0	0	0	0	PSD		0	0	0	0	
TRF	0	0	0	0	TRF		200,000	0	0	200,000	
Total	0	0	0	0	Total		200,000	0	0	200,000	
FTE	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	FTE		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Est. Fringe	0	0	0	0	Est. F	ringe	0	0	0	0	
Note: Fringes I	budgeted in House	Bill 5 except for	certain fringe	es			s budgeted in l				
budgeted direct	tly to MoDOT, High	way Patrol, and	Conservation	7.	budge	eted dire	ectly to MoDOT	, Highway Par	trol, and Cons	servation.	
Other Funds:					Other	Funds:					
2. THIS REQU	EST CAN BE CATE	GORIZED AS									
	New Legislation				New Program			F	und Switch		
	Federal Mandate		-		Program Expansion	n	-		Cost to Contin	nue	
	GR Pick-Up		_		Space Request		-		Equipment Re	eplacement	
	_ Pay Plan		_	Х	- •	ral Reve	enue Transfer				
3 WHY IS TH	IS FUNDING NEED	ED? PROVID	E AN EXPLA	NATION F	OR ITEMS CHECKE	D IN #2	2. INCLUDE T	HE FEDERAL	OR STATE	STATUTORY	OR
	NAL AUTHORIZA										
Some of these demographics, larger grants to organizations l	services include: ir , and the influx of no o move local heritag petter involve the pu	nsufficient cons new cultural grouge ne institutions to ublic and attrac	ervation of his ups and traditi oward best pro t visitors. Trai	storical obje ions. The M actices in the nsfers to the	sm assets, are seriouects, documents, and lissouri Humanities I ne field. This will have e Humanities Trust F sector throughout Mis	text file Frust Fu e a pos Fund rep	es; major chan ınd (0177) will itive impact on	ges in the way generate a co quality of life	/ ।oca। ।nstitut rpus that can statewide and	ions adapt to provide subs d on tourism a	changing stantially as

RANK:	OF			

Department: Economic Development

Division: Missouri Arts Council - Missouri Humanities Council

DI Name: MHC Trust Fund Transfer

DI# 1419005

4. DESCRIBE THE DETAILED ASSUMPTIONS USED TO DERIVE THE SPECIFIC REQUESTED AMOUNT. (He

4. DESCRIBE THE DETAILED ASSUMPTIONS USED TO DERIVE THE SPECIFIC REQUESTED AMOUNT. (How did you determine that the requested number of FTE were appropriate? From what source or standard did you derive the requested levels of funding? Were alternatives such as outsourcing or automation considered? If based on new legislation, does request tie to TAFP fiscal note? If not, explain why. Detail which portions of the request are one-times and how those amounts were calculated.)

The \$200,000 in new funds transferred to the Missouri Humanities Trust Fund (0177) in FY12 represents a portion of the Non-resident Professional Athletes and Entertainers Tax, as described in Section 143.183, RSMo.

5. BREAK DOWN THE REQUEST BY BUD	GET OBJECT C	LASS, JOB	CLASS, AND	FUND SOUR	CE. IDENTIF	Y ONE-TIME	COSTS.		
	Dept Req	Dept Req	Dept Req	Dept Req	Dept Req	Dept Req	Dept Req	Dept Req	Dept Req
	GR	GR	FED	FED	OTHER	OTHER	TOTAL	TOTAL	One-Time
Budget Object Class/Job Class	DOLLARS	FTE	DOLLARS	FTE	DOLLARS	FTE	DOLLARS	FTE	DOLLARS
							0	0.0	
							0	0.0	
Total PS	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0
		-					0		
							0		:
							0		
 Total EE							<u>o</u>		<u></u>
Total LL	U		J		J		· ·		ŭ
Program Distributions							0		
Total PSD	0		0		0		0		0
Transfers									
Total TRF	0		0		0		0		0
0		0.0		0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
Grand Total	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	<u> </u>	0.0	

RANK:	OF

Department: Economic Development	_	Budget Unit	42370C						
Division: Missouri Arts Council - Missou DI Name: MHC Trust Fund Transfer		uncil DI# 1419005	<u> </u>						
Budget Object Class/Job Class	Gov Rec GR DOLLARS	Gov Rec GR FTE	Gov Rec FED DOLLARS	Gov Rec FED FTE	Gov Rec OTHER DOLLARS	Gov Rec OTHER FTE	Gov Rec TOTAL DOLLARS	Gov Rec TOTAL FTE	Gov Rec One-Time
							0 0	0.0 0.0	
Total PS	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0		0.0	
							0		
							0		
Total EE	0				0		0		
Program Distributions							0		
Total PSD	. 0		U		U		U		
Transfers Total TRF	200,000 200,000		0		0		200,000 200,000		
Grand Total	200,000	0.0) 0	0.0	0	0.0	200,000	0.0	

		RANK :	OF		_
Division: Missou	onomic Development ıri Arts Council - Missouri Human rust Fund Transfer	ties Council Di# 1419005	Budget Unit	42370C	_
6. PERFORMAN	CE MEASURES (If new decision in	em has an associated core, s	eparately identi	iy projected	performance with & without additional funding.)
	Provide an effectiveness meas sfer. Please refer to the program des		gram.	6b. This is a G	Provide an efficiency measure. R transfer. Please refer to the program description
				for the MH0	C Trust Program.
6c.	Provide the number of clients/	individuals served, if appli	cable.	6d.	Provide a customer satisfaction measure, if available.
This is a GR trans	sfer. Please refer to the program de	scription for the MHC Trust Prog	gram.	This is a G	R transfer. Please refer to the program description
				for the MH	C Trust Program.

Department: Economic Development		Budget Unit 42370C	
Division: Missouri Arts Council - Missouri Humar	nities Council		
DI Name: MHC Trust Fund Transfer	DI# 1419005		
7. STRATEGIES TO ACHIEVE THE PERFORMAN	CE MEASUREMENT TARGE	TS:	
This is a GR transfer. Please refer to the progra	am description for the MHC	Trust Program.	
This is a GR transfer. Please refer to the progra	am description for the MHC	Trust Program.	
This is a GR transfer. Please refer to the progra	am description for the MHC	Trust Program.	
This is a GR transfer. Please refer to the progra	am description for the MHC	Trust Program.	
This is a GR transfer. Please refer to the progra	am description for the MHC	CTrust Program.	

DECISION ITEM DETAIL

Budget Unit Decision Item Budget Object Class	FY 2010 ACTUAL DOLLAR	FY 2010 ACTUAL FTE	FY 2011 BUDGET DOLLAR	FY 2011 BUDGET FTE	FY 2012 DEPT REQ DOLLAR	FY 2012 DEPT REQ FTE	FY 2012 GOV REC DOLLAR	FY 2012 GOV REC FTE
HUMANITIES COUNCIL-TRANSFER								
Athletes & Entertainers Dist - 1419005								
TRANSFERS OUT	0	0.00	0	0.00	C	0.00	200,000	0.00
TOTAL - TRF	0	0.00	0	0.00	O	0.00	200,000	0.00
GRAND TOTAL	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$(0.00	\$200,000	0.00
GENERAL REVENUE	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$(0.00	\$200,000	0.00
FEDERAL FUNDS	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00
OTHER FUNDS	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$(0.00	\$0	0.00

DECISION ITEM SUMMARY

Budget Unit								
Decision Item	FY 2010	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2012	FY 2012	FY 2012
Budget Object Summary	ACTUAL	ACTUAL	BUDGET	BUDGET	DEPT REQ	DEPT REQ	GOV REC	GOV REC
Fund	DOLLAR	FTE	DOLLAR	FTE	DOLLAR	FTE	DOLLAR	FTE
PUBLIC TELEVISION TRANSFER								
CORE								
FUND TRANSFERS								
GENERAL REVENUE	740,782	0.00	(0.00		0.00	0	0.00
TOTAL - TRF	740,782	0.00		0.00		0.00	0	0.00
TOTAL	740,782	0.00		0.00		0.00	0	0.00
Athletes & Entertainers Dist - 1419005								
FUND TRANSFERS								
GENERAL REVENUE	0	0.00	(0.00		0.00	200,000	0.00
TOTAL - TRF	0	0.00	(0.00		0.00	200,000	0.00
TOTAL	0	0.00		0.00		0.00	200,000	0.00
GRAND TOTAL	\$740,782	0.00	\$(0.00	\$	0.00	\$200,000	0.00

im_disummary

CORE DECISION ITEM

Department: Economic Development **Budget Unit 42375C** Division: Missouri State Council on the Arts Core: Missouri Public Broadcasting Corporation Special Fund Transfer 1. CORE FINANCIAL SUMMARY **FY 2012 Budget Request** FY 2012 Governor's Recommendation GR **Federal** Fed Other Total Other **Total** GR PS 0 PS 0 0 0 0 0 0 EE EE 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 **PSD** 0 0 0 0 **PSD** 0 0 0 0 TRF 0 **TRF** 0 0 0 0 0 Total 0 0 0 0 0 Total FTE FTE 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 Est. Fringe Est. Fringe 0 Note: Fringes budgeted in House Bill 5 except for certain fringes Note: Fringes budgeted in House Bill 5 except for certain fringes budgeted directly to MoDOT, Highway Patrol, and Conservation. budgeted directly to MoDOT, Highway Patrol, and Conservation. Other Funds: Other Funds: Notes: Notes:

2. CORE DESCRIPTION

This core decision item is the General Revenue transfer that has provided funding for the Missouri Public Radio and Television core. The Missouri Arts Council (MAC) assumed responsibility for granting state funds to public television and radio stations beginning in FY 2007. MAC used the grant agreement process to ensure the accountability of public funds distribution. The 4 public television and 12 radio stations contribute significantly to Missouri's educational and cultural enrichment and are a valuable state resource. Section 143.183, RSMo., mandates revenue collected from the Non-Resident Professional Athletes and Entertainers Tax (A&E) to be transferred (through General Revenue) to the Public Broadcasting Corporation Special Fund. Public TV stations received 75% of the funds distributed; the remaining 25% was distributed to the public radio stations. The state funds have been used for local programming related to the needs and problems of the community served by the broadcast licensee. For FY 2010 PBC Special Funds was appropriated \$1,697,500 but received \$740,782. For FY 2011 PBC Special Funds was appropriated \$0 but should have been appropriated 10% of the A&E tax as mandated by Section 143.183, RSMo.

3. PROGRAM LISTING (list programs included in this core funding)

Public Broadcasting Community Service Programs

CORE DECISION ITEM

Department: Economic Development


Budget Unit 42375C

Division: Missouri State Council on the Arts

Core: Missouri Public Broadcasting Corporation Special Fund Transfer

4. FINANCIAL HISTORY

	FY 2008 Actual	FY 2009 Actual	FY 2010 Actual	FY 2011 Current Yr.
Appropriation (All Funds)	1,300,000	1,800,000	1,697,500	0
Less Reverted (All Funds)	(39,000)	(927,000)	(956,718)	N/A
Budget Authority (All Funds)	1,261,000	873,000	740,782	N/A
Actual Expenditures (All Funds)	1,261,000	873,000	740,782	N/A
Unexpended (All Funds)	0	0	0	N/A
Unexpended, by Fund:				
General Revenue	0	0	0	N/A
Federal	0	0	0	N/A
Other	0	0	0	N/A
	(1)	(2)		



Reverted includes Governor's standard 3 percent reserve (when applicable) and any extraordinary withholdings.

NOTES:

- (1) Transfer of \$1,261,000 from General Revenue to the MO Public Broadcasting Corp. Special Fund per Section 143.183, RSMo.
- (2) Transfer of \$873,000 from General Revenue to the MO Public Broadcasting Corp. Special Fund per Section 143.183, RSMo.

DECISION ITEM DETAIL

Budget Unit Decision Item Budget Object Class	FY 2010 ACTUAL DOLLAR	FY 2010 ACTUAL FTE	FY 2011 BUDGET DOLLAR	FY 2011 BUDGET FTE	FY 2012 DEPT REQ DOLLAR	FY 2012 DEPT REQ FTE	FY 2012 GOV REC DOLLAR	FY 2012 GOV REC FTE
PUBLIC TELEVISION TRANSFER								
CORE								
TRANSFERS OUT	740,782	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00
TOTAL - TRF	740,782	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00
GRAND TOTAL	\$740,782	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00
GENERAL REVENUE	\$740,782	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00		0.00
FEDERAL FUNDS	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00		0.00
OTHER FUNDS	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00		0.00

Page 50 of 76

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

Department: Economic Development

Program Name: Public Broadcasting Community Service Programs

Program is found in the following core budget(s): Missouri Public Broadcasting Corporation Special Fund Transfer

1. What does this program do?

The Missouri Arts Council assumed responsibility for granting state funds to public television stations beginning in FY 2007. Section 143.183, RSMo., mandates revenue collected from the Non-Resident Professional Athletes and Entertainers Tax (A&E) be transferred to the Public Broadcasting Corporation Special Fund. The 4 public TV stations receive 75% of the funds distributed; the remaining 25% is distributed to the 12 public radio stations. The state funds received are used for local programming related to the needs and problems of the community served by the broadcast licensee. MAC used the grant agreement process to ensure the accountability of public funds distribution to the broadcasting stations. The grants were divided into two categories: an annual basic service grant and an operating grant. The basic service grant is equal to 35% of the total amount appropriated and is divided equally among the four qualifying public television stations. The remainder of the appropriation was distributed as an operating grant in the same proportion as the station's operating expenses bear to all stations' operating expenses. The 4 Missouri public television stations reach 2.5 million people annually and the 12 Missouri public radio stations reach .926 million people annually.

2. What is the authorization for this program, i.e., federal or state statute, etc.? (Include the federal program number, if applicable.)

Sections 185.200 - 185.230 RSMo. Section 143.183 RSMo.


3. Are there federal matching requirements? If yes, please explain.

No

4. Is this a federally mandated program? If yes, please explain.

No

5. Provide actual expenditures for the prior three fiscal years and planned expenditures for the current fiscal year.



NOTE: FY10 expenditure restrictions taken out of FY 2010 Planned Expenditures.

6. What are the sources of the "Other " funds?

Original source of funds is the Non-resident Professional Athletes and Entertainers (A&E) tax. Transfer from GR to MO Public Broadcasting Special Corp. (0887)

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

	artment: Economic Development gram Name: Public Broadcasting Community Service Programs
	gram is found in the following core budget(s): Missouri Public Broadcasting Corporation Special Fund Transfer
7a.	Provide an effectiveness measure. This is a GR transfer. Please refer to the Program Description for the Public Television Grants.
7b.	Provide an efficiency measure. This is a GR transfer. Please refer to the Program Description for the Public Television Grants.
7c.	Provide the number of clients/individuals served, if applicable. This is a GR transfer. Please refer to the Program Description for the Public Television Grants.
7d.	Provide a customer satisfaction measure, if available. This is a GR transfer. Please refer to the Program Description for the Public Television Grants.

RANK:

OF

Dan andreament E	la Dave	la manant				Budget Unit	42375C		<u> </u>		
Department: E						Budget Onit	423730				
Division: Misso DI Name: Publi			I Eurod Te	mofer F)# 141900 5						
Di Name: Publi	C DI DAUCASUI	ig Specia	rruna m	alisiei L	7# 1419003						
1. AMOUNT O	F REQUEST										
FY 2012 Budget Request							FY 2012	2 Governor's	Recommend	lation	
	GR		deral	Other	Total		GR	Federal	Other	Total	
PS		0	0	0	0	PS	0	0	0	0	
EE		0	0	0	0	EE	0	0	0	0	
PSD		0	0	0	0	PSD	0	0	0	0	
TRF		0	0	0	0	TRF	200,000	0	0	200,000	
Total		0	0	0	0_	Total	200,000	0	0	200,000	
FTE		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	FTE	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Est. Fringe		0.1	o l	0 [0	Est. Fringe	1 0	ol	0	0	
Note: Fringes I	J oudgeted in Ho	use Bill 5	٠,			Note: Fringes	s budgeted in F	~ 1	• 1	in fringes	
budgeted direct	•		•	_		, ,	ectly to MoDOT		•	-	
buagotou ancot	iy to wobor, i	ngiiway i	uti oi, uiiu	CONSCI VALIO		Daugotou uno	- cay to m. c = c :	, ,			
Other Funds:						Other Funds:					
2. THIS REQUI	ST CAN BE	CATEGOR	RIZED AS:								
	New Legislat	ion				New Program		F	und Switch		
	Federal Man			-		Program Expansion	-		Cost to Contin	ue	
	GR Pick-Up					Space Request		E	Equipment Re	placement	
	Pay Plan			_	Х	Other: General Reve	enue Transfer				
				_							
3. WHY IS THI	S FUNDING N	EEDED?	PROVIDE	AN EXPLA	NATION FO	R ITEMS CHECKED IN #2	. INCLUDE T	HE FEDERAL	OR STATE	STATUTOR	Y OR
CONSTITUTIO	NAL AUTHOR	ZATION	FOR THIS	PROGRAM	i.	<u></u>					
in accordance million people a Entertainers Ta	with Sections 1 according to th ax and are dist re also under th	185.200-1 e Nielsen ributed (7:	85.230 and and Arbitr 5%) to four	d Section 14: on ratings. T oublic televi	3.183, RSMo he funds req ision broadc	State funds to the Missour of Missouri's 4 public televisquested represent a portion asting stations and (25%) to Communications Commiss	sion stations ar of revenue col o 12 public rad	nd 12 radio sta llected for Nor lio stations, as	itions nave ar iResident Pi outlined in S	rofessional A ection 143.1	Athletes and 83 RSMo.

RANK:	OF

Department: Economic Development		Budget Unit 42375C		
Division: Missouri Arts Council				
DI Name: Public Broadcasting Special Fund Transfer	DI# 1419005			

4. DESCRIBE THE DETAILED ASSUMPTIONS USED TO DERIVE THE SPECIFIC REQUESTED AMOUNT. (How did you determine that the requested number of FTE were appropriate? From what source or standard did you derive the requested levels of funding? Were alternatives such as outsourcing or automation considered? If based on new legislation, does request tie to TAFP fiscal note? If not, explain why. Detail which portions of the request are one-times and how those amounts were calculated.)

The \$200,000 in new funds transferred to the Public Broadcasting Special Fund (0887) in FY12 represents a portion of the Non-resident Professional Athletes and Entertainers Tax, as described in Section 143.183, RSMo.

5. BREAK DOWN THE REQUEST BY BUDG	SET OBJECT C	LASS, JOB	CLASS, AND	FUND SOUR	CE. IDENTIF	Y ONE-TIME	COSTS.		D 1 B
	Dept Req	Dept Req	Dept Req	Dept Req	Dept Req	Dept Req	Debt Ked	Dept Req	Dept Req
	GR	GR	FED	FED	OTHER	OTHER	TOTAL	TOTAL	One-Time
Budget Object Class/Job Class	DOLLARS	FTE	DOLLARS	FTE	DOLLARS	FTE	DOLLARS	FTE	DOLLARS
							0	0.0	
							0_	0.0	
Total PS	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0
							0		
							0		
							0		
Total EE	0	•	0		0		0		0
Total EE	•								
Program Distributions							0		
Total PSD		•	0		0		0		0
	Ū		•						
Transfers									
Total TRF	0	-	0		0		0		0
	ŭ		•						
Grand Total	<u> </u>	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0
Giana iotai		010	<u></u>				=======================================		

MEAA DECISION II EINI	
RANK:	OF

Department: Economic Development				Budget Unit	42375C				
Division: Missouri Arts Council DI Name: Public Broadcasting Special	Fund Transfor	DI# 1419005							
DI Name: Public Broadcasting Special	Fullu ITalistei I	JI# 1419003							·
	Gov Rec GR	Gov Rec GR	Gov Rec FED	Gov Rec FED FTE	Gov Rec OTHER DOLLARS	Gov Rec OTHER FTE	Gov Rec TOTAL DOLLARS	Gov Rec TOTAL FTE	Gov Rec One-Time DOLLARS
Budget Object Class/Job Class	DOLLARS	FTE	DOLLARS	FIE	DOLLARS	116	0	0.0	
							Ō	0.0	
Total PS	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0
							0		
		,					0		
							0		
							0		
Total EE	0		0		0		U		0
Program Distributions							0		
Total PSD	0		0		0		0		0
Transfers	200,000						200,000		
Total TRF	200,000		0		0		200,000		0
Grand Total	200,000	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	200,000	0.0	0

		RANK:	_ OF		<u> </u>
		: Economic Development issouri Arts Council	Budget Unit	42375C	
DIN	lame: Pı	ublic Broadcasting Special Fund Transfer DI# 1419005			
6. P	PERFOR	MANCE MEASURES (If new decision item has an associated core, se	parately identi	fy projecte	d performance with & without additional funding.)
	6a.	Provide an effectiveness measure.		6b.	Provide an efficiency measure.
		This is a GR transfer. Please refer to the program description for the PBC Special Fund Program.			GR transfer. Please refer to the program on for the PBC Special Fund Program.
	6c.	Provide the number of clients/individuals served, if applica	ıble.	6d.	Provide a customer satisfaction measure, if available.
		This is a GR transfer. Please refer to the program description for the PBC Special Fund Program.			GR transfer. Please refer to the program on for the PBC Special Fund Program.

	RANK:	OF	
Department: Economic Development		Budget Unit 42375C	
Division: Missouri Arts Council			
DI Name: Public Broadcasting Special Fund Transfer	DI# 1419005		
7. STRATEGIES TO ACHIEVE THE PERFORMANCE MEA	ASUREMENT TAR	GETS:	
This is a GR transfer. Please refer to the program des	cription for the PB	C Special Fund Program.	

DECISION ITEM DETAIL

Budget Unit Decision Item Budget Object Class	FY 2010 ACTUAL DOLLAR	FY 2010 ACTUAL FTE	FY 2011 BUDGET DOLLAR	FY 2011 BUDGET FTE	FY 2012 DEPT REQ DOLLAR	FY 2012 DEPT REQ FTE	FY 2012 GOV REC DOLLAR	FY 2012 GOV REC FTE
PUBLIC TELEVISION TRANSFER								
Athletes & Entertainers Dist - 1419005								
TRANSFERS OUT	(0.00	0	0.00		0.00	200,000	0.00
TOTAL - TRF		0.00	0	0.00	(0.00	200,000	0.00
GRAND TOTAL	\$	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$(0.00	\$200,000	0.00
GENERAL REVENUE	\$	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$(0.00	\$200,000	0.00
FEDERAL FUNDS	\$	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$1	0.00	\$0	0.00
OTHER FUNDS	\$	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$1	0.00	\$0	0.00

1/20/11 16:19 im_didetail Page 51 of 76

DECISION ITEM SUMMARY

Budget Unit								
Decision Item	FY 2010	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2012	FY 2012	FY 2012
Budget Object Summary	ACTUAL	ACTUAL	BUDGET	BUDGET	DEPT REQ	DEPT REQ	GOV REC	GOV REC
Fund	DOLLAR	FTE	DOLLAR	FTE	DOLLAR	FTE	DOLLAR	FTE
TOURISM								
CORE								
PERSONAL SERVICES								
DIVISION OF TOURISM SUPPL REV	1,260,263	34.22	1,614,386	41.00	1,614,386	41.00	1,614,386	41.00
TOTAL - PS	1,260,263	34.22	1,614,386	41.00	1,614,386	41.00	1,614,386	41.00
EXPENSE & EQUIPMENT								
DIVISION OF TOURISM SUPPL REV	10,900,619	0.00	8,043,346	0.00	9,043,346	0.00	9,043,346	0.00
TOURISM MARKETING FUND	15,000	0.00	15,000	0.00	15,000	0.00	15,000	0.00
TOTAL - EE	10,915,619	0.00	8,058,346	0.00	9,058,346	0.00	9,058,346	0.00
PROGRAM-SPECIFIC								
DIVISION OF TOURISM SUPPL REV	4,589,116	0.00	4,250,000	0.00	3,250,000	0.00	3,250,000	0.00
TOTAL - PD	4,589,116	0.00	4,250,000	0.00	3,250,000	0.00	3,250,000	0.00
TOTAL	16,764,998	34.22	13,922,732	41.00	13,922,732	41.00	13,922,732	41.00
GRAND TOTAL	\$16,764,998	34.22	\$13,922,732	41.00	\$13,922,732	41.00	\$13,922,732	41.00

im_disummary

CORE DECISION ITEM

Department: Economic Develorment

Dudget Unit 424E0C

	I <u>CIAL SUMMARY</u> FY 2	012 Budg	et Request	***************************************		FY 2012	Governor's	Recommen	dation
		ederal -	Other	Total		GR	Fed	Other	Total
PS	0	0	1,614,386	1,614,386	PS	0	0	1,614,386	1,614,386
EE	0	0	9,058,346	9,058,346	EE	0	0	9,058,346	9,058,346
PSD	0	0	3,250,000	3,250,000	PSD	0	0	3,250,000	3,250,000
TRF	0	0	0	0	TRF	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	13,922,732	13,922,732	Total	0	0	13,922,732	13,922,732
FTE	0.00	0.00	41.00	41.00	FTE	0.00	0.00	41.00	41.00
Est. Fringe	0	0	898,406	898,406	Est. Fringe	0	0	898,406	898,406
_	udgeted in House Bill	•		-	Note: Fringes	budgeted in H	ouse Bill 5 e	except for cer	tain fringes
budgeted directly	y to MoDOT, Highway	Patrol, ar	nd Conservati	on.	budgeted direc	tly to MoDOT,	Highway P	atrol, and Co	nservation.
Other Funds:	Tourism Suppleme	ntal Rever	nue Fund (027	74)	Other Funds: T	ourism Suppl	emental Re	venue Fund (0274)
	Tourism Marketing			•		ourism Marke			
	Requires a GR Tra		, . ,			Requires a GR	• ,	, ,	

This core decision item establishes the spending authority for the Missouri Division of Tourism (MDT) Statewide Tourism Marketing Program as provided for in Sections 620.450 through 620.467, RSMo. Section 620.467, RSMo., tracks sales tax revenue generated by 17 tourism-related Standard Industry Classification (SIC) codes used as a barometer to determine the growth in TSRF. The formula, effective July 1, 1994, allows for 3 percent inflation each year. Once the 3 percent threshold is reached, one-half of the additional growth is used to determine any increase in MDT funding. The funding formula cannot grow by more than \$3 million in any one year, and is subject to appropriation each year. Tourism generates \$12.3 billion in revenue for Missouri, supports 287,000 jobs and provides \$1.23 billion in state and local taxes for communities. Missouri receives \$2.54 in state tax revenues from every dollar invested in the MDT budget. This \$13,922,732 core funding appropriation is the result of a five year departure from the funding formula which equals a 57% underfunding. The MDT utilizes research based marketing and targets performance measurements to achieve optimum benefits from our marketing dollars to cost effectively entice visitors to stay longer and spend more in Missouri destinations. Independent research into the effect of past decreases in tourism core funding shows that it has negatively impacted Missouri tourism business revenue (down from visitors from MDT's target markets in 2009 by 5% over 2008), Missouri Tourism employment (down in FY09 6,000 jobs) and decreased state tax revenue from Tourism's SIC codes (FY10 down by \$8.3 million). With the Core budget, MDT will continue their marketing program to promote tourist attractions such as scenic sites, state parks, cultural and historic sites, recreational activities and destinations, hunting and fishing areas, and destination marketing organizations. Specifically, the MDT is responsible for the selection of ad agencies, brand message, content and placement of

CORE DECISION ITEM

Department: Economic Development	Budget Unit 42450C
Division: Tourism	


Core: Tourism

3. PROGRAM LISTING (list programs included in this core funding)

Statewide Tourism Marketing Program

4. FINANCIAL HISTORY

	FY 2008 Actual	FY 2009 Actual	FY 2010 Actual	FY 2011 Current Yr.
Appropriation (All Funds)	21,287,420	24,181,966	24,159,966	13,922,732
Less Reverted (All Funds)	0	(2,603,959)	(1,733,429)	N/A
Budget Authority (All Funds)	21,287,420	21,578,007	22,426,537	N/A
Actual Expenditures (All Funds)	19,404,438	21,307,427	16,764,998	N/A
Unexpended (All Funds)	1,882,982	270,580	5,661,539	N/A
Unexpended, by Fund:				
General Revenue	0	0	0	N/A
Federal	0	0	0	N/A
Other	1,882,982	270,580	5,661,539	N/A



Reverted includes Governor's standard 3 percent reserve (when applicable) and any extraordinary withholdings.

NOTES: In FY 2010 MDT changed from accrual to a cash system

CORE RECONCILIATION DETAIL

DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMEN

TOURISM

5. CORE	RECONCIL	IATION	DETAIL
---------	----------	---------------	--------

		Budget Class	FTE	GR	Federal	Other	Total	Explanation
TAFP AFTER VETO	ES							
		PS	41.00	0	0	1,614,386	1,614,386	
		EE	0.00	0	0	8,058,346	8,058,346	
		PD	0.00	0	0	4,250,000	4,250,000	
		Total	41.00	0	. 0	13,922,732	13,922,732	•
DEPARTMENT COR	RE ADJUSTMI	ENTS						•
Core Reallocation	475 2931	EE	0.00	- 0	0	(999,950)	(999,950)	Realign Budget
Core Reallocation	475 2192	EE	0.00	0	. 0	1,999,950	1,999,950	Realign Budget
Core Reallocation	475 2192	PD	0.00	0	0	(1,000,000)	(1,000,000)	Realign Budget
NET DE	PARTMENT	CHANGES	0.00	0	0	0	0	
DEPARTMENT COR	RE REQUEST							
		PS	41.00	0	0	1,614,386	1,614,386	
		EE	0.00	0	0	9,058,346	9,058,346	
		PD	0.00	0	0	3,250,000	3,250,000	_
		Total	41.00	0	0	13,922,732	13,922,732	•
GOVERNOR'S ADD	ITIONAL COF	RE ADJUST	MENTS					
Core Reallocation	2311 2931	EE	0.00	0	0	(50)	(50)	reallocate Tour of Missouri authority back to core EE
Core Reallocation	2311 2192	EE	0.00	0	0	50	50	reallocate Tour of Missouri authority back to core EE
NET GO	OVERNOR CH	IANGES	0.00	0	0	0	0	
GOVERNOR'S REC	OMMENDED	CORE						
		PS	41.00	0	0	1,614,386	1,614,386	
		EE	0.00	0	0	9,058,346	9,058,346	

CORE RECONCILIATION DETAIL

DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMEN

TOURISM

5. CORE RECONCILIATION DETAIL

	Budget Class	FTE	GR	Federal	Other	Total Explar	nation
GOVERNOR'S RECOMMENDED	CORE						
	PD	0.00	0	0	3,250,000	3,250,000	
	Total	41.00	0	0	13,922,732	13,922,732	

DECISION ITEM DETAIL

Budget Unit	FY 2010	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2012	FY 2012	FY 2012
Decision Item	ACTUAL	ACTUAL	BUDGET	BUDGET	DEPT REQ	DEPT REQ	GOV REC	GOV REC
Budget Object Class	DOLLAR	FTE	DOLLAR	FTE	DOLLAR	FTE	DOLLAR	FTE
TOURISM								
CORE								
ADMIN OFFICE SUPPORT ASSISTANT	60,720	2.00	60,792	2.00	60,792	2.00	60,792	2.00
ACCOUNTANT I	29,580	1.00	32,093	1.00	32,093	1.00	32,093	1.00
ACCOUNTING ANAL II	0	0.00	48,974	1.00	48,974	1.00	48,974	1.00
PUBLIC INFORMATION SPEC II	71,801	1.98	77,991	2.00	77,991	2.00	77,991	2.00
PUBLIC INFORMATION COOR	38,586	1.03	82,088	2.00	82,088	2.00	82,088	2.00
PUBLIC INFORMATION ADMSTR	43,099	1.00	53,315	1.00	53,315	1.00	53,315	1.00
TOURIST GUIDE	18,182	0.88	50,024	2.00	50,024	2.00	50,024	2.00
TOURIST ASST	161,391	6.87	175,245	7.00	175,245	7.00	175,245	7.00
TOURIST CENTER SPV	226,307	6.91	226,986	7.00	226,986	7.00	226,986	7.00
MANAGEMENT ANALYSIS SPEC I	35,952	1.00	39,253	1.00	39,253	1.00	39,253	1.00
MANAGEMENT ANALYSIS SPEC II	42,504	1.00	42,534	1.00	42,534	1.00	42,534	1.00
PLANNER III	43,344	1.00	45,526	1.00	45,526	1.00	45,526	1.00
GRAPHIC ARTS SPEC III	40,212	1.00	43,361	1.00	43,361	1.00	43,361	1.00
ECON DEV INFO & ADV COOR	31,196	0.73	46,654	1.00	46,654	1.00	46,654	1.00
COMMUNITY DEV REP II	34,644	1.00	38,721	1.00	38,721	1.00	38,721	1.00
FISCAL & ADMINISTRATIVE MGR B1	45,993	1.00	51,379	1.00	51,379	1.00	51,379	1.00
COMMUNITY & ECONOMIC DEV MGRB2	53,291	1.00	55,792	1.00	55,792	1.00	55,792	1.00
DEPUTY STATE DEPT DIRECTOR	32,812	0.30	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00
DIVISION DIRECTOR	43,078	0.56	87,384	1.00	87,384	1.00	87,384	1.00
DESIGNATED PRINCIPAL ASST DIV	155,202	2.37	149,458	2.00	149,458	2.00	149,458	2.00
CLERK	10,096	0.59	106,308	3.00	106,308	3.00	106,308	3.00
SPECIAL ASST PROFESSIONAL	0	0.00	58,195	1.00	58,195	1.00	58,195	1.00
PRINCIPAL ASST BOARD/COMMISSON	42,273	1.00	42,313	1.00	42,313	1.00	42,313	1.00
TOTAL - PS	1,260,263	34.22	1,614,386	41.00	1,614,386	41.00	1,614,386	41.00
TRAVEL, IN-STATE	34,857	0.00	50,000	0.00	50,000	0.00	50,000	0.00
TRAVEL, OUT-OF-STATE	15,273	0.00	50,000	0.00	50,000	0.00	50,000	0.00
FUEL & UTILITIES	170	0.00	20,000	0.00	5,000	0.00	5,000	0.00
SUPPLIES	117,149	0.00	362,300	0.00	362,300	0.00	362,300	0.00
PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT	24,143	0.00	46,575	0.00	46,575	0.00	46,575	0.00
COMMUNICATION SERV & SUPP	32,202	0.00	53,892	0.00	53,892	0.00	53,892	0.00
PROFESSIONAL SERVICES	10,661,995	0.00	7,213,209	0.00	8,213,209	0.00	8,213,209	0.00
HOUSEKEEPING & JANITORIAL SERV	5,265	0.00	25,000	0.00	25,000	0.00	25,000	0.00

1/20/11 16:19

im_didetali

Page 64 of 76

DECISION ITEM DETAIL

Budget Unit Decision Item	FY 2010 ACTUAL DOLLAR	FY 2010 ACTUAL FTE	FY 2011 BUDGET DOLLAR	FY 2011 BUDGET FTE	FY 2012 DEPT REQ DOLLAR	FY 2012 DEPT REQ FTE	FY 2012 GOV REC DOLLAR	FY 2012 GOV REC FTE
Budget Object Class	DOLLAR	116	DOLLAIN					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
TOURISM								
CORE							00.040	0.00
M&R SERVICES	3,302	0.00	22,840	0.00	22,840	0.00	22,840	0.00
MOTORIZED EQUIPMENT	0	0.00	1,000	0.00	1,000	0.00	1,000	0.00
OFFICE EQUIPMENT	1,615	0.00	16,000	0.00	16,000	0.00	16,000	0.00
OTHER EQUIPMENT	1,284	0.00	15,500	0.00	15,500	0.00	15,500	0.00
PROPERTY & IMPROVEMENTS	0	0.00	15,000	0.00	15,000	0.00	15,000	0.00
BUILDING LEASE PAYMENTS	15,880	0.00	113,000	0.00	158,000	0.00	158,000	0.00
EQUIPMENT RENTALS & LEASES	12	0.00	11,500	0.00	11,500	0.00	11,500	0.00
MISCELLANEOUS EXPENSES	2,472	0.00	42,480	0.00	12,480	0.00	12,480	0.00
REBILLABLE EXPENSES	0	0.00	50	0.00	50	0.00	50	0.00
TOTAL - EE	10,915,619	0.00	8,058,346	0.00	9,058,346	0.00	9,058,346	0.00
PROGRAM DISTRIBUTIONS	4,589,116	0.00	4,250,000	0.00	3,250,000	0.00	3,250,000	0.00
TOTAL - PD	4,589,116	0.00	4,250,000	0.00	3,250,000	0.00	3,250,000	0.00
GRAND TOTAL	\$16,764,998	34.22	\$13,922,732	41.00	\$13,922,732	41.00	\$13,922,732	41.00
GENERAL REVENUE	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00
FEDERAL FUNDS	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00
OTHER FUNDS	\$16,764,998	34.22	\$13,922,732	41.00	\$13,922,732	41.00	\$13,922,732	41.00

Department: Economic Development

Program Name: Statewide Tourism Marketing Program

Program is found in the following core budget(s): Tourism

1. What does this program do?

Tourism is a major industry in Missouri. Tourism generates \$12.3 billion in revenue for Missouri, supports 287,000 jobs and provides \$1.23 billion in state and local taxes for communities. Missouri receives \$2.54 in state tax revenues from every dollar invested in the Missouri Division of Tourism (MDT) budget. Our state hosts more than 36.6 million leisure travelers annually. MDT utilizes research based marketing to achieve optimum benefits from our marketing dollar. MDT's primary goal is to draw new and repeat visitors year-after-year thus increasing tourism expenditures in the state, which provides meaningful impact to Missouri's economy. The MDT is responsible for developing and implementing a statewide tourism marketing program to promote tourist attractions such as scenic sites, recreational activities and destinations, cultural and historic sites, state parks, and hunting and fishing areas. Specifically, the MDT is responsible for the selection of advertising agencies; brand message; content and placement of ads; writing and printing of consumer and trade brochures, pamphlets and booklets; maintenance of a website; a public relations and communications initiative; and fulfillment of requests for domestic and international tourist information regarding our state. MDT also represents the Missouri tourism industry in trade conferences and state, regional, national, and international organizations; and provides guidance and information to the traveling public through the operation of seven Tourism Welcome Centers located at key entry points to Missouri. Tourists look for new and exciting experiences as they plan their vacations. It is the responsibility of the MDT to promote attractions, and destinations both large and small, throughout the state, thus informing and in fact helping to close the sale to potential visitors deciding to travel to and within Missouri.


- 2. What is the authorization for this program, i.e., federal or state statute, etc.? (Include the federal program number, if applicable.) Sections 620.450 through 620.467 RSMo.
- 3. Are there federal matching requirements? If yes, please explain.

No.

4. Is this a federally mandated program? If yes, please explain.

No.

5. Provide actual expenditures for the prior three fiscal years and planned expenditures for the current fiscal year.



Department: Economic Development

Program Name: Statewide Tourism Marketing Program


Program is found in the following core budget(s): Tourism

6. What are the sources of the "Other " funds?

Tourism Supplemental Revenue Fund (0274), Tourism Marketing Fund (0650)

7a. Provide an effectiveness measure.

Sales Tax Revenues from 17 Tourism Related SIC codes.



7b. Provide an efficiency measure.

The economic impact (tourism expenditures) resulting directly from the Missouri Division of Tourism (MDT) marketing efforts.

The economic impact (tourism exper	FY2			2009	FY2		FY2011	FY2012	FY2013
	Projected	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Projected</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Projected</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Projected</u>	<u>Projected</u>	Projected
MDT Net Budget (millions)	\$20.7	\$19.4	\$24.1	\$21.3	\$24.3	\$18.3	\$13.4	\$13.4	\$20.0
Total Direct Economic Impact of MDT's Marketing (millions)	\$1,076.4	\$933.1	\$1,221.9	\$1,043.7	\$1,232.0	\$823.5	\$536.0	\$536.0	\$960.0
Direct Tourism Expenditures per dollar of MDT's Net Budget **	\$52.0	\$48.1	\$50.7	\$47.0	\$50.7	\$45 Est.	\$40 Est.	\$40 Est.	\$48 Est.

^{**} Source - Advertising Effectiveness Study, SMARI.


Department: Economic Development

Program Name: Statewide Tourism Marketing Program

Program is found in the following core budget(s): Tourism

7c. Provide the number of clients/individuals served, if applicable.

Increase in the number of additional tourists due to the Division of Tourism marketing efforts.



7d. Provide a customer satisfaction measure, if available.

The tourism industry job losses for a \$1 million budget loss by MDT are 1,095. The formula for arriving at this figure is based upon information from several sources:

^{*} Annual Tourism Economic Impact Report (University of Missouri)

^{*}Tourism Travel Data (TNS-TravelsAmerica)

^{*}Missouri's Tourism Advertising and Public Relations Effectiveness Research Study (Strategic Marketing and Research Inc. – SMARI, an independent, travel research firm)

DECISION ITEM SUMMARY

Budget Unit Decision Item Budget Object Summary Fund	FY 2010 ACTUAL DOLLAR	FY 2010 ACTUAL FTE	FY 2011 BUDGET DOLLAR	FY 2011 BUDGET FTE	FY 2012 DEPT REQ DOLLAR	FY 2012 DEPT REQ FTE	FY 2012 GOV REC DOLLAR	FY 2012 GOV REC FTE
TOURISM-TRANSFER								
CORE								
FUND TRANSFERS							10 100 570	0.00
GENERAL REVENUE	10,128,721	0.00	13,422,576	0.00	13,422,576	0.00	13,422,576	0.00
FEDRAL BUDGET STAB-MEDICAID RE	3,091,999	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00
TOTAL - TRF	13,220,720	0.00	13,422,576	0.00	13,422,576	0.00	13,422,576	0.00
TOTAL	13,220,720	0.00	13,422,576	0.00	13,422,576	0.00	13,422,576	0.00
GRAND TOTAL	\$13,220,720	0.00	\$13,422,576	0.00	\$13,422,576	0.00	\$13,422,576	0.00

1/20/11 16:19 im_disummary

CORE DECISION ITEM

Department: Ed	conomic Developr	nent			Budget Uni	42460C			
Division : Tour					-				
Core: Tourism	Supplemental Re	venue Fund	Transfer			•			
4 CODE EINAN	ICIAL CUMMARY								
1. CURE FINAN	ICIAL SUMMARY								
	F	/ 2012 Budge	et Request			FY 2012	Governor's	Recommer	ndation
	GR	Federal	Other	Total		GR	Fed	Other	Total
PS	0	0	0	0	PS	0	0	0	0
EE	0	0	0	0	EE	0	0	0	0
PSD	0	0	0	0	PSD	0	0	0	0
TRF	13,422,576	0	0	13,422,576	TRF	13,422,576	0	0	13,422,576
Total	13,422,576	0	0	13,422,576	Total	13,422,576	0	0	13,422,576
FTE	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	FTE	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Est. Fringe	0	0	0	0	Est. Fringe	0	0	0	0
Note: Fringes b	udgeted in House E	Bill 5 except fo	r certain frin	ges	Note: Fring	es budgeted in H	louse Bill 5 e	xcept for ce	rtain fringes
budgeted directl	y to MoDOT, Highw	ay Patrol, and	d Conservati	on.	budgeted di	rectly to MoDOT	, Highway Pa	itrol, and Co	onservation.
Other Funds:					Other Funds	· ·			

This core decision item is the required General Revenue transfer that provides funding to the Tourism Supplemental Revenue Fund, which is the source of funding for the Division of Tourism. The authority for the Division of Tourism Statewide Tourism Marketing Program is provided for in Sections 620.450 through 620.467, RSMo. Section 620.467, RSMo identifies the tourism industry classification codes used to determine the amount of General Revenue funds to be transferred into the Tourism Supplemental Revenue Fund.

3. PROGRAM LISTING (list programs included in this core funding)

Tourism Supplemental Revenue Fund Transfer

CORE DECISION ITEM

Department: Economic Development

Budget Unit 42450C

Division: Tourism


Core: Tourism

3. PROGRAM LISTING (list programs included in this core funding)

Statewide Tourism Marketing Program

4. FINANCIAL HISTORY

	FY 2008 Actual	FY 2009 Actual	FY 2010 Actual	FY 2011 Current Yr.
Appropriation (All Funds) Less Reverted (All Funds)	21,287,420	24,181,966	24,159,966 (1,733,429)	13,922,732 (402,677)
Budget Authority (All Funds)	21,287,420	21,578,007	22,426,537	13,520,055
Actual Expenditures (All Funds) Unexpended (All Funds)	19,404,438 1,882,982	21,307,427 270,580	16,764,998 5,661,539	N/A N/A
Unexpended, by Fund: General Revenue Federal	0	0	0	N/A N/A
Other	1,882,982	270,580	5,661,539	N/A



Reverted includes Governor's standard 3 percent reserve (when applicable) and any extraordinary withholdings.

NOTES: In FY 2010 MDT changed from accrual to a cash system

CORE RECONCILIATION DETAIL

DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENTOURISM-TRANSFER

5. CORE RECONCILIATION DETAIL

	Budget Class	FTE	GR	Federal	Other		Total
TAFP AFTER VETOES							
	TRF	0.00	13,422,576	0	0		13,422,576
	Total	0.00	13,422,576	0	0		13,422,576
DEPARTMENT CORE REQUEST							
	TRF	0.00	13,422,576	0	0		13,422,576
	Total	0.00	13,422,576	0	0		13,422,576
GOVERNOR'S RECOMMENDED	CORE						
	TRF	0.00	13,422,576	0	C)	13,422,576
	Total	0.00	13,422,576	0	0)	13,422,576

DF	CISI	ON I	ITEM	DETAIL

Budget Unit Decision Item Budget Object Class		FY 2010 ACTUAL DOLLAR	FY 2010 ACTUAL FTE	FY 2011 BUDGET DOLLAR	FY 2011 BUDGET FTE	FY 2012 DEPT REQ DOLLAR	FY 2012 DEPT REQ FTE	FY 2012 GOV REC DOLLAR	FY 2012 GOV REC FTE
TOURISM-TRANSFER									
CORE								10 100 770	0.00
TRANSFERS OUT		13,220,720	0.00	13,422,576	0.00	13,422,576	0.00	13,422,576	0.00
TOTAL - TRF	_	13,220,720	0.00	13,422,576	0.00	13,422,576	0.00	13,422,576	0.00
GRAND TOTAL		\$13,220,720	0.00	\$13,422,576	0.00	\$13,422,576	0.00	GOV REC DOLLAR 13,422,576	0.00
	GENERAL REVENUE	\$10,128,721	0.00	\$13,422,576	0.00	\$13,422,576	0.00	\$13,422,576	0.00
	FEDERAL FUNDS	\$3,091,999	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00
	OTHER FUNDS	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00

1/20/11 16:19
Im_didetail
Page 66 of 76

Department: Economic Development

Program Name: Tourism Supplemental Revenue Fund Transfer

Program is found in the following core budget(s): Tourism

1. What does this program do?

This is the General Revenue transfer that provides funding to the Tourism Supplemental Revenue Fund, which is the source of funding for the Division of Tourism. The authority for the Division of Tourism Statewide Tourism Marketing Program is provided for in Sections 620.450 through 620.467, RSMo. Section 620.467, RSMo identifies the tourism industry classification codes used to determine the amount of General Revenue funds to be transferred into the Tourism Supplemental Revenue Fund.

2. What is the authorization for this program, i.e., federal or state statute, etc.? (Include the federal program number, if applicable.)

Sections 620.450 through 620.467 RSMo.


3. Are there federal matching requirements? If yes, please explain.

No

4. Is this a federally mandated program? If yes, please explain.

No

5. Provide actual expenditures for the prior three fiscal years and planned expenditures for the current fiscal year.



6. What are the sources of the "Other" funds?

Tourism Supplemental Revenue Fund (0274), Tourism Marketing Fund (0650)

	artment: Economic Development
	gram Name: Tourism Supplemental Revenue Fund Transfer
	gram is found in the following core budget(s): Tourism
7a.	Provide an effectiveness measure.
	This is a GR transfer. Please refer to the Program Description for the Statewide Tourism Marketing Program.
7b.	Provide an efficiency measure. This is a GR transfer. Please refer to the Program Description for the Statewide Tourism Marketing Program.
7c.	Provide the number of clients/individuals served, if applicable. This is a GR transfer. Please refer to the Program Description for the Statewide Tourism Marketing Program.
7d.	Provide a customer satisfaction measure, if available. This is a GR transfer. Please refer to the Program Description for the Statewide Tourism Marketing Program.

DECISION ITEM SUMMARY

Budget Unit Decision Item Budget Object Summary Fund	FY 2010 ACTUAL DOLLAR	FY 2010 ACTUAL FTE	FY 2011 BUDGET DOLLAR	FY 2011 BUDGET FTE	FY 2012 DEPT REQ DOLLAR	FY 2012 DEPT REQ FTE	FY 2012 GOV REC DOLLAR	FY 2012 GOV REC FTE
FILM COMMISSION					-			
CORE								
PERSONAL SERVICES GENERAL REVENUE	0	0.00	87,732	2.00	87,732	2.00		0.00
TOTAL - PS	0	0.00	87,732	2.00	87,732	2.00		0.00
EXPENSE & EQUIPMENT GENERAL REVENUE	3,379	0.00	120,142	0.00	119,836	0.00	(0.00
TOTAL - EE	3,379	0.00	120,142	0.00	119,836	0.00		0.00
PROGRAM-SPECIFIC GENERAL REVENUE FEDRAL BUDGET STAB-MEDICAID RE	173,530 32,234	0.00 0.00	0	0.00 0.00	0	0.00 0.00	(0.00
TOTAL - PD	205,764	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	(0.00
TOTAL	209,143	0.00	207,874	2.00	207,568	2.00	(0.00
GRAND TOTAL	\$209,143	0.00	\$207,874	2.00	\$207,568	2.00	\$	0.00

lm_disummary

CORE DECISION ITEM

Department: Economic Development	Budget Unit 42465C	
Division: Missouri Film Commission		
Core: Missouri Film Commission		

1. CORE FINANCIAL SUMMARY

	F	Y 2012 Budge	et Request			FY 2012	Governor's	Recommend	ation
	GR	Federal	Other	Total		GR	Fed	Other	Total
PS	87,732	0	0	87,732	PS -	0	0	0	0
EE	119,836	0	0	119,836	EE	0	0	0	0
PSD	0	0	0	0	PSD	0	0	0	0
TRF	0	0	0	0	TRF	0	0	0	0
Total	207,568	0	0	207,568	Total	0	0	0	0
FTE	2.00	0.00	0.00	2.00	FTE	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Est. Fringe	48,823	0	0	48,823	Est. Fringe	0	0	0	
Moto: Eringon hu	dagted in House	Dill E avaant fo	r cartain fring	22	Note: Fringes	hudgeted in L	Jourse Rill 5 a	veent for certs	ain fringes

Note: Fringes budgeted in House Bill 5 except for certain fringes budgeted directly to MoDOT, Highway Patrol, and Conservation.

Note: Fringes budgeted in House Bill 5 except for certain fringes budgeted directly to MoDOT, Highway Patrol, and Conservation.

Other Funds:

NOTE:

2. CORE DESCRIPTION

The Missouri Film Commission was created in 1996 per §620.1200, RSMo, as part of the Missouri Department of Economic Development (DED). The purpose of the nine member Commission is to advise the director of the DED on the promotion of development of film production and facilities in Missouri. The Office of the Missouri Film Commission was established by §620.1210 and is charged with the attraction of film, television, video and cable productions to Missouri and to promote the growth of the film and video production industry within Missouri. The Film Office operates a full-service office devoted to saving filmmakers time, effort and money in arranging a shoot. The Film Office is backed by a strong and eager network of local contacts and provides the following services: (1) research and document any type of location background; (2) scout and supply photographs or videotape of the potential location per the client specifications; (3) assist potential clients in securing permits and obtaining the necessary clearances; and (4) represent the state of Missouri at various film industry trade shows and film festivals to promote Missouri as the best location for a film production. The Film Office provides detailed information on state and local film regulations, weather, production services, crew, talent, facilities, equipment and various support services such as hotels, caterers, transportation, etc. The Office also works closely with the various federal, state and local officials, as well as institutions, private businesses and individuals to ensure a problem free production.

3. PROGRAM LISTING (list programs included in this core funding)

Administrative Services and Support


CORE DECISION ITEM

Department: Economic Development
Division: Missouri Film Commission
Core: Missouri Film Commission

Budget Unit 42465C

4. FINANCIAL HISTORY

	FY 2008 Actual	FY 2009 Actual	FY 2010 Actual	FY 2011 Current Yr.
Appropriation (All Funds)	0	347,480	247,000	207,874
Less Reverted (All Funds)	0	(112,480)	(37,510)	(6,542)
Budget Authority (All Funds)	0	235,000	209,490	201,332
Actual Expenditures (All Funds)	0	235,000	209,143	N/A
Unexpended (All Funds)	0	0	347	N/A
Unexpended, by Fund: General Revenue Federal Other	0 0 0	0 0 0	347 0 0	N/A N/A N/A



Reverted includes Governor's standard 3 percent reserve (when applicable) and any extraordinary withholdings.

NOTES:

CORE RECONCILIATION DETAIL

DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT FILM COMMISSION

5. CORE RECONCILIATION DETAIL

		Budget Class	FTE	GR	Federal	Other	Total	Explanation
TAFP AFTER VETO	FS	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
MI MILITALIO	.20	PS	2.00	87,732	0	0	87,732	
		EE	0.00	120,142	0	0	120,142	_
		Total	2.00	207,874	0	0	207,874	
DEPARTMENT CO	RE ADJUSTME	NTS	··					
Core Reduction	1554 3674	EE	0.00	(306)	0	0	(306))
NET DE	EPARTMENT C	HANGES	0.00	(306)	0	0	(306))
DEPARTMENT CO	RE REQUEST							
	.,	PS	2.00	87,732	0	0	87,732	
		EE	0.00	119,836	0	0	119,836	<u>.</u>
		Total	2.00	207,568	00	00	207,568	<u> </u>
GOVERNOR'S ADD	ITIONAL COR	E ADJUST	MENTS					
Core Reduction	1702 3662	PS	(2.00)	(87,732)	0	0	(87,732)	FY 12 Core Reductions
Core Reduction	1702 3674	EE	0.00	(119,836)	0	0	(119,836)	FY 12 Core Reductions
NET G	OVERNOR CH	ANGES	(2.00)	(207,568)	0	0	(207,568)	
GOVERNOR'S REC	OMMENDED (CORE						
		PS	0.00	0	0	0	C	
		EE	0.00	0	0	0		<u>)</u>
		Total	0.00	0	0	0	() =

FLEXIBILITY REQUEST FORM

BUDGET UNIT NUMBER:	42465C		DEPARTMENT:	Economic Development
BUDGET UNIT NAME:	Film Commission		DIVISION:	Office of the Film Commission
requesting in dollar and pe	rcentage terms a	and explain why the flexib	ility is needed. If f	expense and equipment flexibility you are lexibility is being requested among divisions, rms and explain why the flexibility is needed.
		DEPARTM	ENT REQUEST	
increased flexibility is needed to to Missourians. Because of the University of Missouri, 100% flex expenses. PS - \$87,732 FF - \$119.836	ensure our ability to office's unique situatibility would allow the ibility will be use	o immediately address any ide ation with being relocated in Je ne department to use the entir	ntified operational mod fferson City on July 1, e appropriation of \$250	ment appropriations for the Office of the Film Commission. This diffications to ensure the provision of the highest quality services 2008 and still being paid through an agreement with the 0,000 for Office of the Film Commission salary and operational was used in the Prior Year Budget and the Current
PRIOR YEAR		CURRENT ESTIMATED AN	OUNT OF	BUDGET REQUEST ESTIMATED AMOUNT OF FLEXIBILITY THAT WILL BE USED
ACTUAL AMOUNT OF FLEXIBILITY USED EXPENDITION AND FLEXIBILITY THAT Expenditures in PS and E& based on needs to cover of address emergency and check the second cover of addr			will differ annually rational expenses,	Expenditures in PS and E&E will differ annually based on needs to cover operational expenses, address emergency and changing situations, etc.
3. Please explain how flexibil	ty was used in the	prior and/or current years.		
EX	PRIOR YEAR PLAIN ACTUAL US	SE		CURRENT YEAR EXPLAIN PLANNED USE
In FY2010, the Film Office flexed expenses.	d \$84,808 from PS	to EE to cover operational		ce of the Film Commission was appropriated 100% flexibility

DECISION ITEM DETAIL

FY 2010	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2012	FY 2012	FY 2012
ACTUAL	ACTUAL	BUDGET	BUDGET	DEPT REQ	DEPT REQ		GOV REC
DOLLAR	FTE	DOLLAR	FTE	DOLLAR	FTE	DOLLAR	FTE
	•						
0	0.00	36,063	1.00	36,063	1.00	0	0.00
0	0.00	51,669	1.00	51,669	1.00	0	0.00
0	0.00	87,732	2.00	87,732	2.00	0	0.00
1,014	0.00	21,724	0.00	21,724	0.00	0	0.00
0	0.00	7,520	0.00	7,214	0.00	0	0.00
865	0.00	16,920	0.00	16,920	0.00	0	0.00
0	0.00	10,360	0.00	10,360	0.00	0	0.00
1.099	0.00	3,700	0.00	3,700	0.00	0	0.00
401	0.00	46,923	0.00	46,923	0.00	0	0.00
0	0.00	2,400	0.00	2,400	0.00	0	0.00
0	0.00	500	0.00	500	0.00	0	0.00
0	0.00	5,200	0.00	5,200	0.00	0	0.00
0	0.00	4,895	0.00	4,895	0.00	0	0.00
3.379		120,142	0.00	119,836	0.00	0	0.00
		0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00
205,764	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00
\$209,143	0.00	\$207,874	2.00	\$207,568	2.00	\$0	0.00
\$176.909	0.00	\$207,874	2.00	\$207,568	2.00		0.00
	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00		0.00
\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00		0.00
	0 0 0 1,014 0 865 0 1,099 401 0 0 0 0 3,379 205,764 205,764 \$209,143	ACTUAL PTE O 0.00 O 0.	ACTUAL PTE DOLLAR 0 0.00 36,063 0 0.00 51,669 0 0.00 87,732 1,014 0.00 21,724 0 0.00 7,520 865 0.00 16,920 0 0.00 3,700 1,099 0.00 3,700 401 0.00 46,923 0 0.00 500 0 0.00 500 0 0.00 500 0 0.00 500 0 0.00 4,895 3,379 0.00 120,142 205,764 0.00 0 \$205,764 0.00 0 \$207,874 \$176,909 0.00 \$207,874	ACTUAL DOLLAR ACTUAL FTE BUDGET DOLLAR BUDGET FTE 0 0.00 36,063 1.00 0 0.00 51,669 1.00 0 0.00 87,732 2.00 1,014 0.00 21,724 0.00 0 0.00 7,520 0.00 865 0.00 16,920 0.00 1,099 0.00 3,700 0.00 401 0.00 46,923 0.00 0 0.00 2,400 0.00 0 0.00 5,200 0.00 0 0.00 5,200 0.00 0 0.00 4,895 0.00 205,764 0.00 0 0.00 \$209,764 0.00 \$207,874 2.00 \$176,909 0.00 \$207,874 2.00 \$32,234 0.00 \$0 0.00	ACTUAL DOLLAR ACTUAL FTE BUDGET DOLLAR BUDGET FTE DEPT REQ DOLLAR 0 0.00 36,063 1.00 36,063 0 0.00 51,669 1.00 51,669 0 0.00 87,732 2.00 87,732 1,014 0.00 21,724 0.00 21,724 0 0.00 7,520 0.00 7,214 865 0.00 16,920 0.00 16,920 0 0.00 3,700 0.00 10,360 1,099 0.00 3,700 0.00 3,700 401 0.00 46,923 0.00 46,923 0 0.00 2,400 0.00 2,400 0 0.00 5,200 0.00 5,200 0 0.00 5,200 0.00 5,200 0 0.00 4,895 0.00 4,895 3,379 0.00 120,142 0.00 119,836 205,764 0.0	ACTUAL PTE BUDGET BUDGET DEPT REQ DEPT REQ DOLLAR FTE DOLLAR	ACTUAL PTE DOLLAR BUDGET BUDGET DEPT REQ DEPT REQ DOLLAR 0 0.00 36,063 1.00 36,063 1.00 0 0 0.00 51,669 1.00 51,669 1.00 0 1,014 0.00 21,724 0.00 21,724 0.00 0 865 0.00 16,920 0.00 16,920 0.00 0 0 0.00 10,360 0.00 10,360 0.00 10,360 0.00 0 0 0.00 40,923 0.00 46,923 0.00 0 401 0.00 46,923 0.00 46,923 0.00 0 0 0.00 52,400 0.00 2,400 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00

Department: Economic Development

Program Name: Missouri Film Commission

Program is found in the following core budget(s): Missouri Film Commission

1. What does this program do?

The Missouri Film Commission was created in 1996 per §620.1200, RSMo, as part of the Missouri Department of Economic Development (DED). The purpose of the nine member Commission is to advise the director of the DED on the promotion of development of film production and facilities in Missouri. The Office of the Missouri Film Commission was established by §620.1210 and is charged with the attraction of film, television, video and cable productions to Missouri and to promote the growth of the film and video production industry within Missouri. The Film Office operates a full-service office devoted to saving filmmakers time, effort and money in arranging a shoot. The Film Office is backed by a strong and eager network of local contacts and provides the following services: (1) research and document any type of location background; (2) scout and supply photographs or videotape of the potential location per the client specifications; (3) assist potential clients in securing permits and obtaining the necessary clearances; and (4) represent the state of Missouri at various film industry trade shows and film festivals to promote Missouri as the best location for a film production. The Film Office provides detailed information on state and local film regulations, weather, production services, crew, talent, facilities, equipment and various support services such as hotels, caterers, transportation, etc. The Office also works closely with the various federal, state and local officials, as well as institutions, private businesses and individuals to ensure a problem free production.


- 2. What is the authorization for this program, i.e., federal or state statute, etc.? (Include the federal program number, if applicable.) Section 620.1200, RSMo.
- 3. Are there federal matching requirements? If yes, please explain.

No

4. Is this a federally mandated program? If yes, please explain.

No

5. Provide actual expenditures for the prior three fiscal years and planned expenditures for the current fiscal year.



Department: Economic Development


Program Name: Missouri Film Commission


Program is found in the following core budget(s): Missouri Film Commission

6. What are the sources of the "Other " funds?

None

7a. Provide an effectiveness measure.





7b. Provide an efficiency measure.


NA


Department: Economic Development

Program Name: Missouri Film Commission

Program is found in the following core budget(s): Missouri Film Commission

7c. Provide the number of clients/individuals served, if applicable.





7d. Provide a customer satisfaction measure, if available.

NA

DECISION	ITEM S	SUMM	ARY
----------	--------	------	-----

Budget Unit Decision Item Budget Object Summary Fund	FY 2010 ACTUAL DOLLAR	FY 2010 ACTUAL FTE	FY 2011 BUDGET DOLLAR	FY 2011 BUDGET FTE	FY 2012 DEPT REQ DOLLAR	FY 2012 DEPT REQ FTE	FY 2012 GOV REC DOLLAR	FY 2012 GOV REC FTE
MISSOURI HOUSING TRUST								
CORE		•						
PROGRAM-SPECIFIC MO HOUSING TRUST	3,653,903	0.00	4.450.000	0.00	4,450,000	0.00	4,450,000	0.00
								0.00
TOTAL - PD	3,653,903	0.00	4,450,000	0.00	4,450,000	0.00	4,450,000	0.00
TOTAL	3,653,903	0.00	4,450,000	0.00	4,450,000	0.00	4,450,000	0.00
GRAND TOTAL	\$3,653,903	0.00	\$4,450,000	0.00	\$4,450,000	0.00	\$4,450,000	0.00

1/20/11 16:19

im_disummary

CORE DECISION ITEM

Budget Unit 42470C

Department:	Economic Devel	opment			Buaget Unit 42	24/00				
Division:	Missouri Housin									
Core:	Missouri Housin	g Developn	nent Commis	sion - Missouri	Housing Trust Fund					
							,			
1. CORE FINAL	NCIAL SUMMARY				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
	FY	2012 Budge	et Request			FY 2012	Governor's	Recommen	dation	
	GR	Federal	Other	Total		GR	Fed	Other	Total	_
PS	0	0	0	0	PS	0	0	0	0	
EE	0	0	0	0	EE	0	0	0	0	
PSD	0	0	4,450,000	4,450,000 E	PSD	0	0	4,450,000	4,450,000	Ε
TRF	0	0	0	0	TRF	0	0	0_	0	
Total	0	0	4,450,000	4,450,000 E	Total	0	0	4,450,000	4,450,000	Ε
FTE	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	FTE	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Est. Fringe	0	ō	0	0	Est. Fringe	0	Ō	0	0]
Note: Fringes b	budgeted in House B	ill 5 except fo	or certain fring	ges	Note: Fringes b	oudgeted in H	louse Bill 5 e	except for cer	tain fringes	
budgeted direct	tly to MoDOT, Highwa	ay Patrol, an	nd Conservation	on.	budgeted direct	ly to MoDOT,	Highway P	atrol, and Co	nservation.]
Other Funda	Minacumi Llavaina	Turnet True	(0054)		Other Funds: M	liccouri Housi	na Truet Eu	nd (0254)		
Other Funds:	Missouri Housing An "E" is requeste			Sunde				450,000 Othe	er Funds	
Notes:		eu 101 94,430	U,UUU Oliter F	unus	. 110103. A	ii L is reque	οιοα τοι ψτ ,	100,000 00110		
2. CORE DESC	KIT HUN									

Section 215.034, RSMo., states "At the conclusion of each fiscal year, the state treasurer shall allocate all moneys in the Missouri Housing Trust Fund to the Missouri Housing Development Commission for disbursement and investment as directed by this section." These funds consist of \$3 of the recording fee on real estaterelated documents established in Section 59.319, RSMo. The objective of the Missouri Housing Trust Fund is to increase the availability of affordable housing for low-income and homeless Missourians. By statute, the funds must be used to benefit households earning 50% of area median income or less, and at least half of the funds must be used to benefit households earning 25% of area median income or less. According to 2000 Census data, over 24,000 people are homeless in Missouri on any given night, including 13,000 children.

For the 2010 application cycle, MHDC received application requests totaling \$15,290,642, but the Trust Fund had received only \$3,653,903 to disburse.

3. PROGRAM LISTING (list programs included in this core funding)

Economia Davalenment


Missouri Housing Trust Fund

CORE DECISION ITEM

Department:	Economic Development	Budget Unit 42470C
Division:	Missouri Housing Development Commission	
Core:	Missouri Housing Development Commission	Missouri Housing Trust Fund

4. FINANCIAL HISTORY

	FY 2008 Actual	FY 2009 Actual	FY 2010 Actual	FY 2011 Current Yr.
Appropriation (All Funds)	4,450,000	4,450,000	4,450,000	4,450,000
Less Reverted (All Funds)	0	0	0	N/A
Budget Authority (All Funds)	4,450,000	4,450,000	4,450,000	N/A
Actual Expenditures (All Funds)	4,756,547	4,182,815	3,653,903	N/A
Unexpended (All Funds)	(306,547)	267,185	796,097	N/A
Unexpended, by Fund:				
General Revenue	0	0	0	N/A
Federal	0	0	0	N/A
Other	(306,547)	267,185	796,097	N/A
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)



Reverted includes Governor's standard 3 percent reserve (when applicable) and any extraordinary withholdings.

NOTES:

- (1) Original Appropriation \$4,450,000 E. Appropriation increased by \$306,547
- (2) Original Appropriation \$4,450,000 E. Appropriation decreased by \$267,185.
- (3) Original Appropriation \$4,450,000 E. Appropriation decreased by \$796,097.
- (4) Current Appropriation is \$4,450,000 E.

CORE RECONCILIATION DETAIL

DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMEN MISSOURI HOUSING TRUST

5. CORE RECONCILIATION DETAIL

	Budget						
	Class	FTE	GR	Federal	Other	Total	
TAFP AFTER VETOES							
	PD	0.00	C	0	4,450,000	4,450,000)
	Total	0.00	(0	4,450,000	4,450,000	<u> </u>
DEPARTMENT CORE REQUEST						-	_
	PD	0.00	(0	4,450,000	4,450,000)
	Total	0.00	(0	4,450,000	4,450,000	-) =
GOVERNOR'S RECOMMENDED	CORE			<u>-</u>			
	PD	0.00	(0	4,450,000	4,450,000	<u>)</u>
	Total	0.00	(0	4,450,000	4,450,000	<u>)</u>

Budget Unit Decision item Budget Object Class	FY 2010 ACTUAL DOLLAR	FY 2010 ACTUAL FTE	FY 2011 BUDGET DOLLAR	FY 2011 BUDGET FTE	FY 2012 DEPT REQ DOLLAR	FY 2012 DEPT REQ FTE	FY 2012 GOV REC DOLLAR	FY 2012 GOV REC FTE
MISSOURI HOUSING TRUST						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
CORE								
PROGRAM DISTRIBUTIONS	3,653,903	0.00	4,450,000	0.00	4,450,000	0.00	4,450,000	0.00
TOTAL - PD	3,653,903	0.00	4,450,000	0.00	4,450,000	0.00	4,450,000	0.00
GRAND TOTAL	\$3,653,903	0.00	\$4,450,000	0.00	\$4,450,000	0.00	\$4,450,000	0.00
GENERAL REVENUE	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00
FEDERAL FUNDS	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00
OTHER FUNDS	\$3,653,903	0.00	\$4,450,000	0.00	\$4,450,000	0.00	\$4,450,000	0.00

Page 68 of 76

Economic Development

Program Name: Missouri Housing Trust Fund

Program is found in the following core budget(s): Missouri Housing Development Commission

1. What does this program do?

The Missouri Housing Trust Fund funds organizations that provide housing assistance for very low-income families and the homeless in Missouri. The Trust Fund supports programs that prevent families from becoming homeless; provides operating support for homeless shelters and transitional housing; provides home repairs and accessibility improvements for low-income homeowners; provides rental assistance for low-income families; and provides housing related services for low-income families.

- 2. What is the authorization for this program, i.e., federal or state statute, etc.? (Include the federal program number, if applicable.)


 Sections 215.034-215.039, RSMo.
- Are there federal matching requirements? If yes, please explain.

No.

4. Is this a federally mandated program? If yes, please explain.

No.

5. Provide actual expenditures for the prior three fiscal years and planned expenditures for the current fiscal year.



6. What are the sources of the "Other" funds?

Missouri Housing Trust Fund (0254), which is funded through a \$3 recording fee.


Economic Development

Program Name: Missouri Housing Trust Fund

Program is found in the following core budget(s): Missouri Housing Development Commission

7a. Provide an effectiveness measure.


Number of individuals prevented from becoming homeless as a result of assistance received from the Missouri Housing Trust Fund:



^{*}Actual data for FY 2010 will be available in August 2011.

7b. Provide an efficiency measure.

MHDC is able to assist a larger number of individuals than would otherwise be possible by successfully leveraging Trust Fund dollars with other private and public funds.



Ratio of Funds Leveraged

	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Projected	1:3	1:3	1:9	1:10	1:11	1:12
Actual	1.11	1:14	*			

^{*}Actual data for the amount of funds leveraged and the ratio of funds leveraged in FY 2010 will not be available until August 2011.

Economic Development

Program Name: Missouri Housing Trust Fund

Program is found in the following core budget(s): Missouri Housing Development Commission

7c. Provide the number of clients/individuals served, if applicable.

Number of individuals assisted with the Missouri Housing Trust Fund by funding category:

	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2010*	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Program	Actual	Projected	Actual	Projected	Actual	Projected	Projected	Projected
Homeless Prevention	8,552	8,544	4,744	5,795		NA	NA	NA
Transitional Housing	NA	NA	NA	NA		1,049	1,059	1,070
Emergency Assistance	NA	NA	NA	NA		4,194	4,237	4,280
Rental Assistance	615	654	585	385		375	379	383
Home Repairs	86	30	246	57		25	25	26
Operating / Match	518	850	1,524	8,569		10,187	10,292	10,389
Grand Total	9,771	10,078	7,099	14,806	0	15,830	15,992	16,148

NOTE: The program categories show the number served by funding category. Funding prioritization by category is set each year by the MHDC commissioners. Beginning in FY 2011, the Homeless Prevention category will be split into two new categories: Emergency Assistance and Transitional Housing.

Construction grants represent another funding category, but do not directly serve individuals during the grant (construction) period. The number of construction projects funded in recent years were as follows: six in FY 2008, six in FY 2009 and seven in FY 2010. For FY 2011, seven construction grants are projected, and six construction grants are projected each year for FY 2012-FY 2013.

7d. Provide a customer satisfaction measure, if available.

We do not have a customer satisfaction measure to report.

^{*} Actual data for FY 2010 will be available in August 2011.

DECISION ITEM SUMMARY

Budget Unit								
Decision Item	FY 2010	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2012	FY 2012	FY 2012
Budget Object Summary	ACTUAL	ACTUAL	BUDGET	BUDGET	DEPT REQ	DEPT REQ	GOV REC	GOV REC
Fund	DOLLAR	FTE	DOLLAR	FTE	DOLLAR	FTE	DOLLAR	FTE
OFFICE OF PUBLIC COUNSEL								
CORE								
PERSONAL SERVICES								
GENERAL REVENUE	602,714	11.05	593,501	12.00	593,501	12.00	0	0.00
PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	1,187,002	24.00
TOTAL - PS	602,714	11.05	593,501	12.00	593,501	12.00	1,187,002	24.00
EXPENSE & EQUIPMENT								
GENERAL REVENUE	129,813	0.00	115,243	0.00	110,991	0.00	0	0.00
PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	221,982	0.00
TOTAL - EE	129,813	0.00	115,243	0.00	110,991	0.00	221,982	0.00
TOTAL	732,527	11.05	708,744	12.00	704,492	12.00	1,408,984	24.00
GRAND TOTAL	\$732,527	11.05	\$708,744	12.00	\$704,492	12.00	\$1,408,984	24.00

CORE DECISION ITEM

Department: Eco	nomic Developr	nent			Budget Unit 42	2620C			
Division: Office	of Public Counse	el							
Core: Office of P	ublic Counsel								
1. CORE FINANC	IAL SUMMARY								
	FY	7 2012 Budge	t Request			FY 2012	Governor's	Recommen	dation
	GR	Federal	Other	Total		GR	Fed	Other	Total
PS	593,501	0	0	593,501	PS	0	0	1,187,002	1,187,002
EE	110,991	0	0	110,991	EE	0	0	221,982	221,982
PSD	0	0	0	0	PSD	0	0	0	0
TRF	0	0	0	0	TRF	0	0	0	0
Total	704,492	0	0	704,492	Total	0	0	1,408,984	1,408,984
FTE	12.00	0.00	0.00	12.00	FTE	0.00	0.00	24.00	24.00
Est. Fringe	330,283	0	ō	330,283	Est. Fringe	0	0	660,567	660,567
Note: Fringes bud	dgeted in House E	3ill 5 except fo	r certain fring	es	Note: Fringes b	oudgeted in H	louse Bill 5 e	except for cer	tain fringes
budgeted directly	to MoDOT, Highw	ay Patrol, and	d Conservatio	n.	budgeted direct	ly to MoDOT,	Highway P	atrol, and Col	nservation.
Other Funds:					Other Funds: P	ublic Service	Commission	n Fund	
A CODE DECOD	DTION								

2. CORE DESCRIPTION

This core request will provide Public Counsel with sufficient expertise and resources to represent consumers of regulated Missouri utility companies as well as to assist and inform Missourians with property rights concerns. This request funds attorneys and a technical staff that provide expert analysis and recommendations to the Public Service Commission (PSC) and in the courts. Public Counsel advocates for the interests of all consumers of investor-owned utilities in Missouri, with a particular focus on residential and small business consumers who have no other representation. The Public Counsel also has the authority to appeal PSC decisions through the court system when necessary to protect consumer interests. Through the ombudsman for property rights, Public Counsel provides guidance to individuals seeking information about the condemnation process.

3. PROGRAM LISTING (list programs included in this core funding)

Office of Public Counsel

(The Public Counsel is the statutory representative of utility consumers in cases before the Public Service Commission and in the courts, and provides guidance to individuals facing property rights issues.)


CORE DECISION ITEM

Department: Economic Development
Division: Office of Public Counsel
Core: Office of Public Counsel

Budget Unit 42620C

4. FINANCIAL HISTORY

	FY 2008 Actual	FY 2009 Actual	FY 2010 Actual	FY 2011 Current Yr.
Appropriation (All Funds)	836,358	880,809	880,809	708,744
Less Reverted (All Funds)	(25,090)	(72,151)	(143,992)	(25,514)
Budget Authority (All Funds)	811,268	808,658	736,817	683,230
Actual Expenditures (All Funds)	809,619	783,562	732,527	N/A
Unexpended (All Funds)	1,649	25,096	4,290	N/A
Unexpended, by Fund:				
General Revenue	1,649	25,096	4,290	N/A
Federal	0	0	0	N/A
Other	0	0	0	N/A
	(1)	(2)		



Reverted includes Governor's standard 3 percent reserve (when applicable) and any extraordinary withholdings.

NOTES:

- (1) Invoices received after cut off contributed to the \$1649 lapse in E&E. No lapse in PS.
- (2) FTE on FMLA January thru June 2009. Ombudsman position vacant 2/20/09-4/8/09.

CORE RECONCILIATION DETAIL

DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT OFFICE OF PUBLIC COUNSEL

5. CORE RECONCILIATION DETAIL

		Budget Class	FTE	GR	Federal	Other	Total	Explanation
TAFP AFTER VETO	ES							
		PS	12.00	593,501	0	0	593,501	
		EE	0.00	115,243	0	0	115,243	
		Total	12.00	708,744	0	0	708,744	:
DEPARTMENT CO	RE ADJUSTME	ENTS						
Core Reduction	1550 2202	EE	0.00	(4,252)	0	0	(4,252)	
NET DI	EPARTMENT (CHANGES	0.00	(4,252)	0	0	(4,252)	
DEPARTMENT COI	RE REQUEST							
		PS	12.00	593,501	0	0	593,501	
		EE	0.00	110,991	0	0	110,991	-
		Total	12.00	704,492	0	0	704,492	
GOVERNOR'S ADD	ITIONAL COR	E ADJUST	MENTS					
Core Reduction	1698 2202	EE	0.00	(3,802)	0	0	(3,802)	FY 12 Core Reductions
Core Reduction	1806 1031	PS	0.00	(593,501)	0	0	(593,501)	Replace GR with PSC funds.
Core Reduction	1806 2202	EE	0.00	(107,189)	0	0	(107,189)	Replace GR with PSC funds.
Core Reallocation	1806 1031	PS	(12.00)	0	0	0	0	Replace GR with PSC funds.
Core Reallocation	1806 7897	PS	24.00	0	0	1,187,002	1,187,002	Replace GR with PSC funds.
Core Reallocation	1806 7898	EE	0.00	0	0	221,982	221,982	Replace GR with PSC funds.
NET G	OVERNOR CH	ANGES	12.00	(704,492)	0	1,408,984	704,492	
GOVERNOR'S REC	OMMENDED	CORE						
		PS	24.00	0	0	1,187,002	1,187,002	

CORE RECONCILIATION DETAIL

DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMEN

OFFICE OF PUBLIC COUNSEL

5. CORE RECONCILIATION DETAIL

·	Budget Class	FTE	GR	Federal	Other	Total Exp	planation
GOVERNOR'S RECOMMENDED	CORE						
	EE	0.00	0	0	221,982	221,982	
	Total	24.00	0	0	1,408,984	1,408,984	

FLEXIBILITY REQUEST FORM

BUDGET UNIT NUMBER:	42620C		DEPARTMENT:	Economic Development	
BUDGET UNIT NAME:		Counsel PS 1031 - 0101 Counsel EE 2202 - 0101	DIVISION:	Office of Public Counsel	
equesting in dollar and perd	centage terms a	and explain why the flexib	ility is needed. If f	expense and equipment flexibility you are lexibility is being requested among divisions, rms and explain why the flexibility is needed.	
		DEPARTM	ENT REQUEST		
our ability to immediately address ight budget and history of using vPS - \$593,501*25% = \$148,375 EE - \$110,991*25% = \$27,748	any identified ope rirtually all of Perso	rational modifications to ensur onal Services and E&E allocati	e the provision of the lons each year, the add	ent appropriation. This increased flexibility is needed to ensur highest quality services to Missourians. Because of the office ded flexibility will allow us to operate more efficiently.	s
Estimate how much flexil Year Budget? Please specif	-	ed for the budget year. H	ow much flexibility	was used in the Prior Year Budget and the Current	
PRIOR YEAR ACTUAL AMOUNT OF FLEX	IBILITY USED	CURRENT ESTIMATED AN FLEXIBILITY THAT	OUNT OF	BUDGET REQUEST ESTIMATED AMOUNT OF FLEXIBILITY THAT WILL BE USED	
\$8,122		Expenditures in PS and E&E based on needs to cover ope address emergency and char	will differ annually erational expenses,	Expenditures in PS and E&E will differ annually based on needs to cover operational expenses, address emergency and changing situations, etc.	
3. Please explain how flexibilit	y was used in the	prior and/or current years.			
FXP	PRIOR YEAR			CURRENT YEAR EXPLAIN PLANNED USE	
			PS and E&E approp	of Public Counsel was appropriated up to 25% flexibility between the countries of the count	g

Budget Unit Decision Item	FY 2010 ACTUAL	FY 2010 ACTUAL	FY 2011 BUDGET	FY 2011 BUDGET	FY 2012 DEPT REQ	FY 2012 DEPT REQ	FY 2012 GOV REC	FY 2012 GOV REC FTE
Budget Object Class	DOLLAR	FTE	DOLLAR	FTE	DOLLAR	FTE	DOLLAR	FIE
OFFICE OF PUBLIC COUNSEL								
CORE							07.000	4.00
SR OFC SUPPORT ASST (KEYBRD)	47,393	1.84	53,034	2.00	32,640	2.00	65,280	4.00
CH PUBLIC UTILITY ACCOUNTANT	67,080	1.00	67,419	1.00	67,419	1.00	134,838	2.00
PUBLIC UTILITY ACCOUNTANT III	54,360	1.00	54,427	1.00	57,427	1.00	114,854	2.00
CH UTILITY ECONOMIST	118,308	2.00	87,898	2.00	122,278	2.00	244,556	4.00
DIVISION DIRECTOR	83,253	1.00	83,596	1.00	83,596	1.00	167,192	2.00
DESIGNATED PRINCIPAL ASST DIV	105,017	2.11	65,324	2.00	105,980	2.00	211,960	4.00
SENIOR COUNSEL	70,856	1.25	115,262	2.00	57,620	2.00	115,240	4.00
DEPUTY COUNSEL	56,447	0.85	66,541	1.00	66,541	1.00	133,082	2.00
TOTAL - PS	602,714	11.05	593,501	12.00	593,501	12.00	1,187,002	24.00
TRAVEL, IN-STATE	5,344	0.00	24,033	0.00	5,748	0.00	11,496	0.00
TRAVEL, OUT-OF-STATE	6,097	0.00	9,433	0.00	9,433	0.00	18,866	0.00
FUEL & UTILITIES	990	0.00	10	0.00	1,200	0.00	2,400	0.00
SUPPLIES	10,257	0.00	27,000	0.00	15,000	0.00	30,000	0.00
PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT	8,146	0.00	6,848	0.00	9,000	0.00	18,000	0.00
COMMUNICATION SERV & SUPP	8,121	0.00	21,034	0.00	10,000	0.00	20,000	0.00
PROFESSIONAL SERVICES	75,194	0.00	18,005	0.00	56,730	0.00	113,460	0.00
HOUSEKEEPING & JANITORIAL SERV	0	0.00	300	0.00	300	0.00	600	0.00
M&R SERVICES	1,847	0.00	7,000	0.00	2,000	0.00	4,000	0.00
OFFICE EQUIPMENT	0	0.00	250	0.00	250	0.00	500	0.00
OTHER EQUIPMENT	0	0.00	100	0.00	100	0.00	200	0.00
PROPERTY & IMPROVEMENTS	0	0.00	10	0.00	10	0.00	20	0.00
BUILDING LEASE PAYMENTS	13,770	0.00	10	0.00	10	0.00	20	0.00
EQUIPMENT RENTALS & LEASES	0	0.00	1,100	0.00	1,100	0.00	2,200	0.00
MISCELLANEOUS EXPENSES	47	0.00	10	0.00	10	0.00	20	0.00
REBILLABLE EXPENSES	0	0.00	100	0.00	100	0.00	200	0.00
TOTAL - EE	129,813	0.00	115,243	0.00	110,991	0.00	221,982	0.00
GRAND TOTAL	\$732,527	11.05	\$708,744	12.00	\$704,492	12.00	\$1,408,984	24.00
GENERAL REVENUE	\$732,527	11.05	\$708,744	12.00	\$704,492	12.00	\$0	0.00
FEDERAL FUNDS	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00
OTHER FUNDS	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$1,408,984	24.00
OTHER FORDS	Ψ0	0.00	•					

1/20/11 16:19 im_didetail Page 71 of 76

Department of Economic Development

Program Name Office of Public Counsel

Program is found in the following core budget(s): Office of Public Counsel

1. What does this program do?

This core request will provide Public Counsel with sufficient expertise and resources to represent consumers of regulated Missouri utility companies. This request funds attorneys and a technical staff that provide expert analysis and recommendations to the Public Service Commission and in the courts. Public Counsel advocates for the interests of all consumers of investor-owned utilities in Missouri, with a particular focus on residential and small business consumers who have no other representation. The Public Counsel also has the authority to appeal PSC decisions through the court system when necessary to protect consumer interests. In addition, through the property rights ombudsman, Public Counsel provides assistance to citizens seeking guidance about condemnation process and procedures.

2. What is the authorization for this program, i.e., federal or state statute, etc.? (Include the federal program number, if applicable.)

Sections 386.700 and 523.277, RSMo. 2000


3. Are there federal matching requirements? If yes, please explain.

No

4. Is this a federally mandated program? If yes, please explain.

No

5. Provide actual expenditures for the prior three fiscal years and planned expenditures for the current fiscal year.



Note: FY10 expenditure restrictions taken out of FY2010 Planned Expenditures.

6. What are the sources of the "Other " funds?

N/A


Department of Economic Development

Program Name Office of Public Counsel

Program is found in the following core budget(s): Office of Public Counsel


7a. Provide an effectiveness measure.

Amount of consumer savings that can be quantifiably attributed to the Office of the Public Counsel's (OPC's) advocacy before the Public Service Commission (PSC), in appeals from the PSC, and in other legal forums:



*FY10 Actual data will not be available until late 2010.

Number of new consumer protection issues, unique to the Office of the Public Counsel, that were raised in Public Service Commission cases relating to unsafe, unlawful or unreasonable actions, affiliate abuse, incorrect billing or other customer service problems:




Department of Economic Development

Program Name Office of Public Counsel

Program is found in the following core budget(s): Office of Public Counsel

7b. Provide an efficiency measure.

The ratio of the Office of the Public Counsel's expenditures to the total number of regulated utility customers benefiting from OPC's consumer advocacy:



^{*}FY10 Actual data not available at this time.

7c. Provide the number of clients/individuals served, if applicable.

Total number of regulated utility customers broken down by industry type:

Type of	FY2007	FY2008	FY2009	FY2010	FY2010	FY2011	FY2012	FY2013
Utility	Actual (A)	Actual (B & D)	Actual (C)	Projected	Actual	Projected	Projected	Projected
Electric	1,866,673	1,889,830	1,905,600	1,932,000		1,945,000	1,960,000	1,980,000
Natural	1,378,130	1,434,965	1,382,340	143,000		1,450,000	1,470,000	1,490,000
Water	508,802	493,297	531,444	540,000		550,000	565,000	580,000
Sewer	14,605	14,550	13,665	14,500		15,000	15,200	15,500
Telephone	3,237,734	3,132,354	3,056,582	3,000,000		2,950,000	2,900,000	2,900,000

^{*}FY09 Actual data not available at this time.

- (A) Source MPSC 2006 Annual Report
- (B) Source MPSC 2007 Annual Report
- (C) Source MPSC 2008 Annual Report
- (D) Telephone Switched Access Line Count Trends Workpaper

NOTE: Some Missouri households may be customers of more than one regulated utility.

7d. Provide a customer satisfaction measure, if available.

N/A

^{**}Customers of telecommunications companies are excluded from the calculation of "OPC Costs Per Regulated Utility Customer".

DECISION ITEM SUMMARY

Budget Unit								
Decision Item Budget Object Summary Fund	FY 2010 ACTUAL DOLLAR	FY 2010 ACTUAL FTE	FY 2011 BUDGET DOLLAR	FY 2011 BUDGET FTE	FY 2012 DEPT REQ DOLLAR	FY 2012 DEPT REQ FTE	FY 2012 GOV REC DOLLAR	FY 2012 GOV REC FTE
PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION				<u> </u>	 			
CORE								
PERSONAL SERVICES								
PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION	9,731,274	183.69	10,436,668	194.00	10,436,668	194.00	9,249,666	182.00
TOTAL - PS	9,731,274	183.69	10,436,668	194.00	10,436,668	194.00	9,249,666	182.00
EXPENSE & EQUIPMENT								
DEAF RELAY SER & EQ DIST PRGM	2,106,085	0.00	2,500,000	0.00	2,500,000	0.00	2,500,000	0.00
PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION	1,788,484	0.00	2,577,477	0.00	2,516,826	0.00	2,294,844	0.00
TOTAL - EE	3,894,569	0.00	5,077,477	0.00	5,016,826	0.00	4,794,844	0.00
PROGRAM-SPECIFIC								
PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION	0	0.00	10,000	0.00	10,000	0.00	10,000	0.00
TOTAL - PD	0	0.00	10,000	0.00	10,000	0.00	10,000	0.00
TOTAL	13,625,843	183.69	15,524,145	194.00	15,463,494	194.00	14,054,510	182.00
2 FTE - Consumer Services Dept - 1419003								
PERSONAL SERVICES								
PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION	0	0.00	0	0.00	81,936	2.00	0	0.00
TOTAL - PS	0	0.00	0	0.00	81,936	2.00	0	0.00
EXPENSE & EQUIPMENT								
PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION	0	0.00	0	0.00	20,283	0.00	0	0.00
TOTAL - EE	0	0.00	0	0.00	20,283	0.00	0	0.00
TOTAL	0	0.00	0	0.00	102,219	2.00	0	0.00
GRAND TOTAL	\$13,625,843	183.69	\$15,524,145	194.00	\$15,565,713	196.00	\$14,054,510	182.00

1/20/11 16:19

im_disummary

CORE DECISION ITEM

Department: Economic Development

Division: Public Service Commission

Core: Public Service Commission Regulatory

Budget Unit 42630C

1. CORE FINANCIAL SUMMARY

		FY	2012 Budge	t Request	
	GR		Federal	Other	Total
PS ·		0	0	10,436,668	10,436,668
EE		0	0	5,016,826	5,016,826
PSD*		0	0	10,000	10,000
TRF		0	0	0	0
Total		0	0	15,463,494	15,463,494
FTE	0	.00	0.00	194.00	194.00
Est. Fringe	<u> </u>	0	0	5,808,006	5,808,006
Note: Fringes bu budgeted directly	_		•		•

Est. Fringe	0	0	5,1	47,439	5,147,439
Note: Fringes	budgeted in Hou	se Bill 5 e	except	for cert	ain fringes
budgeted direc	ctly to MoDOT, H	ighway Pa	atrol, a	and Con	servation.

Fed

GR

0

0

0

n

0

0.00

FY 2012 Governor's Recommendation

0

0

0

0.00

Other

9.249.666

4,794,844

10.000

182.00

0 0 14,054,510 14,054,510

Total

9,249,666

4.794.844

10,000

182.00

Other Funds: Public Service Commission Fund (0607)

Deaf Relay Sry & Equip Dist Fund (0559)

Other Funds:

Notes: *The \$10,000 PSD is an estimated appropriation (E) for refunds.

Notes:

PS

EE

PSD TRF

Total

FTE

2. CORE DESCRIPTION

The Missouri Public Service Commission has the statutory responsibility of ensuring that consumers receive adequate amounts of safely delivered and reasonably priced utility services at rates that will provide the utility companies' shareholders the opportunity to earn a reasonable return on their investment. The Commission must balance a variety of often competing private interests to ensure the overall public interest. Much of the Commission's work is conducted through formal contested case hearings, similar to court proceedings. The primary statutory provisions governing the Commission are contained in Chapters 386, 392, and 393 RSMo. The Commission regulates the rates and practices of investor-owned local water, sewer, gas, electric and telecommunication companies, though exercising lesser regulation for telecommunication companies deemed "competitive" by law. The PSC administers the state's deaf relay program, Relay Missouri, which allows speech or hearing impaired people to communicate with hearing people by using a communications assistant who "relays" the conversation to the other party. The Commission also issues video service authorizations to entities that meet statutory requirements and registers providers of Voice-Over-Internet (VoIP) communications service.

CORE DECISION ITEM

Department: Economic Development

Budget Unit 42630C

Division: Public Service Commission


Core: Public Service Commission Regulatory

3. PROGRAM LISTING (list programs included in this core funding)

Public Service Commission (PSC) Regulatory Core, which includes PSC Administration, Deaf Relay Service, video service authorization and VoIP provider registration.

4. FINANCIAL HISTORY

,	FY 2008 Actual	FY 2009 Actual	FY 2010 Actual	FY 2011 Current Yr.
Appropriation (All Funds)	17.673.226	17,987,109	15,480,329	15,524,145
Less Reverted (All Funds)	0	0	0	N/A
Budget Authority (All Funds)	17,673,226	17,987,109	15,480,329	N/A
Actual Expenditures (All Funds)	13,667,053	13,746,483	13,625,843	N/A
Unexpended (All Funds)	4,006,173	4,240,626	1,854,486	N/A
Unexpended, by Fund:				
General Revenue	0	0	0	N/A
Federal	0	0	0	N/A
Other	4,006,173	4,240,626	1,854,486	N/A



Reverted includes Governor's standard 3 percent reserve (when applicable) and any extraordinary withholdings.

NOTES:

Any appropriation lapse monies will remain in PSC Fund, not transferred to General Revenue, but obligated for next fiscal year's budget and used as a reduction of the PSC assessment to regulated utility companies per Chapter 386.370 RSMo. Lapsed monies are primarily due to employee turnover, vacancies, and various cost containment measures implemented within the agency.

CORE RECONCILIATION DETAIL

DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

5. CORE RECONCILIATION DETAIL

		Budget Class	FTE	GR	Federal	Other	Total	Explanation
TAFP AFTER VETO	ES							
		PS	194.00	0	0	10,436,668	10,436,668	
		EE	0.00	0	` 0	5,077,477	5,077,477	
		PD	0.00	0	0	10,000	10,000	
		Total	194.00	0	0	15,524,145	15,524,145	
DEPARTMENT COR	E ADJUSTME	ENTS						
1x Expenditures	548 2203	EE	0.00	0	0	(54,651)	(54,651)	
Transfer Out	1570 2203	EE	0.00	0	0	(6,000)	(6,000)	
NET DE	PARTMENT (CHANGES	0.00	0	0	(60,651)	(60,651)	
DEPARTMENT COR	E REQUEST							
		PS	194.00	0	0	10,436,668	10,436,668	
		EE	0.00	0	0	5,016,826	5,016,826	
		PD	0.00	0	0	10,000	10,000	
		Total	194.00	0	. 0	15,463,494	15,463,494	
GOVERNOR'S ADD	ITIONAL COR	E ADJUST	MENTS	 -				
Core Reallocation	1884 1428	PS	(12.00)	0	0	(1,187,002)	(1,187,002)	PSC funds reallocated to OPC to replace GR.
Core Reallocation	1884 2203	EE	0.00	0	0	(221,982)	(221,982)	PSC funds reallocated to OPC to replace GR.
NET GO	OVERNOR CH	ANGES	(12.00)	0	0	(1,408,984)	(1,408,984)	
GOVERNOR'S REC	OMMENDED (CORE						
		PS	182.00	0	0	9,249,666	9,249,666	
		EE	0.00	0	0	4,794,844	4,794,844	

CORE RECONCILIATION DETAIL

DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

5. CORE RECONCILIATION DETAIL

	Budget Class	FTE	GR	Federal	Other	Total Explana	tion
GOVERNOR'S RECOMMENDED	CORE						
	PD	0.00	0	0	10,000	10,000	
	Total	182.00	C	0	14,054,510	14,054,510	In.

FLEXIBILITY REQUEST FORM

Economic Development DEPARTMENT: **BUDGET UNIT NUMBER:** 42630C DIVISION: **Public Service Commission BUDGET UNIT NAME:** 2203 Public Service Commission EE 0607 1428 Public Service Commission PS 0607 1. Provide the amount by fund of personal service flexibility and the amount by fund of expense and equipment flexibility you are requesting in dollar and percentage terms and explain why the flexibility is needed. If flexibility is being requested among divisions, provide the amount by fund of flexibility you are requesting in dollar and percentage terms and explain why the flexibility is needed. DEPARTMENT REQUEST The Public Service Commission is requesting 25% flexibility between the Personal Service and Expense and Equipment appropriations in fund 0607 (Public Service Commission fund). This flexibility is needed to ensure our ability to immediately address any identified operational needs due to increasing workloads. Total PS - \$9,249,666 * 25% = \$2,312,417 Total EE - \$2,294,844 * 25% = \$573,711 2. Estimate how much flexibility will be used for the budget year. How much flexibility was used in the Prior Year Budget and the Current Year Budget? Please specify the amount. **BUDGET REQUEST CURRENT YEAR ESTIMATED AMOUNT OF PRIOR YEAR ESTIMATED AMOUNT OF** FLEXIBILITY THAT WILL BE USED **ACTUAL AMOUNT OF FLEXIBILITY USED** FLEXIBILITY THAT WILL BE USED PS - 5-10% EE- 10-25% PS - 5-10% EE- 5-10% \$0 3. Please explain how flexibility was used in the prior and/or current years. **CURRENT YEAR PRIOR YEAR EXPLAIN PLANNED USE EXPLAIN ACTUAL USE** In FY2011, the Public Service Commission was appropriated 25% flexibility In FY2010, the Public Service Commission did not use any flexibility between the between PS and E&E appropriations. This flexibility will ensure that the PS and E&E appropriations. Commission will have the appropriate resources to respond to increased rate case workloads and other unexpected regulatory demands.

Budget Unit	FY 2010	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2012	FY 2012	FY 2012
Decision Item	ACTUAL	ACTUAL	BUDGET	BUDGET	DEPT REQ	DEPT REQ	GOV REC	GOV REC
Budget Object Class	DOLLAR	FTE	DOLLAR	FTE	DOLLAR	FTE	DOLLAR	FTE
PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION	·····							
CORE								
SR OFC SUPPORT ASST (CLERICAL)	7,131	0.25	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00
ADMIN OFFICE SUPPORT ASSISTANT	186,476	5.76	194,237	6.00	194,237	6.00	194,237	6.00
SR OFC SUPPORT ASST (STENO)	57,048	2.00	57,054	2.00	57,054	2.00	57,054	2.00
SR OFC SUPPORT ASST (KEYBRD)	96,421	3.33	116,592	4.00	116,592	4.00	116,592	4.00
OFFICE SERVICES ASST	31,176	1.00	31,172	1.00	31,172	1.00	31,172	1.00
INFORMATION TECHNOLOGIST IV	244,833	5.01	242,028	5.00	242,988	5.00	242,988	5.00
COMPUTER INFO TECH SPEC I	112,524	2.00	112,524	2.00	112,524	2.00	112,524	2.00
COMPUTER INFO TECH SPEC II	61,620	1.00	61,620	1.00	61,620	1.00	61,620	1.00
COMP INFO TECHNOLOGY MGR I	68,520	1.00	68,524	1.00	68,524	1.00	68,524	1.00
ACCOUNT CLERK I	10,622	0.45	11,897	0.50	11,897	0.50	11,897	0.50
ACCOUNTANT I	44,370	1.50	44,366	1.50	44,366	1.50	44,366	1.50
ACCOUNTANT II	0	0.00	40,207	1.00	0	0.00	0	0.00
ACCOUNTANT III	87,564	2.00	44,224	1.00	87,564	2.00	87,564	2.00
PERSONNEL ANAL II	37,968	1.00	37,970	1.00	37,970	1.00	37,970	1.00
PUBLIC INFORMATION COOR	42,504	1.00	42,506	1.00	42,506	1.00	42,506	1.00
PUBLIC INFORMATION ADMSTR	55,548	1.00	55,546	1.00	55,546	1.00	55,546	1.00
EXECUTIVE I	0	0.00	33,421	1.00	0	0.00	0	0.00
EXECUTIVE II	35,952	1.00	0	0.00	35,952	1.00	35,952	1.00
PERSONNEL CLERK	29,580	1.00	29,577	1.00	29,577	1.00	29,577	1.00
LEGISLATIVE COORDINATOR	56,688	1.00	56,683	1.00	56,683	1.00	56,683	1.00
ADMINISTRATIVE ANAL III	45,060	1.00	45,065	1.00	45,065	1.00	45,065	1.00
CH UTILITY ECONOMIST	51,811	0.76	79,722	1.00	60,324	1.00	60,324	1.00
CONSUMER SERVICES SPEC I	51.888	1.71	59,155	2.00	61,248	2.00	61,248	2.00
CONSUMER SERVICES SPEC II	181,243	5.00	177,378	5.00	181,404	5.00	181,404	5.00
CONSUMER SERVICES COORDINATOR	85,544	2.09	81,922	2.00	81,922	2.00	81,922	2.00
UTILITY REGULATORY AUDITOR I	86,119	2.34	0	0.00	74,592	2.00	74,592	2.00
UTILITY REGULATORY AUDITOR II	164,654	4.22	154,800	4.00	37,968	1.00	37,968	1.00
UTILITY REGULATORY AUDITOR III	370,689	8.01	503,316	11.00	651,147	13.00	351,147	8.00
UTILITY REGULATORY AUDITOR IV	368,858	7.00	565,166	10.00	493,392	9.00	293,392	6.00
	383,925	6.01	380,784	6.00	384,924	6.00	384,924	6.00
	·			0.00	. 0	0.00	0	0.00
	•		417,929	9.00	224,028	5.00	224,028	5.00
UTILITY REGULATORY AUDITOR V REGULATORY ECONOMIST I REGULATORY ECONOMIST II	383,925 5,651 139,089	0.15 2.80	0	0.00	0	0.00		0

1/20/11 16:19 im_didetail

Page 72 of 76

Budget Unit	FY 2010	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2012	FY 2012	FY 2012
Decision Item	ACTUAL	ACTUAL	BUDGET	BUDGET	DEPT REQ	DEPT REQ	GOV REC	GOV REC
Budget Object Class	DOLLAR	FTE	DOLLAR	FTE	DOLLAR	FTE	DOLLAR	FTE
PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION								
CORE								
REGULATORY ECONOMIST III	281,865	5.30	283,440	5.00	349,752	6.00	249,752	5.00
MGR ECONOMIC ANALYSIS	67,177	1.03	67.078	1.00	64,272	1.00	64,272	1.00
UTILITY MANAGEMENT ANALYST II	31,399	0.80	37,968	1.00	39,468	1.00	39,468	1.00
UTILITY MANAGEMENT ANALYST III	214,920	4.00	214,903	4.00	214,903	4.00	214,903	4.00
UTILITY POLICY ANALYST I	129,613	2.93	90,203	2.00	133,548	3.00	133,548	3.00
UTILITY POLICY ANALYST II	67,080	1.00	67,078	1.00	67,078	1.00	67,078	1.00
UTILITY ENGINEERING SPEC I	15,101	0.33	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00
UTILITY ENGINEERING SPEC II	288,249	5.42	369,696	7.00	366,804	7.00	366,804	7.00
UTILITY ENGINEERING SPEC III	507,420	9.18	577,140	10.00	618,644	11.00	418,644	9.00
UTILITY REGULATORY ENGINEER I	190,157	3.50	163,272	3.00	109,980	2.00	109,980	2.00
UTILITY REGULATORY ENGINEER II	125,904	2.00	125,899	2.00	125,899	2.00	125,899	2.00
UTILITY REGULATORY ENG SPV	210,288	3.00	278,898	4.00	275,964	4.00	275,964	4.00
UTILITY OPERS TECH SPEC II	230,935	5.02	226,236	5.00	226,236	5.00	226,236	5.00
RATE & TARIFF EXAMINER II	166,152	4.00	166,155	4.00	209,496	5.00	122,494	5.00
RATE & TARIFF EXAMINER III	39,409	0.93	0	0.00	43,344	1.00	43,344	1.00
RATE & TARIFF EXAMINATION SPV	184,920	3.00	184,930	3.00	184,930	3.00	184,930	3.00
FISCAL & ADMINISTRATIVE MGR B1	39,049	0.67	57,870	1.00	57,870	1.00	57,870	1.00
HUMAN RESOURCES MGR B1	57,864	1.00	57,870	1.00	57,870	1.00	57,870	1.00
UTILITY REGULATORY MNGR, BAND1	57,864	1.00	57,870	1.00	57,870	1.00	57,870	1.00
UTILITY REGULATORY MNGR, BAND2	268,032	4.06	198,477	3.00	198,477	3.00	198,477	3.00
UTILITY REGULATORY MNGR, BAND3	290,748	4.00	290,757	4.00	290,757	4.00	290,757	4.00
DIVISION DIRECTOR	403,988	4.92	410,519	5.00	410,519	5.00	410,519	5.00
DESIGNATED PRINCIPAL ASST DIV	437,279	11.04	433,337	11.00	435,686	11.00	235,686	10.00
ASSOCIATE COUNSEL	43,417	0.92	0	0.00	94,368	2.00	94,368	2.00
PROGRAM CONSULTANT	349,473	4.55	390,786	5.00	384,135	5.00	284,135	5.00
PARALEGAL	29,957	0.93	32,260	1.00	32,260	1.00	32,260	1.00
LEGAL COUNSEL	182,214	4.08	148,424	3.00	200,172	4.00	200,172	4.00
CHIEF COUNSEL	36,598	0.51	148,527	2.00	144,272	2.00	144,272	2.00
REGULATORY LAW JUDGE	385,308	6.00	437,937	7.00	385,894	6.00	385,894	6.00
COMMISSION MEMBER	404,217	3.85	420,277	4.00	420,277	4.00	420,277	4.00
COMMISSION CHAIRMAN	105,070	1.00	105,069	1.00	105,069	1.00	105,069	1.00
STUDENT INTERN	20,271	0.71	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00

1/20/11 16:19

im_didetall

Budget Unit	FY 2010	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2012	FY 2012	FY 2012
Decision Item	ACTUAL	ACTUAL	BUDGET	BUDGET	DEPT REQ	DEPT REQ	GOV REC	GOV REC
Budget Object Class	DOLLAR	FTE	DOLLAR	FTE	DOLLAR	FTE	DOLLAR	FTE
PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
CORE								
SENIOR COUNSEL	173,810	2.75	224,472	4.00	128,616	2.00	128,616	2.00
DEPUTY COUNSEL	285,764	4.26	335,668	5.00	331,185	5.00	331,185	5.00
CLERK	543	0.02	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00
OFFICE WORKER MISCELLANEOUS	25,109	0.40	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00
AUDITOR	15,978	0.27	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00
MISCELLANEOUS PROFESSIONAL	67,128	1.75	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	. 0.00
PRINCIPAL ASST BOARD/COMMISSON	88,267	1.00	88,267	1.00	88,267	1.00	88,267	1.00
ENGINEER	11,661	0.17	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00
TOTAL - PS	9,731,274	183.69	10,436,668	194.00	10,436,668	194.00	9,249,666	182.00
TRAVEL, IN-STATE	152,194	0.00	191,780	0.00	191,380	0.00	191,380	0.00
TRAVEL, OUT-OF-STATE	103,386	0.00	95,000	0.00	95,000	0.00	95,000	0.00
SUPPLIES	237,797	0.00	324,418	0.00	320,418	0.00	320,418	0.00
PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT	140,665	0.00	147,000	0.00	150,400	0.00	150,400	0.00
COMMUNICATION SERV & SUPP	159,384	0.00	215,780	0.00	215,780	0.00	215,780	0.00
PROFESSIONAL SERVICES	2,624,058	0.00	3,391,127	0.00	3,385,127	0.00	3,163,145	0.00
HOUSEKEEPING & JANITORIAL SERV	105	0.00	1,161	0.00	1,161	0.00	1,161	0.00
M&R SERVICES	197,927	0.00	350,000	0.00	350,000	0.00	350,000	0.00
COMPUTER EQUIPMENT	179,592	0.00	225,000	0.00	225,000	0.00	225,000	0.00
MOTORIZED EQUIPMENT	0	0.00	54,651	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00
OFFICE EQUIPMENT	29,841	0.00	50,000	0.00	50,000	0.00	50,000	0.00
OTHER EQUIPMENT	6,003	0.00	4,000	0.00	5,000	0.00	5,000	0.00
PROPERTY & IMPROVEMENTS	26,935	0.00	714	0.00	714	0.00	714	0.00
BUILDING LEASE PAYMENTS	22,422	0.00	3,000	0.00	5,000	0.00	5,000	0.00
EQUIPMENT RENTALS & LEASES	509	0.00	8,346	0.00	6,346	0.00	6,346	0.00
MISCELLANEOUS EXPENSES	13,751	0.00	15,500		15,500	0.00	15,500	0.00
TOTAL - EE	3,894,569	0.00	5,077,477	0.00	5,016,826	0.00	4,794,844	0.00

1/20/11 16:19 lm_didetail Page 74 of 76

Budget Unit Decision item Budget Object Class	FY 2010 ACTUAL DOLLAR	FY 2010 ACTUAL FTE	FY 2011 BUDGET DOLLAR	FY 2011 BUDGET FTE	FY 2012 DEPT REQ DOLLAR	FY 2012 DEPT REQ FTE	FY 2012 GOV REC DOLLAR	FY 2012 GOV REC FTE
PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION								
CORE								
REFUNDS	0	0.00	10,000	0.00	10,000	0.00	10,000	0.00
TOTAL - PD	0	0.00	10,000	0.00	10,000	0.00	10,000	0.00
GRAND TOTAL	\$13,625,843	183.69	\$15,524,145	194.00	\$15,463,494	194.00	\$14,054,510	182.00
GENERAL REVENUE	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00
FEDERAL FUNDS	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00
OTHER FUNDS	\$13,625,843	183.69	\$15,524,145	194.00	\$15,463,494	194.00	\$14,054,510	182.00

Page 75 of 76

Department: Economic Development

Program Name: PSC Regulatory Core

Program is found in the following core budget(s): Public Service Commission Regulatory

1. What does this program do?

The Missouri Public Service Commission (PSC) has the statutory responsibility of ensuring that consumers receive adequate amounts of safely delivered and reasonably priced utility services at rates that will provide the utility companies' shareholders the opportunity to earn a reasonable return on their investment. Much of the Commission's work is conducted through formal contested case hearings, similar to court proceedings. The Commission regulates the rates and practices of investor-owned local telephone, water, sewer, gas and electric companies, with lesser regulation over telecommunication companies deemed "competitive" by law. The PSC also administers the state's deaf relay program, Relay Missouri, which allows speech or hearing impaired people to communicate with hearing people by using a communications assistant who "relays" the conversation to the other party. In addition, the Commission regulates the manufacture and sale of new manufactured and modular homes, and the installation of those homes; issues video service authorizations to entities providing video programming and registers Voice-over-Internet (VoIP) communication providers.

2. What is the authorization for this program, i.e., federal or state statute, etc.? (Include the federal program number, if applicable.)

Chapters 386, 392, 393 RSMo


3. Are there federal matching requirements? If yes, please explain.

No

4. Is this a federally mandated program? If yes, please explain.

No

5. Provide actual expenditures for the prior three fiscal years and planned expenditures for the current fiscal year.



6. What are the sources of the "Other " funds?

PSC Fund (0607) and Deaf Relay Services & Equipment Distribution Fund (0559)


Department: Economic Development

Program Name: PSC Regulatory Core

Program is found in the following core budget(s): Public Service Commission Regulatory


7a. Provide an effectiveness measure.

Number of regulated utilities that are investment grade. "Investment grade utility" means the utility offers debt issuances on the open market and is rated by 1 of the 3 national rating institutions with an investment grade score of 'BBB', 'Baa' or higher. All of Missouri's regulated utilities are so-classified.



^{*}Total Missouri customers served by the market-traded investment grade utilities which have credit ratings established by the National Rating Institute is approximately 3,568,130. Some Missouri customers may use the services of up to three of the investment grade utilities at one time.

7b. Provide an efficiency measure.




Department: Economic Development


Program Name: PSC Regulatory Core

Program is found in the following core budget(s): Public Service Commission Regulatory

7c. Provide the number of clients/individuals served, if applicable.



7d. Provide a customer satisfaction measure, if available.



NEW DECISION ITEM

Donartment:	Economic Develonmen	+			Budget Unit 42	2630C				
Department: Economic Development Division: Public Service Commission				<u></u>						
	E - Consumer Service		ent D	l# 1419003						
1. AMOUNT C	F REQUEST					<u> </u>				
		12 Budget	Paguaet			FY 2012	Governor's	Recommend	ation	
	FY 2012 Budget Request GR Federal Other Total			Total		GR Federal Other			Total	
PS	0	0	81,936	81,936	PS	0	0	0	0	
EE	0	0	20,283	20,283	EE	0	0	0	0	
PSD	0	0	. 0	0	PSD	0	0	0	0	
TRF	0	0	0	0	TRF	0	0	0	0	
Total	0	0	102,219	102,219	Total	0	0	0	0	
					-					
FTE	0.00	0.00	2.00	2.00	FTE	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
F-4 F-4		- 0.1	45 507	45 507	Est Erings	٥١	01	o l	0	
Est. Fringe	0 budgeted in House Bill 5	0	45,597	45,597	Est. Fringe Note: Fringes b	udaeted in H	٠,			
	tly to MoDOT, Highway				budgeted directi					
baagetea anee	ay to Mobol, Inglinay	anoi, and	Oorigoi valioi	<u>'</u>		<i>y</i> ,	g			
Other Funds:	PSC FUND (#0607)				Other Funds:					
2. THIS REQU	EST CAN BE CATEGO	RIZED AS:	······································							
	New Legislation			No	w Program		F	Fund Switch		
					rogram Expansion Cost to Continue					
	i cuci ai manuate		****		e Request Equipment Repla					
	GR Dick-I In				ther:					
	GR Pick-Up Pay Plan			Oti	ner'					

NEW DECISION ITEM

OF

Department: Economic Development	Budge	et Unit 42630C	
Division: Public Service Commission			
DI Name: 2 FTE - Consumer Services Department	DI# 1419003		

3. WHY IS THIS FUNDING NEEDED? PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION FOR ITEMS CHECKED IN #2. INCLUDE THE FEDERAL OR STATE STATUTORY OR CONSTITUTIONAL AUTHORIZATION FOR THIS PROGRAM.

RANK:

The work tasks for the Consumer Services Department have been ever changing and are anticipated to continue to change and increase due to more informal and formal complaints, additional utility company rate cases and local public hearings, increased requests for outreach/education programs and Commission-directed investigative cases or community surveys. Over the last year there has been an increase in community outreach and education programs completed, however, the growing number of requests received cannot be accommodated with the current workload and core FTEs.

It is critical for the success of this department to increase consumer awareness. Often times consumers are not aware of who the Missouri Public Service Commission is and how it can assist them, and how they can help themselves with investor-owned utility services. Given the current economy, consumers now more then ever need to be educated and aware of such things as how to control their energy costs and how to negotiate a payment arrangement due to unemployment or the lack of available funds. The department envisions that by conducting more education and outreach to Missourians, it would increase consumer awareness and therefore provide the best possible consumer service, outreach and education possible to Missourians.

The Public Information Coordinator would report to the Consumer Services Manager and would be charged with developing a more diverse outreach curriculum, build on educating consumers on how to take control of costs and being more energy efficient, focus education on weatherization, smart investments, budget plans and payment arrangements to meet their energy burdens, coordinate with community leaders and assist with organization of collaborative efforts to help consumers with their utility usage.

4. DESCRIBE THE DETAILED ASSUMPTIONS USED TO DERIVE THE SPECIFIC REQUESTED AMOUNT. (How did you determine that the requested number of FTE were appropriate? From what source or standard did you derive the requested levels of funding? Were alternatives such as outsourcing or automation considered? If based on new legislation, does request tie to TAFP fiscal note? If not, explain why. Detail which portions of the request are one-times and how those amounts were calculated.)

The request would add two (2) FTE in order to address the increase in requests for community outreach and education programs. Current staff workload cannot meet the additional need for development of these programs and the time involved in presenting these programs.

Events attended by year: 2005 - 3, 2006 - 4, 2007 - 22, 2008 - 37, 2009 - 22, 2010 - 41

In 2009 and 2010, the number of requests increased significantly, however the Consumer Service Department (CSD) and the Public Information Education Department (PIE) declined many requests due to limited staff availability.

NEW DECISION ITEM

RANK:	OF

Department: Economic Development				Budget Unit	42630C				
Division: Public Service Commission			-						
DI Name: 2 FTE - Consumer Services Department	artment	DI# 1419003	3						
5. BREAK DOWN THE REQUEST BY BUDG	SET OR JECT O	TARE IOD	CLASS AND	ELIND SOLID	CE IDENTIE	V ONE TIME	COSTS		<u> </u>
3. BREAK DOWN THE REQUEST BY BODY	Dept Req	Dept Req	Dept Req	Dept Req	Dept Req	Dept Req	Dept Req	Dept Req	Dept Req
	GR	GR	FED	FED	OTHER	OTHER	TOTAL	TOTAL	One-Time
Budget Object Class/Job Class	DOLLARS	FTE	DOLLARS	FTE	DOLLARS	FTE	DOLLARS	FTE	DOLLARS
							0	0.0	
0454 Public Information Coordinator					81,936	2.0	81,936	2.0	
Total PS	0	0.0	0	0.0	81,936	2.0	81,936	2.0	C
BOC 140 - Travel					7,000		7,000		
BOC 190- Supplies					870		870		
BOC 320 - Professional Development					2,060		2,060		
BOC 340 - Communication Services					1,607		1,607		
BOC 480 - Computer Equipment					2,534		2,534	•	2,534
BOC 580 - Office Equipment					3,890		3,890		3,890
BOC 590 - Other Equipment					2,322		2,322		2,322
Total EE	0	•	0	•	20,283		20,283		8,746
Program Distributions		•					0		
Total PSD	0	-	0	•	0	•	0		0
Transfers									
Total TRF	0	-	0	•	0	•	0		

0.0

Grand Total

0.0

102,219

2.0

8,746

102,219

2.0

NEW DECISION ITEM

RANK:	OF	

Department: Economic Development	Budget Unit 42630C								
Division: Public Service Commission DI Name: 2 FTE - Consumer Services [Department	DI# 1419003							
Budget Object Class/Job Class	Gov Rec GR DOLLARS	Gov Rec GR FTE	Gov Rec FED DOLLARS	Gov Rec FED FTE	Gov Rec OTHER DOLLARS	Gov Rec OTHER FTE	Gov Rec TOTAL DOLLARS	Gov Rec TOTAL FTE	Gov Rec One-Time DOLLARS
							0	0.0	
Total PS	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
							0		
							0		
Total EE	0	•	0		0		0		0
Program Distributions Total PSD	0	-	0		0		<u>0</u>		0
Transfers Total TRF	0	-	0		0		0		
Grand Total	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0

	NEW DECISION	ON ITEM	
	RANK:	OF_	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Department: Economic Development Division: Public Service Commission DI Name: 2 FTE - Consumer Services Department	DI# 1419003	Budget Unit	42630C
6. PERFORMANCE MEASURES (If new decision item ha	as an associated core, se	parately identify	fy projected performance with & without additional funding.)
6a. Provide an effectiveness measure.			Provide an efficiency measure.
On a weekly basis, the MPSC receive requests to participat staff cannot attend due to limited resources. Numerous for attendance to provide education and information by making booth, or meeting with consumers one-on-one to address the regarding utility services. Programs consist of energy forum and senior service outreach forums, community requests, cenergy efficiency, energy conservation and energy/health for income and disabled consumers.	rums request PSC presentations, having a neir individual issues ns, social service, health conferences regarding	primarily becau and the educa months, the M year. In addition	experienced an increase in forum appearance requests each year, ause groups are becoming more aware of the programs attended ation/information provided. Based on requests received in recent MPSC would anticipate involvement in 175 activities or more a tion to the outreach/education forums, staff assigned would also le for making community and media contacts regarding issues, i.e., rate cases, rulemakings, energy efficiency pilots, etc.

6c. Provide the number of clients/individuals served, if applicable.

Per event, numbers vary from 20 (energy forums in rural areas) to 2000+ (conventions and fairs).

6d. Provide a customer satisfaction measure, if available.

Repeat requests for MPSC participation indicate event organizers find the staff participation beneficial. Attendees express their gratitude for knowledge obtained, educational materials, giveaways and time spent listening to their concerns.

NEW DECISION ITEM

RANK:	OF

Department: Economic Development Budget Unit 42630C

Division: Public Service Commission

DI Name: 2 FTE - Consumer Services Department DI# 1419003

7. STRATEGIES TO ACHIEVE THE PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT TARGETS:

At formal programs, staff:

- •Set-up and manage a booth 6-8 hours per day, ranging from 1-7 consecutive days, depending on the event, where consumers play an interactive Jeopardy-type game with energy efficiency categories, provide printed hand-outs and small token give-a-ways, e.g., insulating foam gaskets;
- •Discuss with consumers one-on-one, any questions or concerns they have with their utility service, i.e., how to conserve energy, how to be energy efficient, how to read their bill, how to check for water leaks, how to apply for assistance and how budget billing works;
- •Present BEE (Be Energy Efficient) PowerPoint presentations.

Staff also will:

- •Contact media and community leaders to discuss upcoming issues that may impact utility consumers and if there is any interest to have a Commissioner participate in a radio or TV news show, to discuss issues, set with the editorial board, etc., and take charge making the necessary arrangements.
- •Meet with foundation leaders in communities to determine if leveraging communications and education to consumers would be beneficial.
- •Conduct periodic meetings with the Commission to advise them on what programs/activities staff see and hear are working or not working, for policy formulation purposes as they relate to investor-owned utility services and programs.

DECISION ITEM DETAIL

Budget Unit Decision Item	FY 2010 ACTUAL	FY 2010 ACTUAL	FY 2011 BUDGET	FY 2011 BUDGET	FY 2012 DEPT REQ	FY 2012 DEPT REQ FTE	FY 2012 GOV REC DOLLAR	FY 2012 GOV REC FTE
Budget Object Class	DOLLAR	FTE	DOLLAR	FTE	DOLLAR	FIE	DOLLAR	rie
PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION								
2 FTE - Consumer Services Dept - 1419003								
PUBLIC INFORMATION COOR	C	0.00	0	0.00	81,936	2.00	0	0.00
TOTAL - PS	O	0.00	0	0.00	81,936	2.00	0	0.00
TRAVEL, IN-STATE	C	0.00	0	0.00	7,000	0.00	0	0.00
SUPPLIES	C	0.00	0	0.00	870	0.00	0	0.00
PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT	C	0.00	0	0.00	2,060	0.00	0	0.00
COMMUNICATION SERV & SUPP	C	0.00	0	0.00	1,607	0.00	0	0.00
COMPUTER EQUIPMENT	C	0.00	0	0.00	2,534	0.00	0	0.00
OFFICE EQUIPMENT	(0.00	0	0.00	3,890	0.00	0	0.00
OTHER EQUIPMENT	0	0.00	0	0.00	2,322	0.00	0	0.00
TOTAL - EE	(0.00	0	0.00	20,283	0.00	0	0.00
GRAND TOTAL	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$102,219	2.00	\$0	0.00
GENERAL REVENUE	\$(0.00	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00		0.00
FEDERAL FUNDS	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00		0.00
OTHER FUNDS	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$102,219	2.00		0.00

1/20/11 16:19 lm_didetail Page 76 of 76

DECISION ITEM SUMMARY

Budget Unit								
Decision Item	FY 2010	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2012	FY 2012	FY 2012
Budget Object Summary	ACTUAL	ACTUAL	BUDGET	BUDGET	DEPT REQ	DEPT REQ	GOV REC	GOV REC
Fund	DOLLAR	FTE	DOLLAR	FTE	DOLLAR	FTE	DOLLAR	FTE
MANUFACTURED HOUSING								
CORE								
PERSONAL SERVICES								
MANUFACTURED HOUSING FUND	268,058	7.00	341,404	8.00	341,404	8.00	341,404	8.00
TOTAL - PS	268,058	7.00	341,404	8.00	341,404	8.00	341,404	8.00
EXPENSE & EQUIPMENT								
MANUFACTURED HOUSING FUND	51,198	0.00	179,123	0.00	145,089	0.00	145,089	0.00
TOTAL - EE	51,198	0.00	179,123	0.00	145,089	0.00	145,089	0.00
PROGRAM-SPECIFIC								
MANUFACTURED HOUSING FUND	11,366	0.00	17,935	0.00	17,935	0.00	17,935	0.00
MANUFACTURED HOUS CONS RECVERY	0	0.00	192,000	0.00	192,000	0.00	192,000	0.00
TOTAL - PD	11,366	0.00	209,935	0.00	209,935	0.00	209,935	0.00
TOTAL	330,622	7.00	730,462	8.00	696,428	8.00	696,428	8.00
GRAND TOTAL	\$330,622	7.00	\$730,462	8.00	\$696,428	8.00	\$696,428	8.00

lm_disummary

OOKE I IIIA	NCIAL SUMMARY									
		2012 Budge	-					Recommend		
	GR	Federal	Other	Total		GR	Fed	Other	Total	
S	0	0	341,404	341,404	PS	0	0	341,404	341,404	
E	0	0	145,089	145,089	EE	0	0	145,089	145,089	_
PSD	0	0	209,935	209,935 E	PSD	0	0	209,935	209,935	E
rrf	0	0	0	0_	TŘF	0	0	0	0	
Total	0	0	696,428	696,428	Total	0	0	696,428	696,428	
TE	0.00	0.00	8.00	8.00	FTE	0.00	0.00	8.00	8.00	
Est. Fringe	01	0 [189,991	189,991	Est. Fringe	0	0	189,991	189,991	ĺ
	oudgeted in House E	ill 5 except fo			Note: Fringes	s budgeted in Ho	ouse Bill 5 ex	cept for certa	in fringes	l
•	ly to MoDOT, Highw		_	•	budgeted dire	ctly to MoDOT,	Highway Pat	rol, and Cons	ervation.	į
Other Funds:	Manufactured Ho Consumer Reco				Other Funds:	Manufactured Consumer Rec				
Notes:	*The \$209,935 P and includes \$1 legal reimburse from the Consu consumer claim	0,000 for refument provided mer Recovery	inds, \$7,935 t d to MH and \$	or 6192,000	Notes:	*The \$209,935 and includes legal reimbur from the Con consumer cla	\$10,000 for r sement provi sumer Recov	efunds, \$7,93 ded to MH ar	35 for nd \$192,000	

The Manufactured Housing Department, housed within the Public Service Commission, is required by statute (Section 700.010 - 700.692 RSMo) to: 1) annually register manufacturers and dealers of manufactured homes and modular units, and new manufactured home installers; 2) prescribe and enforce uniform construction standards for manufactured homes and modular units sold in the State of Missouri; 3) enforce manufactured home set up and tie-down requirements; and 4) to administer the Consumer Recovery Fund established pursuant to SB 788. The Manufactured Housing Program acts as the State Administrative Agency (SAA) to the Federal Housing and Urban Development's Manufactured Housing Program in an effort to assure safe and affordable housing for consumers with emphasis on safety. The SAA provides this assurance by responding to consumer complaints, conducting manufactured home inspections and performing dealer lot inspections and manufacturing plant record reviews. It also provides installer and inspector training. These functions directly increase the number of manufactured homes that are code compliant and installed correctly, in addition to providing consumers with safe and adequate housing. The Program also enforces similar policies for the modular unit industry.

Department: Economic Development

Budget Unit 42480C

Division: Public Service Commission-Manufactured Housing


Core - Manufactured Housing

3. PROGRAM LISTING (list programs included in this core funding)

Manufactured Housing Program

4. FINANCIAL HISTORY

	FY 2008 Actual	FY 2009 Actual	FY 2010 Actual	FY 2011 Current Yr.
Appropriation (All Funds)	518,650	552,428	696,428	730,462
Less Reverted (All Funds)	0	0	0	0
Budget Authority (All Funds)	518,650	552,428	696,428	730,462
Actual Expenditures (All Funds)	413,769	414,919	330,622	N/A
Unexpended (All Funds)	104,881	137,509	365,806	N/A
Unexpended, by Fund:				
General Revenue	0	0	0	N/A
Federal	0	0	0	N/A
Other	104,881	137,509	365,806	N/A



Reverted includes Governor's standard 3 percent reserve (when applicable) and any extraordinary withholdings.

NOTES:

For the Manufactured Housing Fund, annual lapse funds do not revert to General Revenue, but remain as a portion of fund balance and are used to operate the program. The transfer of a biennial lapse to the General Revenue fund is permitted under Chapter 700.040.3 RSMo if the fund amount exceeds two times the appropriation of the prior fiscal year. Lapsed monies are primarily due to various containment measures implemented within the Manufactured Housing Department.

CORE RECONCILIATION DETAIL

DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT MANUFACTURED HOUSING

5. CORE RECONCILIATION DETAIL

	Budget						
	Class	FTE	GR	Federal	Other	Total	E
TAFP AFTER VETOES							
	PS	8.00	0	0	341,404	341,404	
	EE	0.00	0	0	179,123	179,123	,
	PD	0.00	0	0	209,935	209,935	
	Total	8.00	0	0	730,462	730,462	· ·
DEPARTMENT CORE ADJUS	TMENTS						
1x Expenditures 546 21	94 EE	0.00	0	0	(34,034)	(34,034))
NET DEPARTME	NT CHANGES	0.00	0	0	(34,034)	(34,034))
DEPARTMENT CORE REQUE	ST						
	PS	8.00	0	0	341,404	341,404	
	EE	0.00	0	0	145,089	145,089)
	PD	0.00	0	0	209,935	209,935	<u>;</u>
	Total	8.00	0	0	696,428	696,428	} =
GOVERNOR'S RECOMMEND	ED CORE						
	PS	8.00	0	0	341,404	341,404	ļ
	EE	0.00	0	0	145,089	145,089)
	PD	0.00	0	0	209,935	209,935	5
	Total	8.00	0	0	696,428	696,428	3

DECISION ITEM DETAIL

FY 2010	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2012	FY 2012	FY 2012
ACTUAL	ACTUAL	BUDGET			· ·		GOV REC
DOLLAR	FTE	DOLLAR	FTE	DOLLAR	FTE	DOLLAR	FTE
31,716	1.00	31,716	1.00				1.00
22,545	0.79	28,527				•	1.00
150,757	4.00						4.00
9,344	0.20	44,224	1.00			-	1.00
53,292	1.00	51,158	1.00	53,292			1.00
404	0.01	0	0.00	0	0.00		0.00
268,058	7.00	341,404	8.00	341,404	8.00	341,404	8.00
6,588	0.00	30,768	0.00	30,768	0.00	30,768	0.00
2,792	0.00	8,000	0.00	8,000	0.00	8,000	0.00
14,374	0.00	30,000	0.00	30,000	0.00	30,000	0.00
2.617	0.00	7,101	0.00	7,101	0.00	7,101	0.00
		25,448	0.00	25,448	0.00	25,448	0.00
· ·		12,000	0.00	12,000	0.00	12,000	0.00
0		500	0.00	500	0.00	500	0.00
15,093	0.00	18,000	0.00	18,000	0.00	18,000	0.00
	0.00	5,000	0.00	5,000	0.00	5,000	0.00
0	0.00	34,034	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00
0	0.00	1,765	0.00	1,765	0.00		0.00
0	0.00	3,000	0.00	3,000	0.00	3,000	0.00
0	0.00	1	0.00	1		1	0.00
0	0.00	1	0.00	1	0.00	1	0.00
0	0.00	2,000	0.00	2,000	0.00		0.00
198	0.00	1,505	0.00	1,505	0.00		0.00
51,198	0.00	179,123	0.00	145,089	0.00	145,089	0.00
	0.00	199,935	0.00	199,935	0.00	199,935	0.00
	0.00	10,000	0.00	10,000	0.00	10,000	0.00
11,366	0.00	209,935	0.00	209,935	0.00	209,935	0.00
\$330,622	7.00	\$730,462	8.00	\$696,428	8.00	\$696,428	8.00
\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00
		\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00
\$330,622	7.00	\$730,462	8.00	\$696,428	8.00	\$696,428	8.00
	31,716 22,545 150,757 9,344 53,292 404 268,058 6,588 2,792 14,374 2,617 8,623 693 0 15,093 220 0 0 0 15,093 220 0 0 15,093 220 14,374 2,617 8,623 693 15,093 220 15,093 220 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 198 51,198 10,441 925 11,366 \$330,622	ACTUAL DOLLAR ACTUAL FTE 31,716 1.00 22,545 0.79 150,757 4.00 9,344 0.20 53,292 1.00 404 0.01 268,058 7.00 6,588 0.00 2,792 0.00 14,374 0.00 2,617 0.00 8,623 0.00 693 0.00 0 0.00 15,093 0.00 0 0.00 0 0.00 0 0.00 0 0.00 0 0.00 0 0.00 0 0.00 0 0.00 0 0.00 0 0.00 198 0.00 10,441 0.00 925 0.00 11,366 0.00 \$0 0.00 \$0 0.00 \$0	ACTUAL DOLLAR FTE DOLLAR DOLLAR SUDGET DOLLAR	ACTUAL DOLLAR ACTUAL FTE BUDGET DOLLAR BUDGET FTE 31,716 1.00 31,716 1.00 22,545 0.79 28,527 1.00 150,757 4.00 185,779 4.00 9,344 0.20 44,224 1.00 53,292 1.00 51,158 1.00 404 0.01 0 0.00 268,058 7.00 341,404 8.00 6,588 0.00 30,768 0.00 2,792 0.00 8,000 0.00 14,374 0.00 30,000 0.00 2,617 0.00 7,101 0.00 8,623 0.00 25,448 0.00 693 0.00 25,448 0.00 0 0.00 500 0.00 15,093 0.00 18,000 0.00 0 0.00 3,000 0.00 0 0.00 3,000 0.00 0 0.00	ACTUAL DOLLAR BUDGET DEPT REQ DOLLAR 31,716	ACTUAL DOLLAR FTE DOLLAR BUDGET FTE DOLLAR DEPT REQ DEPT REQ DOLLAR FTE	ACTUAL ACTUAL BUDGET BUDGET DEPT REQ DEPT REQ DOLLAR

1/20/11 16:19 im_didetail Page 69 of 76

Department: Economic Development

Program Name: Manufactured Housing Program

Program is found in the following core budget(s): Manufactured Housing

1. What does this program do?

The Manufactured Housing Department, housed within the Public Service Commission, is required by statute (Section 700.010 - 700.692 RSMo) to annually register manufacturers and dealers and installers of new manufactured homes and modular units; prescribe and enforce uniform construction standards for manufactured homes and modular units sold in State of Missouri; and enforce manufactured home set up, tie-down requirements and administer the Consumer Recovery Fund pursuant to SB 788.

2. What is the authorization for this program, i.e., federal or state statute, etc.? (Include the federal program number, if applicable.)

Chapter 700, Sections 700.010 - 700.692 RSMo


3. Are there federal matching requirements? If yes, please explain.

No

4. Is this a federally mandated program? If yes, please explain.

No

5. Provide actual expenditures for the prior three fiscal years and planned expenditures for the current fiscal year.



6. What are the sources of the "Other " funds?

Manufactured Housing Fund (0582)

Department: Economic Development Program Name: Manufactured Housing Program Program is found in the following core budget(s): Manufactured Housing 7a. Provide an effectiveness measure. PERCENTAGE OF NON-PROBLEM LICENSES ☑Projected 90% 67% 65% 65% 65% 65% 65% 65% 65% 65% ■Actual 70% 50% FY2013 FY2012 FY2011 FY 2008 FY 2009 FY 2010 7b. Provide an efficiency measure. PERCENTAGE OF COMPLAINTS SUCCESSFULLY RESOLVED 150% 98% 98% 98% 98% 98% 98% 98% 98% 98% □ Projected 100% ■Actual 50% FY2013 FY2011 FY2012 FY 2009 FY 2010 FY 2008 7c. Provide the number of clients/individuals served, if applicable. **LICENSES ISSUED** 800 Projected 525 525 600 600 525 600 600 525 525 600 ■Actual 400 FY2013 FY2011 FY2012 FY 2009 FY 2010 FY 2008 7d. Provide a customer satisfaction measure, if available.

N/A

DECISION ITEM SUMMARY

Budget Unit Decision Item Budget Object Summary Fund	FY 2010 ACTUAL DOLLAR	A	Y 2010 CTUAL FTE	FY 2011 BUDGET DOLLAR	FY 2011 BUDGET FTE	FY 2012 DEPT REQ DOLLAR	FY 2012 DEPT REQ FTE	FY 2012 GOV REC DOLLAR	FY 2012 GOV REC FTE
MANUF HOUSING CONSUMER RC TRF									
CORE									
FUND TRANSFERS									
MANUFACTURED HOUSING FUND		0	0.00	192,000	0.00	192,000	0.00	192,000	0.00
TOTAL - TRF		0	0.00	192,000	0.00	192,000	0.00	192,000	0.00
TOTAL		0	0.00	192,000	0.00	192,000	0.00	192,000	0.00
GRAND TOTAL		\$0	0.00	\$192,000	0.00	\$192,000	0.00	\$192,000	0.00

lm_disummary

	NCIAL SUMMARY FY	2012 Budge	et Request			FY 2012	Governor's	Recommend	ation
	GR	Federal	Other	Total		GR	Fed	Other	Total
PS	0	0	0	0	PS	0	Ō	0	0
EE	0	0	0	0	EE	0	0	0	0
PSD	0	0	0	0	PSD	0	0	0	0
TRF	0	0	192,000	192,000	TRF _	0	0	192,000	192,000
l otal	0	0	192,000	192,000	Total	0	0_	192,000	192,000
TE	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	FTE	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Est. Fringe	Ō	0	Ō	0	Est. Fringe	0	Ö	0	0
Vote: Fringes b	oudgeted in House Bi	ll 5 except fo	r certain fring	es	Note: Fringes b	budgeted in Ho	ouse Bill 5 ex	cept for certa	in fringes
oudgeted directi	ly to MoDOT, Highwa	y Patrol, and	d Conservatio	n.	budgeted direct	ly to MoDOT,	Highway Pat	rol, and Cons	ervation.
Other Funds:	From the Manufa	ctured Housi	ng Fund (058	2)	Other Funds:				
Notes:	Establishes the tr	ansfer autho	rity from the N	/lanufactured	Notes:				
	Housing Fund into	the Manufa	ctured Housin	ng Consumer					
	Recovery Fund p			J					

2. CORE DESCRIPTION

This decision item establishes the necessary transfer from the Manufactured Housing Fund to the Manufactured Housing Consumer Recovery Fund, which was created in SCS SB 788 and signed by the Governor on July 10, 2008. It became effective August 28, 2008. Section 700.041 of the bill establishes the "Manufactured Housing Customer Recovery Fund" for the purposes of paying consumer claims pursuant to the procedures the PSC promulgates by rule. The law provides that no claims shall be considered by the commission before all other legal remedies have been exhausted.

Department: Economic Development

Budget Unit 42486C

Division: Public Service Commission-Manufactured Housing


Core - Manufactured Housing Consumer Transfer

3. PROGRAM LISTING (list programs included in this core funding)

Manufactured Housing Program

4. FINANCIAL HISTORY

•	FY 2008 Actual	FY 2009 Actual	FY 2010 Actual	FY 2011 Current Yr.
Appropriation (All Funds)	0	48,000	192,000	192,000
Less Reverted (All Funds)	0	0	0	0
Budget Authority (All Funds)	0	48,000	192,000	192,000
Actual Expenditures (All Funds)	0	48,000	0	N/A
Unexpended (All Funds)	0	0	192,000	N/A
Unexpended, by Fund:				
General Revenue	0	0	0	N/A
Federal	0	0	0	N/A
Other	0	0	192,000	N/A



Reverted includes Governor's standard 3 percent reserve (when applicable) and any extraordinary withholdings.

NOTES:

CORE RECONCILIATION DETAIL

DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENMANUF HOUSING CONSUMER RC TRF

5. CORE RECONCILIATION DETAIL

	Budget Class	FTE	GR	Federal		Other	Total	E
TAFP AFTER VETOES								
	TRF	0.00	(0	192,000	192,000	_
	Total	0.00	()	0	192,000	192,000	-
DEPARTMENT CORE REQUEST								-
DEI ARTIMENT GORE REGOLDT	TRF	0.00	()	0	192,000	192,000	
	Total	0.00	(0	192,000	192,000	-
GOVERNOR'S RECOMMENDED	CORE							-
	TRF	0.00	()	0	192,000	192,000	1
	Total	0.00)	0	192,000	192,000)

DECISION ITEM DETAIL

Budget Unit Decision Item Budget Object Class	FY 2010 ACTUAL DOLLAR	FY 2010 ACTUAL FTE	FY 2011 BUDGET DOLLAR	FY 2011 BUDGET FTE	FY 2012 DEPT REQ DOLLAR	FY 2012 DEPT REQ FTE	FY 2012 GOV REC DOLLAR	FY 2012 GOV REC FTE
MANUF HOUSING CONSUMER RC TRF								
CORE								
TRANSFERS OUT	0	0.00	192,000	0.00	192,000	0.00	192,000	0.00
TOTAL - TRF	0	0.00	192,000	0.00	192,000	0.00	192,000	0.00
GRAND TOTAL	\$0	0.00	\$192,000	0.00	\$192,000	0.00	\$192,000	0.00
GENERAL REVENUE	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00
FEDERAL FUNDS	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00
OTHER FUNDS	\$0	0.00	\$192,000	0.00	\$192,000	0.00	\$192,000	0.00

1/20/11 16:19 Im_didetail Page 70 of 76

Department: Economic Development

Program Name: Manufactured Housing Program

Program is found in the following core budget(s): Manufactured Housing Program, Manufactured Housing Recovery Fund Transfer

1. What does this program do?

The Manufactured Housing Consumer Recovery Fund was created in SCS SB 788, signed by the Governor on July 10, 2008. It became effective August 28, 2008. Section 700.041 establishes the "Manufactured Housing Customer Recovery Fund" for the purposes of paying consumer claims pursuant to the procedures the PSC promulgates by rule. (See 4 CSR 240-126.010 and 4 CSR 240-126.020.) The law provides that no claims shall be considered by the commission before all other legal remedies have been exhausted.

Without a fund transfer from the Manufactured Housing Fund, the Recovery Fund cannot be used for its statutory purpose.


- 2. What is the authorization for this program, i.e., federal or state statute, etc.? (Include the federal program number, if applicable.) Chapter 700, Sections 700.041 RSMo
- 3. Are there federal matching requirements? If yes, please explain.

No

4. Is this a federally mandated program? If yes, please explain.

No

5. Provide actual expenditures for the prior three fiscal years and planned expenditures for the current fiscal year.



6. What are the sources of the "Other " funds?

Manufactured Housing Fund (0582)

Department: Economic Development

Program Name: Manufactured Housing Program

Program is found in the following core budget(s): Manufactured Housing Program, Manufactured Housing Recovery Fund Transfer

7a. Provide an effectiveness measure.

This is a Manufactured Housing Fund transfer to the Consumer Recovery Fund. Please refer to the Program Description for the **Manufactured Housing Program.**

7b. Provide an efficiency measure.

This is a Manufactured Housing Fund transfer to the Consumer Recovery Fund. Please refer to the Program Description for the **Manufactured Housing Program**.

7c. Provide the number of clients/individuals served, if applicable.

This is a Manufactured Housing Fund transfer to the Consumer Recovery Fund. Please refer to the Program Description for the **Manufactured Housing Program.**

7d. Provide a customer satisfaction measure, if available.

This is a Manufactured Housing Fund transfer to the Consumer Recovery Fund. Please refer to the Program Description for the **Manufactured Housing Program.**

DECISION ITEM SUMMARY

GRAND TOTAL	\$2,328,308	32.04	\$3,251,233	38.31	\$3,249,476	38.31	\$3,247,915	38 .31
TOTAL	2,328,308	32.04	3,251,233	38.31	3,249,476	38.31	3,247,915	38.31
TOTAL - PD	4,117	0.00	33,079	0.00	33,079	0.00	33,079	0.00
DED ADMINISTRATIVE	0	0.00	5,001	0.00	5,001	0.00	5,001	0.00
DIV JOB DEVELOPMENT & TRAINING	0	0.00	23,968	0.00	23,968	0.00	23,968	0.00
DED-ED PROGRAMS-FEDERAL OTHER	4,117	0.00	4,110	0.00	4,110	0.00	4,110	0.00
PROGRAM-SPECIFIC	000,000	0.00	1,7.11,0.10	0.00	.,,	0.00	.,,	
TOTAL - EE	698,568	0.00	1,147,849	0.00	1,146,092	0.00	1,144,531	0.00
DED ADMINISTRATIVE	484,466	0.00	651,291	0.00	651,291	0.00	651,291	0.00
DIV JOB DEVELOPMENT & TRAINING	151,237	0.00	429.951	0.00	429,951	0.00	429.951	0.00
DED-ED PROGRAMS-FEDERAL OTHER	0	0.00	7,555	0.00	7,000	0.00	7	0.00
DED-ED PRO-CDBG-ADMINISTRATION	264	0.00	4,999	0.00	4,999	0.00	4,999	0.00
EXPENSE & EQUIPMENT GENERAL REVENUE	62.601	0.00	61,601	0.00	59,844	0.00	58,283	0.00
TOTAL - PS	1,625,623	32.04	2,070,305	38.31	2,070,305	38.31	2,070,305	38.31
DED ADMINISTRATIVE	400,180	7.69	551,787	9.20	551,787	9.20	551,787	9.20
DIV JOB DEVELOPMENT & TRAINING	839,494	16.80	1,062,044	18.11	1,062,044	18.11	1,062,044	18.11
DED-ED PRO-CDBG-ADMINISTRATION	31,608	0.88	46,231	1.00	46,231	1.00	46,231	1.00
GENERAL REVENUE	354,341	6.67	410,243	10.00	410,243	10.00	410,243	10.00
PERSONAL SERVICES								
CORE								
ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES								
Fund	DOLLAR	FTE	DOLLAR	FTE	DOLLAR	FTE	DOLLAR	rie -
Budget Object Summary	ACTUAL	ACTUAL	BUDGET	BUDGET	DEPT REQ	DEPT REQ	DOLLAR	FTE
Decision Item	FY 2010	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2012	GOV REC	GOV REC
Budget Unit			****	EV 0044	EV 0040	TV 0040	FY 2012	FY 2012

1/20/11 16:19 lm_disummary

ivision: Admi	conomic Develop nistrative Service rative Services					Budget Unit _	41910C				
1. CORE FINAN	ICIAL SUMMARY					f.					
		Y 2012 Budge	•	Tatal			FY 2012 GR	Z Governor's Fed	Recommend Other	dation Total	
PS	GR 410,243	Federal 1,108,275	Other 551,787	Total 2,070,305		PS -	410,243	1,108,275	551,787	2,070,305	
EE	59,844	434,957	651,291	1,146,092		EE	58,283	434,957	651,291	1,144,531	
PSD	0	28,078	5,001	33,079	Ε	PSD	0	28,078	5,001	33,079	Ε
TRF	0	0	0	0	_	TRF	0	0	0	0	_
Total	470,087	1,571,310	1,208,079	3,249,476	E	Total	468,526	1,571,310	1,208,079	3,247,915	E
FTE	10.00	19.11	9.20	38.31		FTE	10.00	19.11	9.20	38.31	
Est. Fringe	228,300	616,755	307,069	1,152,125		Est. Fringe	228,300	616,755	307,069	1,152,125	
	udgeted in House					Note: Fringes budgeted in House Bill 5 except for certain fringe					
budgeted direct	y to MoDOT, High	way Patrol, an	d Conservati	on.		budgeted dire	ctly to MoDO	T, Highway P	atrol, and Cor	nservation.	j
Other Funds:	Administrative F	Revolvina Fund	d (0547)			Other Funds:	Administrative	e Revolving F	und (0547)		
Notes:	An "E" is reques	•	, ,	ministrative		Notes:	An "E" is requ	uested for \$50	000 from the A	Administrativ	е
· ·	Revolving Fund			•			Revolving Fu	nd for refund	s.		

Administrative Services provides direction and guidance to the Department of Economic Development through the Director's Office. Through policy development, legislative coordination, and communications (public information), the efforts of the divisions are aligned toward achieving consistent and efficient outcomes. In addition, Administrative Services provides legal assistance, financial, human resource, budget and general service support to all divisions. This support assures the department complies with administrative requirements and provides the divisions with consistent and efficient administrative processes. Core funding for Administrative Services is needed to provide high quality services in an effective and efficient manner to both our internal and external customers so that the mission of the department can be recognized and achieved. Other funds are DED Administrative Revolving Fund (0547). This fund was established by RSM0. 620.015 and consists of any monies transferred or paid to the Department of Economic Development in return for goods and services provided by the department.

3. PROGRAM LISTING (list programs included in this core funding)


Administrative Services and Support

Department: Economic Development	Bu
Division: Administrative Services	
Core: Administrative Services	

3udget Unit 41910C

4. FINANCIAL HISTORY

_	FY 2008 Actual	FY 2009 Actual	FY 2010 Actual	FY 2011 Current Yr.
Appropriation (All Funds)	3,386,631	3,421,123	3,362,007	3,251,233
Less Reverted (All Funds)	(13,622)	(45,728)	(37,840)	(15,912)
Budget Authority (All Funds)	3,373,009	3,375,395	3,324,167	3,235,321
Actual Expenditures (All Funds)	1,839,206	2,073,315	2,328,308	N/A
Unexpended (All Funds)	1,533,803	1,302,080	995,859	N/A
Unexpended, by Fund:				
General Revenue	41,672	32,382	70,086	N/A
Federal	560,881	683,650	586,590	N/A
Other	931,250	586,048	339,183	N/A
			(1)	



Reverted includes Governor's standard 3 percent reserve (when applicable) and any extraordinary withholdings.

NOTES:

(1) The lapse in General Revenue is the result of various vacancies that occurred throughout the year.

CORE RECONCILIATION DETAIL

DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMEN ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES

5. CORE RECONCILIATION DETAIL

		Budget Class	FTE	GR	Federal	Other	Total	Explanation
TAFP AFTER VETO	ES							
		PS	38.31	410,243	1,108,275	551,787	2,070,305	
		EE	0.00	61,601	434,957	651,291	1,147,849	
		PD	0.00	0	28,078	5,001	33,079	 -
		Total	38.31	471,844	1,571,310	1,208,079	3,251,233	: =
DEPARTMENT COI	RE ADJUSTME	ENTS						-
Core Reduction	1549 2173	EE	0.00	(1,757)	0	0	(1,757)	
Core Reallocation	1022 3612	PS	0.00	0	0	0	0	Realign Budget.
NET DI	EPARTMENT (CHANGES	0.00	(1,757)	0	0	(1,757)	
DEPARTMENT COI	RE REQUEST							
		PS	38.31	410,243	1,108,275	551,787	2,070,305	
		EE	0.00	59,844	434,957	651,291	1,146,092	
		PD	0.00	0	28,078	5,001	33,079	•
		Total	38.31	470,087	1,571,310	1,208,079	3,249,476	•
GOVERNOR'S ADD	ITIONAL COR	E ADJUST	MENTS					
Core Reduction	1697 2173	EE	0.00	(1,561)	0	0	(1,561)	FY12 Core Reductions
NET G	OVERNOR CH	ANGES	0.00	(1,561)	0	0	(1,561)	
GOVERNOR'S REC	OMMENDED	CORE						
		PS	38.31	410,243	1,108,275	551,787	2,070,305	
		EE	0.00	58,283	434,957	651,291	1,144,531	
		PD	0.00	0	28,078	5,001	33,079	·
		Total	38.31	468,526	1,571,310	1,208,079	3,247,915	•

FLEXIBILITY REQUEST FORM

BUDGET UNIT NUMBER:	41910C		DEPARTMENT:	Economic Development			
BUDGET UNIT NAME:	2173 Admin Serv	rvices PS 3612-0101 DIVISION: Administrative Services ain Services EE 2173-0101					
requesting in dollar and per	centage terms a	and explain why the flexil	bility is needed. If f	expense and equipment flexibility you are lexibility is being requested among divisions, ms and explain why the flexibility is needed.			
		DEPARTM	ENT REQUEST				
our ability to immediately addres - Admin Services PS - \$410,243	s any identified ope $x 25\% = $102,561$	en the Personal Service and/o erational modifications in orde	r Expense and Equipme r to provide the highest	ent appropriation. This increased flexibility is needed to ensure quality services to Missourians.			
- Admin Services EE - \$58,283 x	25% = \$14,571						
		ed for the budget year. H	low much flexibility	was used in the Prior Year Budget and the Current			
Year Budget? Please spec	ify the amount.						
		OURDENT	VEAD	BUDGET REQUEST			
		CURRENT		ESTIMATED AMOUNT OF			
PRIOR YEAR		ESTIMATED AI FLEXIBILITY THAT		FLEXIBILITY THAT WILL BE USED			
ACTUAL AMOUNT OF FLE	XIRITILA OSED	Expenditures in PS and E&B		Expenditures in PS and E&E will differ annually based on			
		based on needs to cover op	erational expenses.	needs to cover operational expenses, address emergency			
\$2,024		address emergency and cha	anging situations, etc.	and changing situations, etc.			
3. Please explain how flexibile	ty was used in the	e prior and/or current years					
o. Trease explain now hexion	ty was assault the	<u> </u>					
FY	PRIOR YEAR PLAIN ACTUAL U	SF.		CURRENT YEAR EXPLAIN PLANNED USE			
In FY 2010, Administrative Servi operational expenses.			In FY 2011, Administrative Services was appropriated 25% flexibility between PS and E&E appropriations. This will allow the department to respond to changing situations to continue to provide the best possible quality service to our customers				

DECISION ITEM DETAIL

Budget Unit	FY 2010	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2012	FY 2012	FY 2012
Decision Item	ACTUAL	ACTUAL	BUDGET	BUDGET	DEPT REQ	DEPT REQ	GOV REC	GOV REC
Budget Object Class	DOLLAR	FTE	DOLLAR	FTE	DOLLAR	FTE	DOLLAR	FTE
ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES								
CORE								
OFFICE SUPPORT ASST (CLERICAL)	0	0.00	26,634	1.00	19,177	0.72	19,177	0.72
ADMIN OFFICE SUPPORT ASSISTANT	6,779	0.23	14,790	0.50	10,648	0.36	10,648	0.36
SR OFC SUPPORT ASST (KEYBRD)	24,277	1.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00
ACCOUNTANT II	104,858	2.93	71,506	2.00	83,105	2.42	83,105	2.42
BUDGET ANAL III	49,104	1.00	49,084	1.00	49,084	1.00	49,084	1.00
PERSONNEL OFCR I	41,712	1.00	47,182	1.00	39,182	1.00	39,182	1.00
HUMAN RELATIONS TECH	9,489	0.33	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00
HUMAN RELATIONS OFCR I	0	0.00	37,292	1.00	33,562	0.90	33,562	0.90
PERSONNEL ANAL I	25,575	0.80	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00
PERSONNEL ANAL II	45,784	1.19	72,190	2.00	69,190	2.00	69,190	2.00
RESEARCH ANAL IV	72,126	1.50	48,085	1.00	48,085	1.00	48,085	1.00
EXECUTIVE I	30,624	1.00	29,576	1.00	29,576	1.00	29,576	1.00
PLANNER III	34,871	0.81	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00
PERSONNEL CLERK	58,619	2.00	59,156	2.00	59,156	2.00	59,156	2.00
MARKETING SPECIALIST I	650	0.02	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00
MARKETING SPECIALIST III	0	0.00	65,305	1.53	27,284	0.69	27,284	0.69
FISCAL & ADMINISTRATIVE MGR B1	46,247	1.00	97,278	2.00	87,278	2.00	87,278	2.00
FISCAL & ADMINISTRATIVE MGR B2	65,634	1.00	60,951	1.00	64,681	1.05	64,681	1.05
HUMAN RESOURCES MGR B2	65,747	1.00	61,821	1.00	64,821	1.05	64,821	1.05
COMMUNITY & ECONOMIC DEV MGRB1	21,499	0.38	8,600	0.15	8,600	0.15	8,600	0.15
STATE DEPARTMENT DIRECTOR	123,226	1.04	107,062	1.00	107,062	1.00	107,062	1.00
DEPUTY STATE DEPT DIRECTOR	38,767	0.36	100,788	1.00	72,568	0.72	72,568	0.72
DESIGNATED PRINCIPAL ASST DEPT	290,476	4.65	139,815	3.00	176,207	3.56	176,207	3.56
DIVISION DIRECTOR	80,986	1.00	81,469	1.00	79,469	1.00	79,469	1.00
DESIGNATED PRINCIPAL ASST DIV	110,731	2.74	77,671	2.00	75,671	2.00	75,671	2.00
PARALEGAL	22,167	0.58	0	0.00	38,021	1.34	38,021	1.34
LEGAL COUNSEL	129,966	1.64	135,024	2.00	133,024	2.00	133,024	2.00
OFFICE WORKER MISCELLANEOUS	5,351	0.22	274,904	1.13	271,904	1.13	271,904	1.13
RECEPTIONIST	11,688	0.36	29,186	1.00	21,014	0.72	21,014	0.72
MISCELLANEOUS PROFESSIONAL	4,230	0.04	80,051	2.00	70,051	1.50	70,051	1.50
SPECIAL ASST PROFESSIONAL	59,385	1.16	184,947	4.00	222,447	4.00	222,447	4.00
SPECIAL ASST OFFICE & CLERICAL	40,210	1.00	109,938	2.00	109,438	2.00	109,438	2.00

1/20/11 16:19

im_didetail

DECISION ITEM DETAIL

Budget Unit	FY 2010	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2012	FY 2012	FY 2012
Decision Item	ACTUAL	ACTUAL	BUDGET	BUDGET	DEPT REQ	DEPT REQ	GOV REC	GOV REC
Budget Object Class	DOLLAR	FTE	DOLLAR	FTE	DOLLAR	FTE	DOLLAR	FTE
ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES								
CORE								
PRINCIPAL ASST BOARD/COMMISSON	1,776	0.03	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00
DEPUTY CHIEF OF STAFF	809	0.01	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00
REGIONAL OFFICE DIRECTOR	1,034	0.01	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00
DIRECTOR OF PERFORMANCE REVWS	840	0.01	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00
SENIOR ADVISOR REC & REINV	386	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00
TOTAL - PS	1,625,623	32.04	2,070,305	38.31	2,070,305	38.31	2,070,305	38.31
TRAVEL, IN-STATE	56,860	0.00	36,816	0.00	36,816	0.00	36,791	0.00
TRAVEL, OUT-OF-STATE	4,419	0.00	30,196	0.00	28,439	0.00	28,439	0.00
FUEL & UTILITIES	0	0.00	5,580	0.00	5,580	0.00	5,580	0.00
SUPPLIES	39,826	0.00	121,649	0.00	121,649	0.00	121,649	0.00
PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT	18,878	0.00	172,041	0.00	172,041	0.00	172,041	0.00
COMMUNICATION SERV & SUPP	27,111	0.00	170,120	0.00	170,120	0.00	170,120	0.00
PROFESSIONAL SERVICES	538,657	0.00	304,032	0.00	304,032	0.00	302,496	0.00
HOUSEKEEPING & JANITORIAL SERV	0	0.00	3,403	0.00	3,403	0.00	3,403	0.00
M&R SERVICES	9,115	0.00	75,064	0.00	75,064	0.00	75,064	0.00
MOTORIZED EQUIPMENT	0	0.00	14,564	0.00	14,564	0.00	14,564	0.00
OFFICE EQUIPMENT	1,048	0.00	39,515	0.00	39,515	0.00	39,515	0.00
OTHER EQUIPMENT	0	0.00	22,179	0.00	22,179	0.00	22,179	0.00
PROPERTY & IMPROVEMENTS	0	0.00	7,275	0.00	7,275	0.00	7,275	0.00
BUILDING LEASE PAYMENTS	0	0.00	6,535	0.00	6,535	0.00	6,535	0.00
EQUIPMENT RENTALS & LEASES	12	0.00	30,548	0.00	30,548	0.00	30,548	0.00
MISCELLANEOUS EXPENSES	2,642	0.00	101,231	0.00	101,231	0.00	101,231	0.00
REBILLABLE EXPENSES	0	0.00	7,101	0.00	7,101	0.00	7,101	0.00
TOTAL - EE	698,568	0.00	1,147,849	0.00	1,146,092	0.00	1,144,531	0.00
PROGRAM DISTRIBUTIONS	0	0.00	23,969	0.00	23,969	0.00	23,969	0.00
REFUNDS	4,117	0.00	9,110	0.00	9,110	0.00	9,110	0.00
TOTAL - PD	4,117	0.00	33,079	0.00	33,079	0.00	33,079	0.00
GRAND TOTAL	\$2,328,308	32.04	\$3,251,233	38.31	\$3,249,476	38.31	\$3,247,915	38.31
GENERAL REVENUE	\$416,942	6.67	\$471,844	10.00	\$470,087	10.00	\$468,526	10.00
FEDERAL FUNDS	\$1,026,720	17.68	\$1,571,310	19.11	\$1,571,310	19.11	\$1,571,310	19.11
OTHER FUNDS	\$884,646	7.69	\$1,208,079	9.20	\$1,208,079	9.20	\$1,208,079	9.20

1/20/11 16:19 Im_didetail Page 2 of 76

Department: Economic Development

Program Name: Administrative Services and Support

Program is found in the following core budget(s): Administrative Services

1. What does this program do?

Administrative Services provides direction and guidance to the Department of Economic Development through the Director's Office. Through policy development, legislative coordination, and communications (public information), the efforts of the divisions are aligned toward achieving consistent and efficient outcomes. In addition, Administrative Services provides legal assistance, financial, human resource, budget and general service support to all divisions. This support assures the department complies with administrative requirements and provides the divisions with consistent and efficient administrative processes. Core funding for Administrative Services is needed to provide high quality services in an effective and efficient manner to both our internal and external customers so that the mission of the department can be recognized and achieved.

2. What is the authorization for this program, i.e., federal or state statute, etc.? (Include the federal program number, if applicable.)

Administrative: State RSMo. 620.015 (DED Administrative Revolving Fund); Federal statutory citation: 29 USC 1 (BLS authorizing legislation) and 29 USC 49 et. seq., as amended (the Wagner-Peyser Act as amended by the Workforce Investment Act of 1998 [P.L. 105-220]). Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance program number is 17.002 for LMI.


3. Are there federal matching requirements? If yes, please explain.

No.

4. Is this a federally mandated program? If yes, please explain.

No.

5. Provide actual expenditures for the prior three fiscal years and planned expenditures for the current fiscal year.



6. What are the sources of the "Other " funds?

Administrative Services Revolving Fund (0547)

Department: Economic Development

Program Name: Administrative Services and Support


Program is found in the following core budget(s): Administrative Services


7a. Provide an effectiveness measure.


DED's rank and percent among all departments for Minority and Women-Owned Business Expenditures

	FY 2008		FY 2009		FY 2010		FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
	Proj.	Actual	Proj.	Actual	Proj.	Actual	Projected	Projected	Projected
Minority - rank	N/A	3	3	2	3	2	3	3	3
Minority - %	N/A	12.51%	12.00%	11.56%	12.00%	11.82%	12.00%	12.00%	12.00%
Women-Owned - rank	N/A	2	2	6	2	6	2	2	2
Women-Owned - %	N/A	7.85%	7.00%	3.02%	7.00%	2.69%	7.00%	7.00%	7.00%

7b. Provide an efficiency measure.







DECISION ITEM SUMMARY

Budget Unit								
Decision Item	FY 2010	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2012	FY 2012	FY 2012
Budget Object Summary	ACTUAL	ACTUAL	BUDGET	BUDGET	DEPT REQ	DEPT REQ	GOV REC	GOV REC
Fund	DOLLAR	FTE	DOLLAR	FTE	DOLLAR	FTE	DOLLAR	FTE
ADMIN SERVICES-TRANSFER				 -				
CORE								
FUND TRANSFERS								
DIV JOB DEVELOPMENT & TRAINING	516,363	0.00	247,990	0.00	247,990	0.00	247,990	0.00
MO ARTS COUNCIL TRUST	38,128	0.00	40,315	0.00	40,315	0.00	40,315	0.00
DIVISION OF TOURISM SUPPL REV	111,267	0.00	159,347	0.00	159,347	0.00	159,347	0.00
MANUFACTURED HOUSING FUND	10,995	0.00	11,065	0.00	11,065	0.00	11,065	0.00
PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION	265,894	0.00	208,224	0.00	208,224	0.00	208,224	0.00
TOTAL - TRF	942,647	0.00	666,941	0.00	666,941	0.00	666,941	0.00
TOTAL	942,647	0.00	666,941	0.00	666,941	0.00	666,941	0.00
GRAND TOTAL	\$942,647	0.00	\$666,941	0.00	\$666,941	0.00	\$666,941	0.00

im_disummary

epartment: Economic Development livision: Administrative Services lore: Transfers to Administrative Services					Budget U	nit <u>41930C</u>					
. CORE FINA	NCIAL SUMMARY		-4.50			EV 2012	Covernor's	Recommenda	tion		
	GR	Y 2012 Budge Federal	et Request Other	Total		GR	Fed	Other	Total		
PS .	0	0	Other	0	PS	0	0	0	0		
Ē	Ö	ő	Ö	ō	EE	0	0	0	0		
PSD	0	0	0	0	PSD	0	0	0	0		
TRF	0	247,990	418,951	666,941	TRF	0	247,990	418,951	666,941 E		
Total	0	247,990	418,951	666,941	Total	0	247,990	418,951	666,941 E		
FTE	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	FTE	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
Est. Fringe	0	0	0	0	Est. Fring		0	0	0		
_	oudgeted in House ly to MoDOT, High	•	_		ı	nges budgeted in Ho directly to MoDOT, i		-	1		
Other Funds:	Tourism Supplem Manufactured Ho Commission Fun	ousing Fund (0	0582), Public S	ervice	Other Fur	Other Funds: Tourism Supplemental Revenue Fund (0274), Manufactured Housing Fund (0582), Public Service Commission Fund (0607), and MAC Trust Fund (0262)					
Notes:	An "E" is request allocation of cost	ted on the vari	ous funds to al	low for	Notes:	An "E" is reques allocation of cos					

These transfers allow for reimbursement to Administrative Services for providing direction and guidance to the Department of Economic Development divisions through the Director's Office. Through policy development, legislative coordination, and communications (public information), the efforts of the divisions are aligned toward achieving consistent and efficient outcomes. In addition, Administrative Services provides legal assistance, financial, human resource, budget and general service support to all divisions. This support assures the department complies with administrative requirements and provides the divisions with consistent and efficient administrative processes. Core funding for Administrative Services is needed to provide high quality services in an effective and efficient manner to both our internal and external customers so that the mission of the department can be recognized and achieved.

3. PROGRAM LISTING (list programs included in this core funding)

Transfers to Administrative Services

Department: Economic Development


Division: Administrative Services

Core: Transfers to Administrative Services

Budget Unit 41930C

4. FINANCIAL HISTORY

	FY 2008 Actual	FY 2009 Actual	FY 2010 Actual	FY 2011 Current Yr.
Appropriation (All Funds)	626,626	626,626	666,941	666,941
Less Reverted (All Funds)	0	0	0	N/A
Budget Authority (All Funds)	626,626	626,626	666,941	N/A
Actual Expenditures (All Funds)	1,334,448	1,246,685	942,647	N/A
Unexpended (All Funds)	(707,822)	(620,059)	(275,706)	N/A
Unexpended, by Fund:				
General Revenue	0	0	0	N/A
Federal	(811,304)	(719,562)	(268, 373)	N/A
Other	103,482	99,503	(7,333)	N/A
	(1)	(1)	(1)	



Reverted includes Governor's standard 3 percent reserve (when applicable) and any extraordinary withholdings.

NOTES:

(1) Negative Unexpended amounts due to E's on transfer appropriations.

CORE RECONCILIATION DETAIL

DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT ADMIN SERVICES-TRANSFER

5. CORE RECONCILIATION DETAIL

	Budget Class	FTE	GR		Federal	Other	Total	E
TAFP AFTER VETOES		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	TRF	0.00		0	247,990	418,951	666,941	
	Total	0.00		0	247,990	418,951	666,941	_
DEPARTMENT CORE REQUEST								_
	TRF	0.00		0	247,990	418,951	666,941	
	Total	0.00		0	247,990	418,951	666,941	_
GOVERNOR'S RECOMMENDED	CORE						——————————————————————————————————————	_
COVERNOR O RECOmmended	TRF	0.00		0	247,990	418,951	666,941	_
	Total	0.00		0	247,990	418,951	666,941	

DECISION ITEM DETAIL

FY 2010 ACTUAL DOLLAR	FY 2010 ACTUAL FTE	FY 2011 BUDGET DOLLAR	FY 2011 BUDGET FTE	FY 2012 DEPT REQ DOLLAR	FY 2012 DEPT REQ FTE	FY 2012 GOV REC DOLLAR	FY 2012 GOV REC FTE
942,647	0.00	666,941	0.00	666,941	0.00	666,941	0.00
942,647	0.00	666,941	0.00	666,941	0.00	666,941	0.00
\$942,647	0.00	\$666,941	0.00	\$666,941	0.00	\$666,941	0.00
\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00
\$516,363	0.00	\$247,990	0.00	\$247,990	0.00	\$247,990	0.00
\$426,284	0.00	\$418,951	0.00	\$418,951	0.00	\$418,951	0.00
	942,647 942,647 942,647 \$942,647 \$0 \$516,363	942,647 0.00 942,647 0.00 942,647 0.00 \$942,647 0.00 \$942,647 0.00 \$0 0.00 \$516,363 0.00	ACTUAL DOLLAR BUDGET DOLLAR 942,647 0.00 666,941 942,647 0.00 666,941 \$942,647 0.00 \$666,941 \$942,647 0.00 \$666,941 \$0 0.00 \$0 \$516,363 0.00 \$247,990	ACTUAL DOLLAR FTE BUDGET FTE 942,647 0.00 666,941 0.00 942,647 0.00 666,941 0.00 \$942,647 0.00 \$666,941 0.00 \$942,647 0.00 \$666,941 0.00 \$94516,363 0.00 \$247,990 0.00	ACTUAL ACTUAL BUDGET BUDGET DEPT REQ DOLLAR 942,647 0.00 666,941 0.00 666,941 942,647 0.00 666,941 0.00 666,941 \$942,647 0.00 \$666,941 0.00 \$666,941 \$942,647 0.00 \$666,941 0.00 \$666,941 \$942,647 0.00 \$666,941 0.00 \$666,941 \$0 0.00 \$0 0.00 \$0 0.00 \$0 \$0 0.00 \$247,990	ACTUAL ACTUAL BUDGET BUDGET DEPT REQ DEPT REQ DOLLAR FTE DOLLAR FTE DOLLAR FTE DOLLAR FTE DOLLAR DOLLAR FTE DO	ACTUAL ACTUAL BUDGET BUDGET DEPT REQ DEPT REQ DOLLAR 942,647 0.00 666,941 0.00 666,941 0.00 666,941 942,647 0.00 666,941 0.00 666,941 0.00 666,941 \$942,647 0.00 \$666,941 0.00 \$666,941 0.00 \$666,941 \$942,647 0.00 \$666,941 0.00 \$666,941 0.00 \$666,941 \$942,647 0.00 \$666,941 0.00 \$666,941 0.00 \$666,941 \$0 0.00 \$0 \$0 0.00 \$0 0.00 \$0 0.00 \$247,990 0.00 \$247,990

1/20/11 16:19 im_didetali

Department: Economic Development

Program Name: Transfers to Administrative Services

Program is found in the following core budget(s): Transfers to Administrative Services

1. What does this program do?

These transfers allow for reimbursement to Administrative Services for providing direction and guidance to the Department of Economic Development divisions through the Director's Office. Through policy development, legislative coordination, and communications (public information), the efforts of the divisions are aligned toward achieving consistent and efficient outcomes. In addition, Administrative Services provides legal assistance, financial, human resource, budget and general service support to all divisions. This support assures the department complies with administrative requirements and provides the divisions with consistent and efficient administrative processes. Core funding for Administrative Services is needed to provide high quality services in an effective and efficient manner to both our internal and external customers so that the mission of the department can be recognized and achieved.

2. What is the authorization for this program, i.e., federal or state statute, etc.? (Include the federal program number, if applicable.)

N/A


3. Are there federal matching requirements? If yes, please explain.

No.

4. Is this a federally mandated program? If yes, please explain.

No.

5. Provide actual expenditures for the prior three fiscal years and planned expenditures for the current fiscal year.



6. What are the sources of the "Other " funds?

Transfer from various funds (Tourism Supplemental Revenue - 0274, Manufactured Housing - 0582, Public Service Commission - 0607, and MO Arts Council Trust Fund - 0262)

Depa	repartment: Economic Development rogram Name: Transfers to Administrative Services rogram is found in the following core budget(s): Transfers to Administrative Services							
Prog	ram Name: Transfers to Administrative Services							
Prog	ram is found in the following core budget(s): I ransfers to Administrative Services							
7a.	Provide an effectiveness measure. N/A							
7b.	Provide an efficiency measure. N/A .							
7-	Provide the number of clients/individuals served, if applicable.							
7c.	N/A							
7d.	Provide a customer satisfaction measure, if available. N/A							

Department: Economic Development					Budget Unit 42:	297C			
Division: Busin	ess & Community S	Services							
DI Name: Down	town Revitalization	Preservation	on D	l# 2419001	Original FY 11 H	louse Bill Se	ction, if app	olicable	7.080
	Spending Authority	y Increase							
1. AMOUNT OF	REQUEST								
	FY 2011 Su	pplemental l	Budget Requ	est	FY 2011 Supplemental Governor's Recommendation				
	-	Federal	Other	Total		GR	Fed	Other	Total
PS -	0	0	0	0	PS	0	0	0	0
EE	0	0	0	0	EE	0	0	0	0
PSD	0	0	34,184	34,184	PSD	0	0	34,184	34,184
TRF	0	0	0	0	TRF	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	34,184	34,184	Total	0	0	34,184	34,184
FTE	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	FTE	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
POSITIONS	0	0	0	0	POSITIONS	0	0	0	0
NUMBER OF MO	ONTHS POSITIONS	ARE NEED	ED:	0	NUMBER OF MO	ONTHS POSI	TIONS ARE	NEEDED: _	0
Est. Fringe	0	ō l	0	0	Est. Fringe	0	0	0	0
Note: Fringes bu	idgeted in House Bill	5 except for	certain fringe	S	Note: Fringes bu	idgeted in Ho	use Bill 5 ex	cept for certa	in fringes
budgeted directly	to MoDOT, Highwa	y Patrol, and	Conservation		budgeted directly	to MoDOT, F	Highway Pat	rol, and Cons	ervation.

2. WHY IS THIS SUPPLEMENTAL FUNDING NEEDED? INCLUDE THE FEDERAL OR STATE STATUTORY OR CONSTITUTIONAL AUTHORIZATION FOR THIS PROGRAM.

A Supplemental Decision Item is needed in order to fulfill the current obligations of the MODESA Downtown Revitalization Preservation Program (DRPP). Current obligations are projected to pay out approximately \$184,184 in Fiscal Year 2011 requiring an additional \$34,184 in appropriation authority.

DRPP (better known as MODESA Lite) allows a portion of the new state and local taxes created by a redevelopment project to be diverted to fund eligible public infrastructure projects, along with related costs for a period of 25 years. Net new taxes generated because of the redevelopment project are captured and diverted to pay the debt service on bonds issued to fund the project. The purpose of the program is to facilitate the redevelopment of downtown areas and the creation of jobs by providing essential public infrastructure. Obligated projects include: Hannibal/Clemens project and Springfield Heer's Tower project.

Department: Economic Development		Budget Unit 42297C	
Division: Business & Community Services		Budget office	
	5.0.5.1.5.5.4	0	
DI Name: Downtown Revitalization Preservation	DI# 2419001	Original FY 11 House Bill Section, if applicable7	<u>.080</u>
Spending Authority Increase			

3. DESCRIBE THE DETAILED ASSUMPTIONS USED TO DERIVE THE SPECIFIC REQUESTED AMOUNT. (How did you determine that the requested number of FTE were appropriate? How many positions do the requested FTE equal and for how many months do you need the supplemental funding? From what source or standard did you derive the requested levels of funding? Were alternatives such as outsourcing or automation considered? If based on new legislation, does request tie to TAFP fiscal note? If not, explain why.)

We are requesting additional funds in the Downtown Revitalization and Preservation Program to cover obligated costs for the current projects utilizing the program. The current core in the Downtown Revitalization Preservation Program is \$150,000 and the projected amount needed for FY2011 is \$184,184; therefore a supplemental decision item of \$34,184 is being requested. The Downtown Revitalization Preservation Program requires a General Revenue transfer into the Downtown Revitalization Preservation fund (0907).

4. BREAK DOWN THE REQUEST BY BUDGE									
	Dept Req	Dept Req	Dept Req	Dept Req	Dept Req	Dept Req	Dept Req	Dept Req	Dept Req
	GR	GR	FED	FED	OTHER	OTHER	TOTAL	TOTAL	One-Time
Budget Object Class/Job Class	DOLLARS	FTE	DOLLARS	FTE	DOLLARS	FTE	DOLLARS_	FTE	DOLLARS
							0	0.0	0
							0	0.0	0
Total PS	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0
							0		0
							0		0
Total EE	0		0		0		0		0
Dragram Diatributions					34,184		34,184		34,184
Program Distributions Total PSD					34,184		34,184		34,184
Total FSD	U		U		34, 104		04,104		04,104
Transfers							0		ol
Total TRF	0		0				0		0
10007 1157	•		J		· ·		-		
Grand Total	0	0.0	0	0.0	34,184	0.0	34,184	0.0	34,184

Department: Economic Development	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			Budget Unit	42297C			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Division: Business & Community Services DI Name: Downtown Revitalization Preserv		DI# 2440004		Original EV 1	1 Hayes Bill 9	Saatian if a	anlicable	7.080	
		DI# 2419001	'	Original FT 1	1 House Bill S	section, ii aļ	oplicable _	7.000	
Spending Authority Increas	<u>e </u>				 				
Dudget Object Class / Jak Object	Gov Rec GR	Gov Rec GR	Gov Rec FED	Gov Rec FED	Gov Rec OTHER	Gov Rec OTHER	Gov Rec TOTAL	Gov Rec TOTAL	Gov Rec One-Time
Budget Object Class/Job Class Eco. Dev. Incentive Specialist II - 007901	DOLLARS 0	FTE	DOLLARS	FTE	DOLLARS	FTE	DOLLARS	FTE 0.0	DOLLARS
Eco. Dev. Incentive Specialist II - 007901	0	0.0 0.0					0	0.0	o)
Total PS	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0
140 Travel	0						0		0
190 Office Supplies	0						0		
340 Telecommunications	0						0		
320 Professional Development	0						0		0
580 Office Equipment	0						0		0
Total EE	0	•	0		0		0		0
Program Distributions					34,184		34,184		34,184
Total PSD	0	•	0		34,184		34,184		34,184
Transfers							0		0
Total TRF	Ō		0		0		0		0
Grand Total	0	0.0	0	0.0	34,184	0.0	34,184	0.0	34,184

		nt: Economic Development	Budget Unit	42297C	-	
		Business & Community Services				
DII	Name:	Downtown Revitalization Preservation DI# 241	9001 Original FY	11 House Bill	Section, if applicable	7.080
		Spending Authority Increase				
5.	PERFO	RMANCE MEASURES (If new decision item has an asso	ociated core, separately identi	fy projected	performance with & wit	hout additional funding.)
	5a.	Provide an effectiveness measure.		5b.	Provide an efficiency	/ measure.
		See Core for BCS/Downtown Revitalization and	Preservation Program		See 5a.	
		See Core for BCS/Downtown Revitalization and	Preservation Program.		Gee Ja.	
1						
	•	Describe the country of allower findiciples as		5d.	Provide a customer	satisfaction measure, if
	5c.	Provide the number of clients/individuals se	rved, if applicable.	ou.	available.	satisfaction measure, n
		See 5a.			NA	
1						

Department: Economic Development		Budget Unit 42297C			
Division: Business & Community Services					
DI Name: Downtown Revitalization Preservation	n DI# 2419001	Original FY 11 House Bill Section, if applicable	7.080		
Spending Authority Increase					
6. STRATEGIES TO ACHIEVE THE PERFORMA	NCE MEASUREMENT TARGET	'S:			
-					
See Core for BCS/Downtown Revitalization and Pr	reservation Program.				
	_				